RE: Support El Tecolote on its 50th Year Anniversary

Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org> Tue 1/14/2020 3:26 PM To: Valeria Olguin <advertising@accionlatina.org> Thank you for everything you do Valeria! Llove Tecolote and As a disclaimer, records containing personal information, privileged information, or personnel matters may be redacted or withheld pursuant to CA Government Code 6254; Evidence Code sec. 952; Evidence Code sec. 954; Code of Civil Procedure 2018.030; Government Code 6254(c), Art. I, sec. 1; CA Const., Evidence Code sec. 1041; Evidence Code sec. 1040; Government Code sec. 6254(k); and/or Government Code sec. 6276.32.

Thank you for everything you do Valeria! I love Tecolote and will be in touch when I want to place an ad.

Hillary

From: Valeria Olguin <advertising@accionlatina.org>
Sent: Wednesday, December 18, 2019 11:42 AM
To: Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support El Tecolote on its 50th Year Anniversary

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

### **Greetings Hillary!**

My name is Valeria Olguin, advertising manager for El Tecolote, the award-winning, longest running bilingual, Latino community newspaper in the American Southwest.

We are excited to announce that we will be celebrating our 50th anniversary next year, and with support from community members like you, we hope to continue for 50 more years.

Advertising is a vehicle for local merchants to continue to support us in our mission of providing vital news and information to our community. We produce and distribute 10,000 issues bi-weekly to a target readership— a grand total of a quarter of a million copies of EI Tecolote each year! Advertising with us will not only greatly increase the visibility of your business, but support our legacy newspaper as well.

I've attached a postcard with all the basic information in terms of ads specs and pricing. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions. We will find the right ad package that works best for you!

Thank you for being a supporter of El Tecolote!

Regards,

Valeria Olguin, Advertising Manager for El Tecolote Newspaper

Acción Latina 2958 24th Street San Francisco, CA 94110 <u>Advertising rates</u> Cell: (650)580-1796 <u>advertising@accionlatina.org</u>

accionlatina.org | @accionlatinaSF | fb.com/AccionLatina

Fw: My New Article: Westside Observer Newspaper Going Digital-Only ... and Joanne Hoeper on City Attorney's Independence Investigating DPW's Mohammed Nuru

Sylvia Lynch < @yahoo.com>

Wed 2/26/2020 2:37 PM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>;Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>;Dean Preston <deanpreston7@gmail.com>;Hillary Ronen <hillaryronen@gmail.com>;Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>;Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>;Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>

🛿 1 attachments (462 KB)

A\_Civic\_Duty\_Digitize\_Neighborhood\_News.pdf;

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

In view of Dennis Herrera's history of trying to cover up tax payer waste sewer scheme by firing his assistant city attorney who has since won a \$5 million dollar judgement against Dennis Herrera and the CCSF AND the fact that Ann Herrera served on the board of SF Park Alliance that is park of the FBI investigation involving Nuru - I feel it prudent for the CCSF to appoint and INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATOR to handle the Nuru et al corruption scandal that will also ensnare other city officials and employees.

I look forward to each of you taking action to tell the Mayor, who is also being investigated, that this is the wise thing to do for the sake of San Francisco.

The time to "Pay to Play" as Zula Jones, who got off felony charges and was involved in the Ed Lee campaign fund raising efforts, must come to an end.

Thank you for any consideration you may give my request.

Sylvia Alvarez-Lynch @yahoo.com

----- Forwarded Message -----From: pmonette-shaw < @@earthlink.net>

Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2020, 10:28:48 PM PST

Subject: My New Article: Westside Observer Newspaper Going Digital-Only ... and Joanne Hoeper on City Attorney's Independence Investigating DPW's Mohammed Nuru

My February article, ("*A Civic Duty: Digitize Neighborhood News*") is now available on-line at <u>www.stopLHHdownsize.com</u>. A printer-friendly PDF file is attached. Hyperlinks to various supporting background files and media articles are available via my web site and in the attached PDF file.



A Civic Duty to Digitize the News: The Westside Observer has long been published both on-line and in-print. Now we're migrating to on-line only, in part to save trees and slow global warming.

I've been lucky to be a columnist for the *Westside Observer* newspaper for the past dozen years, a neighborhood newspaper that took seriously its duty to print the news and raise hell.

We've had a great run with both the print- and on-line editions, but now we're going to a digital-only format — in part to save trees desperately needed to help stop global warming.

My new article notes that even *Time Magazine* observed 50 years ago that when it comes to major media outlets San Francisco is one of the worst-newspapered cities in America between the *Chronicle* and the *Examiner*. That's why hyper-local neighborhood newspapers such as the *Observer* are a such crucial part of our free press. We're not the enemy of the people, as our current White House incumbent wants some to believe.

I take a quick jaunt down memory lane in this short piece reflecting on key milestones in my journey towards becoming a columnist over the past dozen years.

I mention my all-time favorite essay, *A Civic Duty to Annoy*, by Wendy Kaminer in the 1997 issue of *The Atlantic*, in which she eloquently argues that when we sit down to dinner with disagreeable relatives or our "*like-thinking*" colleagues, we have a civic duty to annoy, provoking and challenging them to think about their assumptions, beliefs, and premises. Kaminer's essay is a must-read.

I note in this article San Francisco had 20 local monthly neighborhood newspapers in 2008, which has now shrunk to just eight. Please join us as we migrate to an on-line-only platform to digitize the news, raise hell, and provoke debate. We won't disappoint.

**Heads Up:** If you look closely at the cover illustration above in this e-mail, you'll see above the masthead that our upcoming issue contains a guest Op-Ed — now "In Press" — written by Joanne Hoeper, a senior lawyer in the City Attorney's Office who was wrongfully terminated by Dennis Herrera for whistleblowing in San Francisco's *Sewergate* scandal. She offers perspectives on Herrera's ability to provide a truly independent investigation of the Mohammed Nuru corruption scandal at the Department of Public Works. Hoeper's Op-Ed is another must-read, apparently exclusive to the *Westside Observer*.

Read more in the attached printer-friendly PDF file.

Please feel free to widely share the printer-friendly version of this article available on my web site, or a link to my web site.

To unsubscribe, send me an e-mail.

February-March 2020

# *"It's a Newspaper's Duty to Print the News and Raise Hell"* **A Civic Duty: Digitize Neighborhood News**

by Patrick Monette-Shaw

The deckhead for this article is a Wilbur Storey quote 159 years ago in an 1861 article in the then-*Chicago Times*. Back then, newspapers and journalists were unafraid of taking on the "Establishment" and raising some hell. It's a sentiment widely held by those of us who've been privileged to publish in the *Westside Observer* over the years, which I strongly agree with.

