
UN complaint

From scott huminski <s_huminski@live.com>

Date Fri 10/3/2025 4:54 AM

To xfreedom2020 <xfreedom2020@protonmail.com>

Human rights complaint against.

U.S. Attorney General Pam Bondi

U.S. Senator Ashley Moody

U.S. State of Florida

State of Florida Attorney General James Uthmeier

Material herein; Pam Bondi was a former Florida Attorney General and the initiation of criminal case State of Florida v. Huminski occurred under her regime. Ashley Moody was Florida Attorney General after the tenure of Pam Bondi and continued the prosecution of Florida v. Huminski.

Ashley Moody authored legal pleadings in Florida v. Huminski intending to further, protect and enforce the prosecution and conviction of Florida v. Huminski.

Ashley Moody was appointed to the U.S. Senate by Florida Governor Ron DeSantis in 2025 to fill a vacancy left by U.S. Secretary of State Marco Rubio after his nomination to the federal position by U.S. President Donald Trump.

A criminal conviction was achieved in Florida v. Huminski, Lee County Court (Florida), 17-MM-815;

- Absent a criminal charge alerting Huminski as to the nature of the alleged crime he committed.
- Absent service of any document that could be considered a charging document capable of initiating a criminal prosecution
- Absent the State of Florida authoring a legal pleading filed in the case describing a crime under the criminal codes of Florida.
- Absent "standing" by the State of Florida to participate as a plaintiff under any legal authority. A jurisdictional issue.
- Absent subject matter jurisdiction over the controversy without a statute or law defining the alleged crime.
- Absent personal jurisdiction over Huminski in the absence of a Florida authored charging document served upon Huminski or a similar document lawfully supporting personal jurisdiction over Huminski.
- Huminski was placed on pre-trial criminal conditions of release and government supervision upon the initiation of Florida v. Huminski limiting his free speech to criticize government with looming threats of incarceration.
- Huminski was incarcerated after his conviction of this non-crime.
- It remains a mystery how the criminal case was docketed in a court without participation of the plaintiff, State of Florida. The record indicates forgery and/or manipulation of court documents by shuffling them between court cases with modifications. Traditionally, criminal cases are initiated by the sovereign, however, the State of Florida did nothing to initiate Florida v. Huminski. It was

all done in courthouse back rooms without service or a case commencement document authored by the government, yet, the State of Florida became a plaintiff.

The prosecution of Florida v. Huminski was pursued absent any precepts governing a criminal prosecution under international human rights standards adopted by civilized nations. Even the most authoritarian regimes alert the accused of the nature of government allegations against a criminal defendant despite frivolous, vexatious or retaliatory nature of the charge. The statutory and lawful basis of this government criminal prosecution remains unknown without a hint in the 2407 page case record. (see link below).

To this day, the State of Florida seeks to collect on the fines, fees, costs and charges related to Florida v. Huminski and Huminski is barred from obtaining a Florida driver's license while these financial sums are outstanding and suffers a poor credit rating. The final order of conviction bars Huminski from communicating with the entire State government of Florida for the remainder of his life including the reporting of crime to law enforcement agencies.

The entire 2407 page case record is located at this government site, https://edca.2dca.org/DcaDocs/2019/1914/2019-1914_Brief_530010_RC09.pdf As far as a criminal charge, the record list "no charge" multiple times in the record. No charge appears 18 times in the case record preventing Huminski from proffering a defense to the crime of "NO CHARGE".

Alternatively, the case record is also available here, https://www.indybay.org/uploads/2025/03/31/record_on_appeal_2019-1914_comp64mb.pdf

The absence of a criminal charge listed anywhere in the 2407 pages of the case record justifying the State of Florida's participation as a criminal plaintiff and jurisdiction constitutes a vast human rights violation and is indicative of a police state mentality by these new top U.S. federal officials.

