In The

United States District Court Northern District of Florida

Equality Florida, Et Al,)	Number: 4:22-cv-00134-AW-MJF
PLAINTIFFS,)	
V.)	
RONALD DESANTIS, ET AL,)	
DEFENDANTS.)	
Clarification of Mot	ion for Tem	porary Restraining Order
NOW COMES, Scott Humin	nski ("Humins	ski"), and clarifies the relief sought in the
pending Motion for Temporary Restra	aining Order a	s follows:
Additional Requested Relief:		
Enjoin any arrest or enforcer	nent activities	by the State of Florida concerning the Lee
County Court order mandating the li	ifetime prohib	ition of all communication with the State of
Florida government by Huminski ent	ered in State	v. Huminski, 17-mm-815, Lee County Court,
to wit:		
"No communication with the parties i	in the civil or c	riminal case",
TO BE CAUSE THE SCHAFFLE OF Pre-sentence Investigation/Sentencing	Morow To Disa A Cicous A	COS Fees Due & Owing in the amount \$
If probation has not been imposed, you must have your financial.	abligation within the si-	exallered to the test of the t

Simply service of court papers in the instant matter constitutes a communication with the State of Florida, a violaton of the court order which mandates the sanctions set forth in the order under F.S. 322.425 including arrest which Huminski endured once whereby he was held without bail related to this court order for nearly a month. The State of Florida is dead serious concerning this threat.

the Clerk of Court. If sentenced to Probation, you must adhere to standards as directed.	1.5	
Failure to comply with any part of this order may result in a suspension of your driver license privilege and/or wa	arrant being issued for yo	ur arrest (322 245)
origate interior obligations still remaining 90 days after payment due date will be referred by the Clerk of Court	to a collection agency a	nd an additional fee of up
to 40 % of the odistanding balance owed will be added at that time (28.246).		
Mandatory assessments are imposed and shall be included in the judgment without regard to whether the asses	sement was announced i	n open court
111 /11	ACTION WAS BISINGSTOOD I	open court,
Asst. State Attorney Parking A. Lunking Bar No. 10000 / 26999	Date	
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		_
Judge James R Adams / 2004 WV	D.I.	
	Date	Rev.05/05/2017
		77777000000
Obviously, service of this paper requires the sanctions and punish	monte montion	and in the order
Obviously, service of this paper requires the salictions and pullish	illents mentior	ieu iii uie oruer.
Dated at Miami, Florida this 4 th day of April, 2022.		
-/S/- Scott Huminski		
Scott Huminski, pro se		
P.O. Box 353820		
Palm Coast, FL 32135		
(239) 300-6656		
S_Huminski@live.com		
<u>Certificate of Service</u>		
C	41	:- 41 IIC M-:1.
Copies of this document and any attachment(s) was served upon and/or email and/or the e-filing system in this case.	n the parties v	ia the U.S. Maiis
Dated this 4 th day of April, 2022.		
-/s/- Scott Huminski		
Scott Huminski		

In The

United States District Court Northern District of Florida

Equality Florida, Et Al,)	Number: 4:22-cv-00134-AW-MJF
PLAINTIFFS,)	
V.)	
RONALD DESANTIS, ET AL,)	
DEFENDANTS.)	

Motion for Temporary Restraining Order

NOW COMES, Scott Huminski ("Huminski"), and moves pusaunt to FRCP 65(b)(1) as follows:

Huminski is subject to a lifetime speech prohibition pursuant to a Court Order issued in State v. Huminski, 17-MM-815, Lee County Court. Under the terms of the lifetime speech prohibition, Huminski is forbidden to communicate with the entire State of Florida government for life as follows:

"No communication with the parties in the civil or criminal case",

Clerk to Opdate Case W/ Detendants Information List	ed COS Fees Due & Owing in the amount \$	
DEFENSE MUTIN FOR MISTELAL DENIED	· Manager Transfer Tr	
THE SIGNATURE OF	A LICONSO ATTOSACY! No WIGHAULICADOU WITH THE PACTIC	
Pre-sentence investigation/Sentencing	,	, 3
	Full/Partial	

See also Motion to Intervene dated 4/3/2022, Exhibit "C" containing the full court order described above.

The "parties" referred to in the above threat of criminal retaliation are the State of Florida that is the plaintiff in <u>State of Florida v. Huminski</u>, 17-MM-815 and the Lee County Sheriff's office that is a defendant in the "civil case", <u>Huminski v. Town of Gilbert</u>, <u>AZ</u>, <u>Et al.</u>, 17-CA-421, Fl 20th Judicial Circuit. The lifetime order is stunningly over-broad.

The lifetime speech prohibition is patently over-broad. Restriction of speech must be

"narrowly tailored to serve a substantial government interest" and "... the least intrusive upon

the freedom of expression as is reasonably necessary to achieve a legitimate purpose of the

regulation.". *United States* v. O'Brien, 391 U. S. 367, 377 (1968)). Lifetime banishment of

expression in a citizen's State of residence concerning the entire State government fails

constitutional First Amendment scrutiny.

Huminski is currently drafting his motion for a preliminary injunction.

WHEREFORE, a Temporary Restraining Order should issue against the defendant, State of

Florida and Defendant Ronald DeSantis should be similarly enjoined from directing his Attorney

General to oppose this relief as he has done in several State court cases whereby Huminski

launched collateral attacks upon the judgment/conviction in State v. Huminski. See Generally,

Motion to Intervene 4/3/2022.

Dated at Miami, Florida this 3rd day of April, 2022.

-/S/- Scott Huminski

Scott Huminski, pro se

P.O. Box 353820

Palm Coast, FL 32135

(239) 300-6656

S Huminski@live.com

Certificate of Service

Copies of this document and any attachment(s) was served upon the parties via the U.S. Mails

and/or email and/or the e-filing system in this case.

Dated this 3rd day of April, 2022.

-/s/- Scott Huminski

Scott Huminski

2