# In The Florida Sixth District Court of Appeal

	)	
Scott Huminski,	j	
Petitioner,	)	
v.	)	DOCKET NO. 6D2025-0105
Amira Dajani Fox,	)	
20 <sup>th</sup> Cir. State Attorney,	)	
State of Florida,	)	Related Cases below, Appellate and in Federal Court:
Respondents.	)	
	)	State v. Huminski, 17-MM-815(Lee County Court)
	)	Huminski v. Gilbert, 17-CA-421(20th Circuit Court)
	)	
	)	2 DCA cases: Huminski v. State; 2D19-1247 &
	)	2D19-1914
	)	U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Huminski v. Gilbert, Adv. Pro. #
	)	9:17-ap-00509-FMD (Middle District of Florida)

### Motion to Stay and Enjoin Pending Appeal/writ

NOW COMES, Petitioner Scott Huminski ("Huminski") and moves stay and enjoin the judgment and enjoin enforcement and collection on the judgment below in *State v. Huminski*, 17-MM-815, Lee County Court while this matter is pending as follows:

- The State of Florida authored, filed and served NOTHING to initiate <u>State v. Huminski</u> as a plaintiff. See generally record on appeal in <u>Huminski v. State</u>, 2D19-1914,
   <a href="https://edca.2dca.org/DcaDocs/2019/1914/2019-1914">https://edca.2dca.org/DcaDocs/2019/1914/2019-1914</a> Brief 530010 RC09.pdf
- 2. The State of Florida served nothing upon Huminski to initiate the case,
- 3. No statute defining a State statutory crime exists in the record on appeal in *Huminski v. State*, 2D19-1914 nor in *State v. Huminski* justifying standing and plaintiff status.
- 4. Huminski incorporates the Amended Petition filed in this matter and *Huminski v. State*,2D19-1914, with the same force and effect as if they were more fully set forth herein.

- 5. Huminski has not paid the fines, costs and fees levied at judgment in *State v. Huminski* because the Court was without jurisdiction and the majority of the financial liability arising in the case at judgment related to statutory crimes. See Para 3.
- 6. The Judgment levied costs/fines/fees associated solely with statutory criminal convictions not applicable here (no criminal statute exists) and in pertinent part states as follows (Huminski was also placed on pre-trial release for a non-crime):

ORDER / COMMITME	NT FORM	COUNTY COURT, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA
17-MM-000815	State of Florida vs Huminski, Scott A	Previously FTA for assigned Judge Felony Reduction Juvenile
1 CONTEMPT OF	COURT CIRCUIT OR COUNTY No Charge - N	o Level §900.04
Citation	Issuing Agency OTH	Court Date Court Clerk 03/16/2018
(318.18 / 775.083	(775.083) (938.04) ENTS ude Crime Stoppers & Crime Prevention) 3 / 938.01 / 938.03 / 938.05 / 938.06 / 939.185) Other \$	ATTORNEY FEES & SURCHARGES \$50.00 Cost of Prosecution (938.27) \$50.00 Public Def Application Fee (27.52) Additional Application Fees \$ (Must be addressed on the record) Defense Attorney Costs at Conviction (938.29) \$50.00 Other \$  RESTITUTION Minimum Payment of \$ per Month
\$135.00 DUI Cou	raffic Offense Court Cost (318.17 / 318.18) int Costs (938.07)	As a Condition of Probation

### **Jurisdiction Below**

The aforementioned fact detail the rudimentary scenario of the lack of any and all jurisdiction of the Lee County Court because of lack of authority and personal and subject-matter jurisdiction needed to rule on any motion.

Further, the plaintiff below, the State of Florida, had no standing to participate in <u>State v. Huminski</u> for absence of a pleading, absence of a criminal statute and absence of a cause of action. The same fact that support a finding of lack of any and all jurisdiction of Lee County Court related to *State v. Huminski*.

The State of Florida continuing to benefit from the judgment below prejudicing Huminski and continued attempts to enrich itself with collection activities based upon a fraudulent void case and judgment is a manifest injustice. This continuation of what only can be described as lawless State-sponsored terror and harassment must end.

The Court adminstration issues contained in the Amended Complaint suggest this action may be one of original jurisdiction before this Court or the Florida Supreme Court further supporting a ruling on this motion as an original jurisdiction case. The transfer of Circuit Court contempt to a County Court would have required an administrative order that is absent from the case record/docket.