As the *Observer* migrates to an on-line-only news outlet printing the real news, please continue to support us as we start our new journey in raising hell.

<text><text><text><text><text>

A Civic Duty to Digitize the News: The *Westside Observer* has long been published both on-line and in-print. Now we're migrating to on-line only, in part to save trees and slow global warming.

The City's mainstream media are not covering the real news of our hyper-local neighborhood communities, and in particular are not covering neighborhood and local news in granular detail as we do here at the *Westside Observer*.

Indeed, 50 years ago *Time Magazine* published an <u>article</u> in November 1970 noting large-circulation mainstream newspapers tend to be part of the Establishment, and, therefore, part of the problem. The *Time* article reported "*San Francisco*'s Examiner *and* Chronicle, *for instance, are so comfortably settled that the* … *City has become one of the worst-newspapered cities in America.*"

The City's mainstream media are not covering the real news of our hyper-local neighborhood communities, and in particular are not covering neighborhood and local news in granular detail.

*Time* went on to praise Bruce Brugmann, publisher of the former *San Francisco Bay Guardian Weekly*, for improving the state of journalism in San Francisco.

During the past 50 years, the Examiner has gotten somewhat better after it added reporters Joe Fitzgerald Rodriquez,

Laura Waxman, Joshua Sabatini, and others. But the *Chronicle* continues to omit covering local news in-depth, when not altogether, and buries local news often in the back pages of its paper. That leaves us still being one of the worst-newspapered cities! (For an example, see Lou Barberini's <u>article</u> in this issue of the *Westside Observer* critical of the *Chronicle's* factual veracity.)

Because the mainstream media ignores the problem of inadequate local news coverage, it's left to our local neighborhood newspapers to flesh out details."

Because the mainstream media ignores the problem of inadequate local news coverage, it's left to our local neighborhood newspapers to flesh out details of local news.

### **Our Civic Duty to Dissent**

As citizen-journalists, *Observer* columnists share a sense of duty to dissent by researching news that affects our neighborhoods, and to build opposition to City policies where needed. We're here as an antidote to flesh out and publish news and raise hell! And we're intent on evolving with the times.

As citizen-journalists, *Westside Observer* columnists share a sense of duty to dissent by researching news that affects our neighborhoods, and to build opposition to City policies where needed.

The *Westside Observer* has been a leading source of neighborhood news on the west side of San Francisco for the past 12 years, and even before it took over the *West of Twin Peaks Observer*. In 2008, the San Francisco Neighborhood Newspaper Association had 20 local monthlies in the City. But with the recent news the *Observer* is ending its print edition, there will now be only eight neighborhood monthly newspapers in print in the entire City. Like other neighborhood papers, the *Observer* is expanding its former web site into an on-line-only publication.

The Observer grew into the best damn newspaper in town with great leadership from its publishers Mitch and Alice Bull, its

editor Doug Comstock, and a stable of contributing authors covering the entire spectrum of topics — from neighborhood issues not covered at all by our local daily newspapers to in-depth reporting, analyses, and commentary on citywide issues also not covered in any meaningful way by the mainstream media. Our columnists are largely engaged citizens who routinely place public records requests to uncover details of stories the mainstream media neglect to research.

### How Did I Get Here?

I've reflected on how I got here.

On a cool, slightly-cloudy 52-degree morning, I trudged up the 100step staircase for my first day of employment at Laguna Honda Hospital (LHH) on May 17, 1999. I had no idea how my life would suddenly change. The *Observer* grew into the best damn newspaper in town with great leadership from its publishers Mitch and Alice Bull, its editor Doug Comstock, and a stable of contributing authors.

I trudged up the 100-step staircase for my first day of employment at Laguna Honda Hospital (LHH) on May 17, 1999. I had no idea how my life would suddenly change.

I fretted the whole way up about whether I'd survive a six- to twelve-month probationary period, because I had been a very vocal "accountability" critic of the then-director of Public Health, Dr. Mitch Katz, and the San Francisco AIDS Foundation. So, I fretted about the potential for political payback, hoping I could last long enough to pass my initial probation.

I published "*The Fleecing of AIDS in America: Part 1 — San Francisco AIDS Foundation's Unfair Distribution of AIDS Walk Funds*" on July 15, 2001 after having stopped fretting about passing probation.

On July 20, 2003 I launched my first public website — <u>TheLastWatch.com</u> — dedicated to exposing accountability problems with "AIDS Inc." By then I was no longer afraid of Katz, or then-Mayor "Slick" Willie Brown. On October 1, 2004 I launched <u>stopLHHdownsize.com</u> to try to prevent eliminating one-third — 420 — of the 1,200 beds planned for the LHH replacement hospital.

In November 2004 I filed my first San Francisco Superior Court lawsuit against the City involving the downsizing of LHH, with *pro bono* representation from public-interest public-health ace lawyer Lynn Carman. The lawsuit sought to require the City use all of the Tobacco Settlement Revenues (TSR) to offset the LHH replacement project cost overruns, and sought to recover \$25 million misappropriated from the TSR account earmarked to rebuild LHH.

In February 2008, I filed a second San Francisco Superior Court lawsuit against the City involving the *Chambers Settlement* agreement, again represented by Mr. Carman. The lawsuit sought to stop the *Chambers Settlement* mandate to eliminate 420 beds from the 1,200-bed rebuild project. I lost both public-interest lawsuits.

Also in February 2008, the *Westside Observer* published my first article "*Downsizing Laguna Honda: Who Gets the Boot*" which focused on reducing LHH to just 780 beds, and which patients would be forced out, perhaps out-of-county.

In March 2012, I received a James Madison Freedom of Information Award in the *Advocacy* category from the Society of Professional Journalists–NorCal Chapter for my articles in the *Westside Observer* as an advocate for LHH's patients.

- On July 15, 2001 I published 'Fleecing of AIDS in America: Part 1 — San Francisco AIDS Foundation.'
- On July 20, 2003 I launched my first public website — *TheLastWatch.com*.
   On October 1, 2004 I launched my second web site, *stopLHHdownsize.com*.
- In November 2004 I filed my first San Francisco Superior Court lawsuit against the City.
- In February 2008, I filed a second San Francisco Superior Court lawsuit against the City.
- Also in February 2008, the *Westside Observer* published my first article.
- In March 2012, I received an SPJ-NorCal James Madison Freedom of Information Award in the Advocacy category.
- Across my dozen years as a columnist for the *Westside Observer*, I've published over 100 articles.