Muckrock.com is an NGO government transparency organization that assists persons seeking governmental documents via formal document requests. The first request for a charging document commencing Florida v. Huminski to the Florida Attorney General resulted in their reply of January 30, 2025, "This office does not have any documents responsive to your amended request". This request is at, <https://www.muckrock.com/foi/florida-34/amira-fox-20th-circuit-states-attorney-criminal-information-state-v-huminski-17-mm-815-office-of-the-attorney-general-florida-178818/>

A second Muckrock.com document request was issued to determine what lawful basis Florida relied upon for its participation as a criminal plaintiff in Florida v. Huminski. James Uthmeier and his Florida Attorney General's office ignored this request as part of a cover-up to protect those now in high federal office. <https://www.muckrock.com/foi/florida-34/criminal-statute-supportive-of-state-v-huminski-17-mm-815-lee-county-court-appeals-184765/>

The United States Due Process clause which is mostly consistent with international human rights precepts was thrown out the door in Florida v. Huminski with notice of the crime ("no charge") absent and opportunity to be heard and present a defense to the crime of "No Charge" was obviously impossible without a presentation of a charge.

Thirty (30) days after Huminski filed motions to intervene in U.S. federal court in Disney v. Governor DeSantis and Equality Florida v. Governor DeSantis, the low-level prosecutor in Florida v. Huminski, Anthony Kunasek, committed suicide by a gunshot to the head.

<https://desantisfelonycoverupcorruption.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/disney-v.-desantis-forgery-and-obstruction-crime.pdf>

https://edca.3dca.flcourts.org/DcaDocs/2021/1920/2021-1920_Notice_247031_DK15A202D20Notice20of20Filing.pdf

All State court and federal court relief was denied to Huminski and his remedies are exhausted.

Ashley Moody youtube on this topic (see also video description for supportive links and content),
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zTfxPnlepXM>

Similar, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1XNcmdzb6XE>

Similar facebook pages with extensive links and content,
<https://www.facebook.com/groups/23864914669799875>

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/653518330484466>

Related Change.org petitions.

<https://www.change.org/p/pam-bondi-and-u-s-senator-ashley-moody-corruption-cover-up/>

<https://www.change.org/p/doj-charge-ron-desantis-civil-rights-crimes/>

<https://desantisfelonycoverupcorruption.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/disney-v.-desantis-forgery-and-obstruction-crime.pdf>

The final judgment/conviction entered by the Court in the case banned Huminski from communication with the State of Florida government and its officials for the remainder of Huminski's life.

https://edca.3dca.flcourts.org/DcaDocs/2021/1920/2021-1920_Notice_244284_DK15202D20Notice.pdf

MOTIVE- Retaliation for exercise of free speech.

Huminski has been a long-time critic of police state policies in the United States with over 500,000 youtube views of his anti-police-state music videos created by his band "Scott X and the Constitution Commandos". Oppression and retaliation were the motive behind Florida v. Huminski because there was no lawful process consistent with Due Process and fundamental fairness.

Some of Huminski's anti-police state songs with his band, Scott X and the Constitution Commandos;

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2e3UkLIE9zc>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aXbojImKNII>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tntmLKfaG4o>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rKVTOB3xxo4>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Bq-wwmGvosY>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3jw0WrVX5BU>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vfxvk281US8>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E5HufRKvFNI>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aHCBmpfr0t4>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fSfmzd2R14A>

The genesis of this case was alleged contempt in Huminski v. City of Gilbert AZ, et al., Florida's 20th Circuit Court. The Florida government was not a party in Huminski v. Gilbert. How the contempt allegations ended up in a entirely different lower court, Lee County Court, with the State of Florida coming on as a plaintiff involved the manipulation of computer records and documents behind closed courthouse doors. This is contrary to bedrock Florida, U.S. law and common law which mandates the contempt is a matter private to the allegedly offended court and the contemnor - it is not a matter for the government. Case law and common sense is clear on the issue.

South Dade Farms v. Peters , 88 So. 2D 891 (Florida Supreme Court 1956)

(approvingly citing "*There has been general recognition of the fact that the courts are clothed with this power, and must be authorized to exercise it without referring the issues of fact or law to another tribunal or to a jury in the same tribunal. ... Bessette v. W.B. Conkey Co., 194 U.S. 324 337, 24 S.Ct. 665, 48 L.Ed. [997] 1005.*") and Huminski v. State, 2d19-1247 (Fl 2nd DCA, 2019)(adding emphasis to the statutory language "against it" concerning F.S. § 38.22). In South Dade Farms the Florida Supreme Court is citing the U.S. Supreme Court, Bessette, related to the proper fora for contempt.

The mystery related to Circuit Court contempt ending up in a County Court with zero input on the record from the government plaintiff reveals the level of corruption surrounding the initiation of Florida v. Huminski. Not one shred of paper authored/served by the State to initiate a criminal prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,
Scott Huminski