This Court is the only Court with jurisdiction to consider the instant motion as the 20<sup>th</sup> Circuit Chief Circuit Judge has already ruled on the propriety/jurisdiction of Lee County Court concering this Circuit Court contempt matter. (See Amended Complaint, page 16) This is the only ruling by the Chief Circuit Court Judge that could be viewed as an administrative act albeit 8 months after the commencement of the Circuit Court contempt case and transfer to County Court by unknown means.

### 20<sup>th</sup> Circuit State's Attorney reply to Sunshine Law Request

The  $20^{\text{th}}$  State's Attorney replied as follows to the request for case initiation documentation,







The State's Attorney stated there "*There is no charge*.", "… we do not have any information or indictment." and supplied only a show cause order authored by a Circuit Court judge in *Huminski v. Gilbert AZ*, 17-CA-421, 20<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court which in pertinent part states,

# 6/30/2017 4:52 PM Filed Lee County Clerk of Courts 6/5/2017 1:56 PM Filed Lee County Clerk of Court

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTIETH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CIVIL CASE CAPTION

SCOTT HUMINSKI, Plaintiff Civil Case No.: 17CA421

v.

TOWN OF Gilbert, AZ, et al

Criminal Case No. 17 - MM - 000815

Not surprisingly, the above excerpt from the 6/30/2017 version of the show cause order has **3 filing time-stamps**, one from when the document was authored and filed on 6/5/2017 in the Circuit Court and the second/third time stamps were when an unknown entity/individual modified and filed it on 6/30/2017 in Lee County Court.

The phantom filer and their supervisor also engaged in forgery by adding "17-MM-815" to the 6/5/2017 Circuit Court order on 6/30/2017 which is purely deception and fraud because the order authored by a Circuit judge didn't have a "17-MM-815" case number on it. The legitimate order contained only 17-CA-421. The phantom filer modified a one month old order by fraudulently adding "17-MM-815" to it on 6/30/2017 thirty days after the Circuit Judge signed it. The manufacture of a Court order 30 days after the order was signed, filed and issued is likely criminal conduct intending to perfect a fraudulent initiation of a fictional Lee County Court criminal case. The original Circuit Court order of 6/5/2017 states in pertinent part (see next page),

### 6/5/2017 1:56 PM Filed Lee County Clerk of Court

### IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE TWENTIETH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CIVIL CASE CAPTION

SCOTT HUMINSKI,

Plaintiff

Civil Case No.: 17CA421

TOWN OF Gilbert, AZ, et al

Criminal Case No.

#### DESCRIPTION OF SCOTT HUMINSKI

**GENDER: Male** 

**RACE: Caucasian** 

HEIGHT: approx. 5 ft 10 in.

WEIGHT: ?

DOB: 12/1/59

EYE COLOR: ?

HAIR COLOR: Brown

LAST KNOWN ADDRESS: 24544 Kingfish St.

Bonita Springs, FL 34134

The manipulation and modification of court documents and even court orders indicates that the sovereign was willing to engage in criminal activity to justify the prosecution of a fraudulent and fictional "criminal" case. The handwriting on the manufactured / modified order could identify the forger of the manufactured second show cause order file stamped on Court orders don't evolve and morph weeks after signing by a judge. 6/30/2017. Manufactured copies of judicial orders can not be filed as legitimate court orders in various courts throughout the State and a manufactured order from a different court can not initiate a criminal case. The plaintiff has the duty to author, file and serve a commencement document which is absent in this matter.

**WHEREFORE**, Petitioner resquests the following relief pending final ruling on this action;

A stay or injunction concerning the Judgment below,

- An injunction against enforcement of the Judgment below,
- An injunction against the continuation of collection activities related to the Judgment below against the State of Florida and it's agents, employees and assignees.

Dated at Palm Coast, Florida this 30th day of January, 2025.

-/S/- Scott Huminski
Scott Huminski, pro se
26 Fleetwood Drive
Palm Coast, Fl 32137
(239) 300-6656
s\_huminski@live.com

### **Certificate of Service**

Copies of this document and any attachment(s) was served via the court's efiling system to the parties, Amira Dajani Fox and State of Florida on this  $30^{th}$  day of January, 2025.

-/s/- Scott Huminski		
Scott Huminski		