In January 2019 SPJ-NorCal awarded the Westside Observer the James Madison award in the Community News Media category, indicating the Observer "stands out among San Francisco's neighborhood newspapers for fostering citizen journalism based on public records disclosures that shed light on city government and promote community engagement."

Across my dozen years as a columnist for the Westside Observer, I've published over 100 articles.

### A Civic Duty to Annoy

Please bear with a slight digression: While employed at LHH I audited a UC Berkeley Extension course in writing after hours, where I stumbled across a seminal September 1997 essay written by Wendy Kaminer in *The Atlantic*, titled "A *Civic Duty to Annoy*." Kaminer was then a contributing editor of *The Atlantic*, a public-policy fellow at Radcliffe College, and president of the National Coalition Against Censorship.

<u>A Civic Duty to Annoy</u> instantly became my all-time favorite essay. Kaminer's premise was **not** that we have a civic duty to annoy others just for the sake of aimlessly annoying them. Instead her premise was that communities are not composed solely of people who share a single monolithic point of view, and never engage in conflict. She argued communities are built on compromise, compromise presupposes disagreement, tolerance presupposes the existence of people and ideas we might not like, and one test of tolerance is provocation. Read Kaminer's essay. You'll understand why she concluded the piece writing `When you sit down to dinner with your disagreeable relations, or comrades who bask in their rectitude and compassion, you have a civic duty to annoy them' — to provoke them to think, to challenge their assumptions and beliefs, and to reexamine their premises."

Read her essay. You'll understand why Kaminer concluded the piece writing "When you sit down to dinner with your disagreeable

*relations, or comrades who bask in their rectitude and compassion, you have a civic duty to annoy them*" — to provoke them to think, to challenge their assumptions and beliefs, and to re-examine their premises.

I had no idea the Observer would continue to publish me for the past dozen years. I'm grateful to Mitch and Alice Bull,

and Doug Comstock, in addition to my fellow *Westside Observer* columnists from whom I've learned so much, including Doctors Derek Kerr, Maria Rivero and Theresa Palmer who were also my co-workers at LHH, George Wooding, John Farrell, Glenn Rogers, Nancy Wuerfel bn, Kathy Howard, Lou Barberini, and Steve Lawrence, among many other *Observer* feature writers.

"annoy," and provoke debate. We promise not to disappoint!

If you want award-winning news for the neighborhood and the whole City, follow us in our transition to an on-line-only publication. Please join us as we continue to digitize the news, raise hell,

Follow us in our transition to an on-lineonly publication. Please join us as we continue to digitize the news, raise hell, `annoy,' and provoke debate. We promise not to disappoint!

Monette-Shaw is a columnist for San Francisco's Westside Observer newspaper, and a member of the California First Amendment Coalition (FAC) and the ACLU. He operates <u>stopLHHdownsize.com</u>. Contact him at <u>monette-</u> shaw@westsideobserver.com.

### FW: Jump bikes changed their email and isn't answering

Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org> Mon 3/16/2020 5:57 PM To: Lerma, Santiago (BOS) <santiago.lerma@sfgov.org>

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: tomas cruzio < @gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 16, 2020 12:45:03 PM
To: Leung, Adrian (MTA) <Adrian.Leung@sfmta.com>
Cc: Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>
Subject: Jump bikes changed their email and isn't answering

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

jump bikes are taking over the mission, and I would really like to use the public bike racks that we installed for the public instead of their corporate plan to get away with not using docks. between the stupid scooters and the bikes, there's literally no room for me to lock up my bike when I go to any local merchants, especially on Valencia, where they use it as advertising program for their program. please, take a look on any day at any time around the mission, and all of the public racks especially the corrals, have been taken over by the red corporate, Uber, for-profit, jump bikes. It's a bad look, for a city.

Thank you in advance for your time and attention to this matter.

ha, also, they're completely unresponsive and, as far as I can tell, honestly don't care at all if anyone complains about their process. Kind of like the corporate behemoth that they are. Considering e-bikes, are now an integral part of our transit system, first and last Mile solutions. I think the city should more tightly regulate their rollout, implementation, number of vehicles, and repair.

### CCSF Situation Report - COVID-19 Situation Report | 4/2/2020

CCSF Alert <noreply@everbridge.net> Thu 4/2/2020 3:24 PM To: Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>

Please click here to acknowledge receipt of this message

## Situation Report - COVID-19 | 4/2/2020

Department of Emergency Management Division of Emergency Services

4/2/2020 | 15:30

### Number of Cases/Deaths

SF: 450 Cases | 7 Deaths (SFDPH DOC)
CA: 10,080 Cases | 219 Deaths | (CDPH & Johns Hopkins CSSE)
US: 238,820 Cases | 5,758 Deaths | (Johns Hopkins CSSE)
Worldwide: 1,007,977 Cases | 52,771 Deaths | 208,949 Recovered (Johns Hopkins CSSE)

### **Situation Summary:**

Priorities for the citywide COVID-19 response are to disrupt the spread of the COVID-19 virus; protect the health and safety of everyone in the city; expand testing; prepare for the medical surge; and assist those impacted.

Mayor London N. Breed and Board of Supervisor's President Norman Yee announced the creation of the COVID-19 Economic Recovery Task Force, which will include stakeholders representing businesses, labor, nonprofits, community-based organizations, faith organizations, the arts, and City departments. (Source Link, 4/2/2020).

Mayor London N. Breed, Board of Supervisors Budget Chair Sandra Fewer, Supervisor Hillary Ronen, and the Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD) committed \$10 million to launch a new Emergency Loan Fund to support small businesses facing sudden revenue loss from COVID-19. (Source Link, 4/2/2020).

California's Department of Public Health released guidance on the use of cloth face coverings, which do not substitute for proven effective practices to reduce the spread of COVID-19, such as physical distancing and frequent hand washing. (Source Link, 4/1/2020).

Governor Gavin Newsom announced an agreement and framework for teachers, classified employees, school boards, superintendents, and principals to provide distance learning and instruction to California's students affected by school closures due to COVID-19 mitigation efforts. (Source Link, 4/1/2020).

The Governor also signed an executive order to allow for the immediate use of the state's reserve funds to protect public health and support the efforts to respond to the COVID-19 crisis. (Source Link,  $\frac{4}{12020}$ ).

### Joint Information Section:

- Conducted content workshop with the City Attorney's Office, Mayor's Office, and 311 to solicit feedback and input on the City's main COVID-19 content page.
- Added links and updated content on the sf.gov website, including the Stay at Home FAQs page for businesses and workers, and updated content in the "Business" and "Working" sections.
- Coordinated and completed Mayor's Virtual Press Conference.
- Posted and shared information about the request for volunteers to support services for older adults.
- **311 Metrics:** 395 phone, 81 web, 0 social.

