

**Appeal of FOIA Requester, Donald Stone in the
FBI FOIPA No. :1401888- 000
Subject : Red Wallet
Dec. 2, 2018**

FOIA requester, Stone seeks an alleged treasure trove of documentary evidence from approximately 1993-2018 that the DOJ/FBI were involved in a criminal conspiracy and pattern and history of criminal activity of not only pulling their punches, but then circling their wagons to protect, promote, and pander to the alleged criminal activities of Gilbert Sapperstein, Charles Richard Longo Sr. and certain of their known associates.

Donald Stone appeals the FBI FOIPA No. :1401888- 000 Subject : Red Wallet response dated Sept. 28, 2018 for these reasons and others.

The phrase “Red Wallet” appears to be stamped on a document from the FBI file on a Charles Richard Longo Sr.

Longo's FBI file is comprised of approx. 284 pages, Longo is deceased as of 2011.

The FBI have refused to release Longo's entire file, having released only a portion via Stone's FOIA request and subsequent Appeals.

Stone's inquiry is to help clarify the meaning of “Red Wallet” and to shed more light on the knowledge of the DOJ/FBI of the scope of Longo's criminal activities and those of his known associate Gilbert Sapperstein and their combined associates and entities in Maryland, Florida, Virginia, and D.C.

If Stone understands this correctly, Hardy is claiming a rarely used exemption known as “exclusions”.

Excerpted from: <https://www.justice.gov/oip/blog/foia-guidance-6>

**Implementing FOIA's Statutory Exclusion Provisions
Background**

Over twenty-five years ago, in 1986, Congress amended the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) to provide special protection for three categories of particularly sensitive law enforcement records. For these three specifically defined categories of records, Congress provided that federal law enforcement agencies "may treat the records as not subject to the requirements of [the FOIA]." 5 U.S.C. § 552(c) (2006 & Supp. IV 2010). These provisions, which are referred to as "exclusions" provide protection in three limited sets of circumstances where publicly acknowledging even the existence of the records could cause harm to law enforcement or national security interests.

The letter dated Sept. 28, 2018, signed David M. Hardy, Section Chief, Record/Information Dissemination Section Information Management Division states the following and appears to claim the release of the four pages under Title 5, U.S. Code§ 552/552a

Material consisting of four pages has been reviewed pursuant to Title 5, U.S. Code§ 552/552a, and this material is being released to you in its entirety with no excisions being made by the FBI.

And the Hardy also states:

For your information, Congress excluded three discrete categories of law enforcement and national security records from the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). See 5 U.S. C. § 552(c) (2006 & Supp. IV (2010)).

It's unclear if Hardy is claiming 5 U.S. C. § 552(c) (2006 & Supp. IV (2010)) as an exemption from releasing a clear and concise definition for the phrase "Red Wallet".

The only mention of anything remotely related to the phrase "Red Wallet" is on the last page of Hardy's release, the word "RED" which appears to be a color designation for "National Security Investigative Files".

The phrase "Red Wallet" that Stone is interested in appears in a somewhat obscure location at the bottom of a page adjacent to some type of small, difficult to identify, graphic.

Stone doubts that "Red Wallet" pertains to any national security issues, because that would mean that the DOJ/FBI had allegedly compromised U.S. national security by rubber stamping the criminal activities of Longo, Sapperstein and their associates, while they victimized an approx. 2000 victims in D.C., Maryland, and Virginia pertaining to \$12 million in U.S. Dept. of Education Pell grants and other types of student loans while simultaneously fleecing the Baltimore School Board out of an estimated \$3.5 million from early 1990's to 2003 (just an alleged small sampling of their criminal activities) while under investigation by DOJ/FBI at various times.

And the so-called law enforcement investigative files of the DOJ/FBI on Longo, Sapperstein, and associates were never compiled for law enforcement purposes, but for alleged law breaking, racketeering activities and to protect Longo, Sapperstein, and their associates from criminal prosecutions at the federal and state levels, in Maryland, Florida, Virginia, and D.C.

Longo and numerous of his known associates, such as Gilbert Sapperstein, Robert Warfield Sr, Hal P. Glick, and patent attorney, Melvin Blecher (Foley & Lardner) are now deceased so the full definition of "Red Wallet" should be disclosed.

And incorporate by reference in this appeal the following:

1. All DOJ/FBI files on Charles Richard Longo Sr., Gilbert Sapperstein, and all of their known associates and entities in Maryland, Florida, Virginia, D.C. or New York, etc.
2. All complaints that were made to DOJ/FBI about the alleged criminal activities of Gilbert Sapperstein and/or his known associates by Maryland resident George McDermott and former Maryland residents, Jane and/or George Chamberlain.
3. All complaints made to the DOJ/FBI about Gilbert Sapperstein and/or Charles Richard Longo Sr. and/or their known associates and/or any entities by Donald Stone.

Regards,

Donald Stone

Donald Stone

871 NE Dixie Hwy. Ste. 8

Jensen Beach, FL. 34957

772 834 6175