### EOC Operations Section:

Health & Human Services Branch:

- Housed 69 COVID-impacted individuals to date at the City's Alternate Shelter Sites.
- Distributed Community Needs Survey to assess the food resource needs and requests at sites assisting COVID-impacted individuals.
- Building Inspection and Public Health inspectors completed 391 inspections of SRO units to date:
   222 units received Notice of Violations (NOVs) for cleanliness issues; 55 (25%) of 222 NOVs have been addressed and reconciled by SRO Owners/Operators; 40 SROs were not accessible; 9 SRO units have been identified as high priority and in-need of cleaning services.
- Received shipment of 24,000 protective face masks.
- Distributed protective face masks and gloves to Feeding Unit for delivery to supported facilities and care sites.
- The Office of Contract Administration and the City Attorney's Office approved janitorial cleaning contracts.
- Deployed forklifts to Moscone Center to assist with the distribution of materials and supplies.
- Transferred 60 individuals to Hotel Housing Site 2.

### Community Branch:

- Distributed 155 11"x17" Stay at Home Posters along the Geneva, Mission, Japantown, and Laurel Village commercial areas.
- Distributed 100 Stay at Home fliers, 119 Stay 6' Apart large posters, and 390 11"x17" Stay 6' Apart posters around Fisherman's Wharf.
- Uploaded Stay at Home e-poster at all digital advertising assets operated by Clear Channel, including 128 digital shelters and 100 digital urban panels.

### Public Safety Branch:

- Completed operational security schedule for Field Care Clinic 3.
- Added Field Care Clinics and Safe Space Sheltering Sites to computerized dispatch database for use by public safety communications officers.
- Deployed DSW workers to screen employees' temperatures in the DEM Lobby.

### Infrastructure Branch:

- Identified 15 additional locations to deploy Pit Stops and hand washing stations.

Transportation Branch:

- Golden Gate Ferry temporarily halted all weekend service, reduced Larkspur—SF trip frequencies (scheduled every 75, 90, and 200 minutes), and combined Tiburon—Sausalito—SF into one ferry service route (scheduled twice each morning and afternoon).
- Activated nine transport vehicles to assist moving PUI and COVID-positive individuals.

### Logistics Section:

- Total of 702 DSWs requested; 222 DSWs actively deployed.
- Acquired list of 560 state-registered Emergency Healthcare Volunteers to contact and request they assist the City's COVID-19 response efforts.
- More than 60 licensed medical professionals responded to the City's request (issued Monday, March 30) for emergency medical volunteers.
- Activated NERT phone bank to call and recruit potential emergency medical volunteers.
- Communicated new mask guidelines to EOC staff.
- Sent all City staff new policy and guidelines for employee emergency leave.
- Scheduled supply and material deliveries to 18 City departments over the next two days.
- Stocked the Department of Technology with additional Chromebooks for use by DSWs and City telecommuters to use.
- Department of Technology completed network connectivity set-up at Moscone West.

### **Finance Section:**

- Trained two DPH DOC staff to use inventory analytics reports to track quantity on hand and burn rates for scarce Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) items.
- Created a calendar view of 15 new emergency management dashboards and reports to provide a comprehensive view of the City's emergency response and planning efforts.
- Launched the Current Inventory and Depletion Rate report in Inventory Management, which displays current scarce inventory on-hand and projected depletion rates for inventory items by business unit.
- Responded to inquires from payroll staff regarding COVID-related processing issues.
- Conducted virtual Payroll User Group to discuss proper tracking on time sheets for COVID emergency and use of the Portal for submitting direct deposit requests and W-4s.

For authorized users of WebEOC ONLY: Visit WebEOC (https://sfvem.org) under Incident Name: <u>COVID-19</u>. Note - WebEOC is password protected.

#### **DEM Contact Information:**

Duty Officer (415) 260-2591 Watch Center Operations (415) 558-2738 / Mon-Sun, 0600-2200 Hrs Email: <u>demdutyofficer@sfgov.org</u>

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL, THE RECIPIENT OF THIS REPORT SHOULD BE AWARE THAT THIS REPORT MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS NOT VERIFIED. CCSF Alert is owned and operated by the City and County of San Francisco. To change the way you receive CCSF Alerts, contact your Department's Disaster Preparedness Coordinator.

# [SFCTA Board, 23 June Item 12 -- "Public comment"] J line changes reduce disabled access --contrary to A.D.A.

Bob Planthold

@att.net>

Sat 6/20/2020 10:52 AM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>;Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>;Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>;Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>;Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>;Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>;Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>;Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>;Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>;Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>;Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>;Bob Planthold <political\_bob@att.net>

Cc: tilly.chang@sfcta.org <tilly.chang@sfcta.org>;angela.tsao@sfcta.org <angela.tsao@sfcta.org>;MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please consider this as "public comment"

on Item 12 of the 23 June mtg. of the SFCTA board.

MTA's new leadership is not considering carefully enough the need for continued disabled access

but is allowing a reduction in transit access,

despite federal prohibitions against reducing access for people with disabilities.

Bob Planthold

----- Forwarded Message ------

**Subject:** J line changes reduce disabled access --contrary to A.D.A.

**Date:**Fri, 19 Jun 2020 <u>19:57:54 -07</u>00

From:Bob Planthold < @att.net>

To:MTABoard <a href="mailto:kmailto:mcamballing

**CC:**Breen, Kate <<u>Kate.Breen@sfmta.com></u>, Kirschbaum, Julie B <u><julie.kirschbaum@sfmta.com></u>, tim redmond <u><timredmondsf@gmail.com></u>, Sue Vaughan <u><selizabethvaughan@gmail.com></u>, <u>Sean.elsbernd@sfgov.org</u>, <u>MAYORLONDONBREED@SFGOV.org</u>, Bob Planthold <u><political bob@att.net></u>

Somehow, MTA staffers and the MTA board

did not carefully think of the disabled access implications for stopping the J at Market.

ADA prevents reduction of access.

The MTA description of changes to the J line will result in lessened access.

IN the fall of 2019, shortly after the announcement that Jeff Tumlin would head MTA,

he gave an interview to a neighborhood newspaper / blog, in which he opined

about the efficient of having the J be a surface only line ending at Market as its northern terminal .

The access problems were immediately obvious to me.

I wrote a lengthy analysis and sent it to both Julie Kirschbaum and to Kate Breen,

asking EACH to pass it along to Mr. Tumlin --since I had no formal work e-mail for him.

I never heard from either whether they passed it along, nor did either provide a work e-mail.

So, I ask you on the MTA board to solicit my original from each of the above-named staffers.

As well, I will summarize and modify the original, based on the info. in the attached MTA document.

Also there are alternatives to the publicly adopted plan that allow the J to become a surface-only line.

If the J stops northbound / inbound service at the south side stop on Market & Church,

people with disabilities [p.w.d.s] and those pushing babies in strollers have to get off the J

at the high boarding platform and then cross 2 streets to get to the

elevator down into the Church St. station.

An elevator that is often out of service.

Or, have to wait for a 22-line trolley to go one stop to get to Safeway.

Meaning people with disabilities have to do much more work than before,

to transfer to get downtown or to get to Safeway.

Even transferring to the F means more work and results in a much slower ride.

Some may claim that is adequate.

The following makes explicit the lessening of access for people with disabilities.

How does a p.w.d transfer from the inbound J to an outbound N? Get off at Market and then wait for an 22- to go one stop and

then get off on Church and Duboce

to get to the Duboce Portal high boarding platform.

LOTS of extra work.

Think of all the extra work to push oneself in either

a manual wheelchair or to push oneself along if relying on a walker.

Worse for the reverse-- to transfer from an inbound N to an outbound J.

A p.w.d can get off at the inbound high boarding platform at the Duboce Portal.

Then what? Nothing.

Or, how to transfer from a 22-heading towards 3rd St.

There is NO high boarding platform along the 22-line stops on Church --

neither at 14th St. nor at 16th St.

There seems to have been authorized a LESSENING of access.

HOWEVER, if the J instead proceeded

up to the high boarding platform at the Duboce Portal,

p.w.d.s and people pushing babies in strollers could easily and safely

get off to transfer to the N or to get to Safeway.

The J cold first cross the tracks at the Duboce Portal and then reverse back out

to the outbound high boarding platform,

to offboard passengers as well as

onboard passengers transferring from a 22-line trolley or an inbound N.

As it is now, access denial challenges are likely.

**Bob Planthold** 

415-431-6453

## Skip to main content

- <u>Getting Around</u>
- <u>Projects</u>
- <u>Calendar</u>
- <u>Services</u>
- <u>News</u>
- <u>About Us</u>

Toggle navigation Quick Links

Quick Links

Select Language

Search SFMTA...

Alerts UPDATE: Demonstration at Franklin and Fulton has cleared. (More: 18 in last 24 hours) Subscribe

The <u>SFMTA COVID-19 page</u> has the latest service and citation information. / La <u>página SFMTA COVID-19</u> tiene la información más reciente sobre los servicios de tránsito y las citaciónes. / 瀏覽<u>SFMTA COVID-19網頁</u>,了解 最新客運及罰單信息 / Ang <u>pahina ng SFMTA COVID-19</u> ay may pinakabagong impormasyon sa serbisyo at pagbanggit.

- 1. <u>Home</u>
- 2. <u>News</u>
- 3. Big Changes Ahead when Muni Rail Returns in...

### Big Changes Ahead when Muni Rail Returns in August

Share this: <u>FacebookTwitterEmail</u> By <u>Mariana Maguire</u> Thursday, June 18, 2020

Muni Metro train at a subway platform

Photo: Muni Metro rail train at a subway platform.

Get ready for a big addition to our <u>COVID-19 Core Service Network</u>: Muni Metro rail is scheduled to return in August to coincide with more businesses reopening and the likely event of San Francisco schools welcoming teachers and students back into their classrooms.

But the new Metro rail service will look different when it comes back: Some Muni Metro lines could be taken out of the subway to cut severe pre-COVID-19 delays and backups in the tunnels. This would also allow us to add more cars to our Metro trains to increase capacity.

Putting Metro rail back in service frees up buses currently in use for the L, M, N and T to serve additional routes and improve frequencies systemwide. A high-frequency shuttle in the subway would replace the Metro lines that we take out of the subway. Devoting the tunnels to the higher capacity routes would use the space in our subway much more efficiently. These changes will support more essential trips, physical distancing, and our city's economic recovery.

Closing the Muni Metro subway in March allowed us to minimize risk to our front-line staff and the community and redirect custodial resources to other facilities. While the rail system was closed to customers, Muni was able to complete critical maintenance work to our vehicles and infrastructure.

All our service changes are possible because of the City's efforts, the cooperation of our customers, and the steps we have taken as an agency to decrease the risk of transmission of COVID-19. As more of our operators and other staff are gradually able to return to work, we can offer more service.

We're able to restart Metro rail with the implementation of a mobile cleaning program that allows us to clean buses and trains in the field rather than only at bus and rail yards. This new program helps to expand service levels by keeping more buses on the street. The more vehicles we have in circulation, the easier it is for customers to maintain physical distance onboard.

Highlights of the service changes for rail that are planned for August are below:

### **Subway Metro Rail:**

- All metro buses currently running will be suspended with the return of metro service. This includes the N Bus, L Bus and T Bus. The N Owl and L Owl bus service will continue.
- The N Judah will return with two-car trains.
- More frequent S Shuttles will return between West Portal and Embarcadero stations with two-car trains. These shuttles will run exclusively inside the subway.
- The M Oceanview will return and be combined with the T Third a practice known as "interlining." This means we will be able to use two-car trains on both these lines when service returns.

### **Surface Metro Rail:**

Metro rail service will return on the following routes, but will no longer use the subway in order to significantly cut down delays and congestion in the tunnels:

- The L Taraval and K Ingleside will be combined (interlined) and will no longer enter the subway at West Portal Station. This new interlined route will run from the SF Zoo to City College at Balboa Park Station. West Portal will be a transfer point to either the M/T line or S Shuttles for riders who need to continue to downtown.
- The **J** Church will no longer enter the subway. It will terminate at Market. To continue downtown customers can transfer to the M/T line or S Shuttle at Market and Church, or the N Judah at Duboce and Church.

Here is a map of the new Muni Metro rail configuration:

Map of new Muni Metro rail configuration.

### **Making Metro More Reliable**

We are all too familiar with the routine backups that occurred in the Metro rail tunnels before COVID-19. Trains would be stuck outside the tunnels, between stations and on the platforms for long periods, often unable to let customers on or off. For years J Church and N Judah customers have experienced delays waiting to enter the tunnel at Duboce. Customers have similar experiences waiting to exit the tunnel at West Portal Station. A major cause is structural – we move all seven of our Muni Metro lines through a single subway tunnel. Like a backed-up freeway, trains get stuck in traffic, causing unacceptable slowdowns and unreliable service across the system.

Graphic showing all Metro lines funneling into one tunnel.

### Graphic: Muni Metro lines converging into a single tunnel.

Change has been needed for a long time, but now, more than ever, we must get people to their jobs and activities reliably and reduce delays that could increase risk of exposure to COVID-19. As we emerge from shelter-inplace, it's imperative that we take the opportunity to improve our rail service so that we can deliver people to and from school and work reliably. We don't want our customers stuck on trains in between stations or crowding on station platforms. By limiting the number of lines that go into the subway, we can meet both of those goals.

This will be a big change to our previous Muni Metro rail service and a big adjustment for all of us. Customers would need to adapt some of their commute habits, so we are also working to ensure transfers are convenient for people to easily make their connections, particularly for seniors and people with disabilities.

### **Addressing Demand for Rail**

Our subway system moves our highest volumes of customers, cumulatively, through the core of the city. Reliability is imperative for our customers and operators. Yet over the years as our service has grown, we have placed too much demand on our subway tunnels without improving tunnel capacity.

Before the COVID-19 emergency, we had been running more trains per hour in subway than we can process, roughly 40 trains per hour. Often, however, we're only able to get 35 of trains through. This makes our service inconsistent and leaves our customers frustrated. To improve reliability and efficiency we need to reduce the number of trains per hour to around 25 to 30 and run higher capacity trains through the subway.

Line graphs depicting the disparity between number of trains scheduled to run through the subway and actual number of trains that get from end to end. The difference represents backups and delays that make the current configuration unreliable.

Graphic: Line graphs depicting the disparity between number of trains scheduled to run through the subway and actual number of trains that get from end to end. The difference represents backups and delays that make the current configuration unreliable.

The J Church and K Ingleside lines can only support one-car trains due to surface-level constraints, while the N Judah, M Ocean View, T Third and S Shuttle can accommodate two-car trains. One-car trains take up the same "slot" in the subway as a two-car train. By devoting the tunnels to the higher capacity routes, we could be using the space in our subway much more efficiently. And with ridership volumes, it currently makes the most sense to combine the K Ingleside and L Taraval lines.

The shorter running times means the J Church, K Ingleside, and L Taraval lines will be more frequent along their new surface-only routes. Combined with a more reliable frequent shuttle service, trips downtown will be more dependable and quicker.

We know customers will have questions about these changes. More details on these and other service changes, including detailed maps, will be coming soon.

The modified <u>COVID-19 Core Service Plan</u> supports essential trips that cannot be made in other ways. All San Franciscans are helping us maintain adequate space on Muni for physical distancing by continuing to <u>stay at</u> <u>home</u> except for essential trips. If you need to make an essential trip, please use an alternate form of transportation whenever possible – walking, biking, taxi or driving – to save a seat on Muni for those who don't have other options.

When you must use Muni for your essential trips, please allow space to physically distance and give yourself extra time. You may need to wait longer for a vehicle with available space. Remember, your trip may now include transfers and a longer walk, and your fare is good for two hours across multiple buses.

We know that for many people with disabilities and seniors, walking farther — or paying for other transportation — isn't possible. To serve this need, the SFMTA has introduced the <u>Essential Trip Card (ETC)</u> – a discount program to help seniors and people with disabilities make essential trips in taxis during this crisis.

<u>Visit SFMTA.com/COVID-19</u> for the latest information about Muni routes in service or to explore alternate ways to get around the city.



























SFMTA YouTube Channel

Follow Us

SFMTAPhoto on Instagram



## **Recent Blog Posts**

Photo: Muni Metro rail in the subway Big Changes Ahead when Muni Rail Returns in August
Image from Coit Tower of San Francisco skyline We want to hear from you! Participate in the Muni Customer Survey
Director Tumlin answering questions during online budget open house Adapting Our Public Process to Meet the Moment
Photo of the 43 Masonic bus Muni Service Changes Starting June 13
Work crews paint 4th Street Transit Lane 4th Street Transit Lane Offers Muni a Path Forward
Working on escalator in Rose Pak Chinatown Station Central Subway Update – Projected to be Open for Service by the End of 2021
Street Sweeping taking place along Market Street As the city gradually reopens, mechanical street sweeping enforcement is set to resume
Howard Street at The Embarcadero Improving The Embarcadero
Fog storefront with art in the window We're In This Together: The Taraval Community Spirit
A bicyclist uses the protected bike lane on 2nd Street. Biking by the Numbers: San Francisco's 2019 Biking Statistics

View all blog posts

## Need Help?

- <u>Muni</u>
- <u>Services</u>
- <u>Projects</u>
- <u>Getting Around</u>
- <u>SF 311</u>
- <u>511 Regional Info</u>

## **Stay Connected**

- Discrimination Complaints
- <u>SFMTA Customer Service Center</u>
- <u>SFMTA Offices</u>
- <u>Contact Us</u>

## About Us

- <u>SFMTA Mission</u>
- Board of Directors
- <u>News & Blog</u>
- <u>Careers</u>
- Doing Business With the SFMTA
- <u>City and County of SF</u>
- <u>Terms of Use/Privacy</u>
- Archives

### **Plan Your Trip Fares**

Download Adobe® Acrobat Reader® software to view PDF files

2 311 (Outside SF 415.701.2311; TTY 415.701.2323) Free language assistance / 免費語言協助 / Ayuda gratis con el idioma / Бесплатная помощь переводчиков / Trợ giúp Thông dịch Miễn phí / Assistance linguistique gratuite / 無料の言語支援 / 무료 언어 지원 / Libreng tulong para sa wikang Filipino / การช่วยเหลือทางด้าน

ภาษาโดยไม่เสียค่าใช้จ่าย / خط المساعدة المجاني على الرقم

Copyright © 2013-2019 San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA). All rights reserved. Credits

Google Translate

## **Original text**

Contribute a better translation

### RE: RSN San Francisco Award for twelve consecutive years

Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org> Fri 6/26/2020 12:46 PM To: Lou Gordon < @@gmail.com> Congratulations! Very well deserved.

Hillary

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Lou Gordon Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2020 7:25 PM To: Lou Gordon Subject: RSN San Francisco Award for twelve consecutive years

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Recovery Survival Network - RSN is among a very small group of companies that have won the San Francisco Award for twelve consecutive years. This distinction has qualified Recovery Survival Network - RSN for the 2020 San Francisco Business Hall of Fame. To commemorate your inclusion in this elite group an exclusive Hall of Fame Award, available only to Hall of Fame inductees, has been created.

\_\_\_\_\_\_


#### FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Recovery Survival Network - RSN Receives 2020 San Francisco Award

San Francisco Award Program Honors the Achievement

SAN FRANCISCO June 15, 2020 -- Recovery Survival Network - RSN has been selected for the 2020 San Francisco Award in the Rehabilitation Services category by the San Francisco Award Program.

Each year, the San Francisco Award Program identifies companies that we believe have achieved exceptional marketing success in their local community and business category. These are local companies that enhance the positive image of small business through service to their customers and our community. These exceptional companies help make the San Francisco area a great place to live, work and play.

Various sources of information were gathered and analyzed to choose the winners in each category. The 2020 San Francisco Award Program focuses on quality, not quantity. Winners are determined based on the information gathered both internally by the San Francisco Award Program and data provided by third parties.

About San Francisco Award Program

The San Francisco Award Program is an annual awards program honoring the achievements and accomplishments of local businesses throughout the San Francisco area. Recognition is given to those companies that have shown the ability to use their best practices and implemented programs to generate competitive advantages and long-term value.

The San Francisco Award Program was established to recognize the best of local businesses in our community. Our organization works exclusively with local business owners, trade groups, professional associations and other business advertising and marketing groups. Our mission is to recognize the small business community's contributions to the U.S. economy.

#### SOURCE: San Francisco Award Program

Lou Gordon, Executive Director Recovery Survival Network - RSN Family of Friends Clean & Sober Living Network California Association of Addiction Recovery Resources - CAARR Is now known as: CCAPP - California Consortium of Addiction Programs and Professionals PO Box 281344 San Francisco, CA 94128-1344 1-415-552-1111 / 1-415-552-8444 Fax 1-415-515-4682 Cell 24/7 Crisis Hotline 1-888-USE-NONE (1-888-873-6663) www.rsn2000.org https://www.guidestar.org/profile/94-3269300 https://www.paypal.com/us/fundraiser/charity/2248322 http://www.smile.amazon.com (choose Recovery Survival Network as your charity)



RECOVERY SURVIVAL NETWORK "Helping those who want to help themselves."

#### Confidential email notice

This email is subject to the Electronics Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C.Section 2510-2521, and may be legally privileged. The information contained in this email message is confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, delete the original message.

Thank You

### FW: IDR: Supervisor Ronen's Evidence re: Marina Times' Malpractice

Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org> Tue 12/15/2020 4:43 PM To: Li-D9, Jennifer (BOS) <jennifer.li-d9@sfgov.org> Just making sure you responded to this.

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: @aol.com Sent: Monday, December 7, 2020 12:19 PM To: Ronen, Hillary Cc: editor@westsideobserver.com; @@aol.com Subject: IDR: Supervisor Ronen's Evidence re: Marina Times' Malpractice

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings,

This is an Immediate Disclosure Request for public records - or informative examples - as per the SF Sunshine Ordinance.

# Background:

The Westside Observer is following the Board of Supervisors' review of community outreach contracts with Official Newspapers. At the 12/1/20 Board meeting, Supervisor Ronen indicated that The Marina Times;

1) "is a purely propaganda outfit that presents lies as truth",

2) "attacks specific groups based on their identification or based on their politics",

3) when "presented with facts that prove their politically-based assertions as incorrect, they refused to retract their statements".

# **Request:**

Please provide records - or provide examples - that support the 3 allegations cited above.

Thanks in advance for your prompt response.

Derek Kerr, MD - Columnist/Reporter, The Westside Observer

#### Wordbag\_121520

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org> Mon 12/14/2020 8:32 AM To: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org> Hello,

Please see the word version of the agenda for the December 15, 2020, Board Meeting.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh Executive Assistant Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102-4689 Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163 eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



NORMAN YEE, PRESIDENT SANDRA LEE FEWER, MATT HANEY, RAFAEL MANDELMAN, GORDON MAR, AARON PESKIN, DEAN PRESTON, HILLARY RONEN, AHSHA SAFAI, CATHERINE STEFANI, SHAMANN WALTON

Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board

### **Remote Access to Information and Participation**

In accordance with Governor Newsom's Executive Order No. N-33-20 declaring a State of Emergency regarding the COVID-19 outbreak and Mayor London N. Breed's Proclamation declaring a Local Emergency issued on February 25, 2020, including the guidance for gatherings issued by the San Francisco Department of Public Health Officer, aggressive directives were issued to reduce the spread of COVID-19. On March 17, 2020, the Board of Supervisors authorized their Board and Committee meetings to convene remotely (via Microsoft Teams) and will allow remote public comment via teleconference. Visit the SFGovTV website at (www.sfgovtv.org) to stream the live meetings, or to watch meetings on demand. Members of the public are encouraged to participate remotely via detailed instructions on participating via teleconference available at: https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call.

Members of the public may participate by phone or may submit their comments by email to: bos@sfgov.org; all comments received will be made a part of the official record. Board of Supervisors Regular Meetings begin at 2:00 p.m. on Tuesdays. Board Agendas and their associated documents are available at https://sfbos.org/meetings/42.

#### PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN

1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 146 561 6101 # #

As the COVID-19 disease progresses, please visit the Board's website (www.sfbos.org) regularly to be updated on the current situation as it affects the legislative process. For more information contact the Office of the Clerk of the Board at (415) 554-5184.

#### **ROLL CALL AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

#### COMMUNICATIONS

#### **APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES**

Approval of the November 10, 2020, Regular Board Meeting Minutes.

#### **AGENDA CHANGES**

#### SENIORITY DETERMINATION

(Pursuant to Board Rule 6.2.1.)

# 1. 201308 [Seniority Lottery - Newly Elected Members of the Board of Supervisors]

Lottery to determine the seniority of the newly elected Members of the Board of Supervisors, scheduled for the regular Board Meeting of Tuesday, December 15, 2020, pursuant to Board Rule 6.2.1. (Clerk of the Board)

Lottery: The Board shall determine the seniority of the newly elected Members.

#### **CONSENT AGENDA**

All matters listed hereunder constitute a Consent Agenda, are considered to be routine by the Board of Supervisors and will be acted upon by a single roll call vote of the Board. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a member of the Board so requests, in which event the matter shall be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered as a separate item.

Questions on the Consent Agenda are on final passage, first reading, adoption, or approved, as indicated.

### Recommendation of the Government Audit and Oversight Committee

Present: Supervisors Mar, Peskin, Haney

# 2. 201279 [Settlement of Lawsuit - Bay Area Motivate, LLC - \$330,000]

Ordinance authorizing settlement of the lawsuit filed by Bay Area Motivate, LLC against the City and County of San Francisco for \$330,000 and other mutually beneficial terms; the lawsuit was filed on June 7, 2019, in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-19-576554; entitled Bay Area Motivate, LLC v. City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, and Does 1-50; the lawsuit involves claims for breach of contract and declaratory relief; other material terms of the settlement involve the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's agreement to acknowledge Bay Area Motivate, LLC's contractual exclusivity while retaining the ability to utilize a second bike share operator in certain enumerated circumstances, and other programmatic and operational terms regarding the Bay Area Bike Share Program in San Francisco. (City Attorney)

### Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

### **Recommendations of the Land Use and Transportation Committee**

Present: Supervisors Peskin, Safai, Preston

3.190846[Administrative Code - Housing Needs Assessment and Production<br/>Pipeline Reports - Seniors and People with Disabilities]

Sponsors: Yee; Ronen, Peskin, Mar, Safai, Haney and Fewer Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to direct the Department of Disability and Aging Services to report on housing needs and housing production for seniors and people with disabilities, with input from the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development and other departments.

12/08/2020; PASSED ON FIRST READING.

### Question: Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?

### 4. 201034 [Planning Code - Landmark Designation - 4767-4773 Mission Street (aka the Royal Baking Company Building)] Sponsors: Safai; Peskin and Preston

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to designate 4767-4773 Mission Street (Royal Baking Company), Assessor's Parcel Block No. 6084, Lot No. 021, as a Landmark under Article 10 of the Planning Code; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302; and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Historic Preservation Commission)

12/08/2020; PASSED ON FIRST READING.

# Question: Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?

### **Recommendations of the Rules Committee**

Present: Supervisors Ronen, Stefani, Mar

5. 201088 [Administrative Code - American Indian Cultural District] Sponsors: Ronen; Mandelman, Peskin, Stefani, Haney, Walton, Safai and Fewer

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to expand the boundaries of the American Indian Cultural District (District) and provide additional details regarding the cultural and historical significance of the District; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

12/08/2020; PASSED ON FIRST READING.

# Question: Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?

# 6. 201263 [Administrative Code - Guaranteed Income Advisory Group]

Sponsors: Haney; Walton, Ronen, Mar and Preston Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish the Guaranteed Income Advisory Group to advise the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor, and City departments regarding the establishment of a Guaranteed Income Pilot Program.

12/08/2020; PASSED ON FIRST READING.

# Question: Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?

# 7. 201327 [Administrative Code - Early Education Economic Recovery Program] Sponsors: Mayor; Yee, Ronen, Safai, Mar, Fewer, Walton, Stefani, Mandelman, Peskin, Preston and Haney

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish the Early Education Economic Recovery Program to provide grants and interest-free loans to early care and education providers to help cover costs associated with the COVID-19 pandemic.

12/08/2020; PASSED ON FIRST READING.

### Question: Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?

### **REGULAR AGENDA**

### **NEW BUSINESS**

#### **Recommendations of the Budget and Finance Committee**

Present: Supervisors Fewer, Walton, Mandelman

### 8. 201185 [Administrative Code - Permanent Supportive Housing - Rent Contribution Standard]

Sponsors: Haney; Walton, Ronen, Preston, Peskin, Mar and Fewer Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to establish a standard of 30% of the monthly adjusted household income as the maximum contribution to rent for households participating in Permanent Supportive Housing Programs operated by the City and County of San Francisco. (Fiscal Impact)

### Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

# 9. 201328 [Emergency Ordinance - Limiting COVID-19 Impacts by Not Moving People Experiencing Homelessness Currently Placed in Shelter-in-Place Hotel Rooms]

Sponsors: Haney; Walton, Ronen and Preston Emergency ordinance to prohibit the City from requiring people experiencing homelessness currently housed in approximately 2,000 Shelter-in-Place ("SIP") Hotel rooms to move from those rooms until the Federal Emergency Management Agency ("FEMA") provides written notification that FEMA funding available for SIP Hotel rooms is terminated or modified in a way that no longer reimburses any costs of these rooms, or people experiencing homelessness housed in SIP Hotel rooms obtain a stable housing placement; these 2,000 SIP Hotel rooms shall continue to be available as they are vacated to shelter people experiencing homelessness at risk of COVID-19 infection, until the County Health Officer's Stay Safer At Home Order is rescinded or expires; and requiring the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing to prepare publicly available reports on the progress of placements from SIP Hotels into stable housing.

(Pursuant to Charter, Section 2.107, this matter requires the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the Board of Supervisors (8 votes) for passage.) (Fiscal Impact)

(Supervisor Mandelman dissented in Committee.)

# Question: Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?

# 10.201278[Amendments to Airport Concessions Leases - Forgive Tenant Rent<br/>During COVID-19 Pandemic]

Ordinance authorizing the Airport Commission, under the San Francisco International Airport's COVID-19 Emergency Rent Relief Program, to amend certain leases with Airport concession tenants without Board of Supervisors approval under Charter, Section 9.118, and waiving Administrative Code and Environment Code requirements enacted after the most recent modification of each lease for such lease amendments, to allow for expeditious rent forgiveness necessitated by Airport tenants' financial hardships caused by the public health emergency related to the COVID-19 pandemic. (Airport Commission)

# Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

# 11.200245[Variable Rate Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds - Transbay<br/>Block 8 - Not to Exceed \$211,920,000]Sponsor: Fewer

Resolution authorizing certain amendments to the Indenture of Trust and the Loan Agreement relating to the City's variable rate multifamily housing revenue bonds, currently outstanding in an aggregate principal amount of \$211,920,000 issued in 2016 for the purpose of providing financing for the acquisition, development, and construction of a 350-unit multifamily rental housing project located at 450 Folsom Street (originally known as the "Transbay Block 8 Tower Apartments" and also now known as "Avery 450"); authorizing the collection of certain fees; ratifying and approving any action heretofore taken in connection with the bonds, the notes, and the projects, as defined herein; granting general authority to City officials to take actions necessary to implement this Resolution, as defined herein, subject to the terms of this Resolution, as defined herein; and related matters, as defined herein.

# Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

### 12. 200969 [Agreement Amendment - Retroactive - California Independent System Operator - Scheduling Electrical Transmissions - Not to Exceed \$100,000]

Resolution retroactively approving a First Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Operating Agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and the California Independent System Operator establishing the terms and conditions for scheduling the City's electrical transmissions for a term in excess of fifteen years, beginning on March 2, 2018, and ending ten years after the effective date of this Resolution, with a not to exceed amount of \$100,000 pursuant to Charter, Section 9.118. (Public Utilities Commission)

### 13. 201245 [Amendment Agreement - Retroactive - Calpine Energy Services, L.P. - Purchase of Renewable Energy and Electricity-Related Products - Not to Exceed \$220,299,120 in Purchases - Agreement -Purchase of Electricity-Related Products - Not to Exceed \$59,400,000]

Resolution retroactively authorizing CleanPowerSF to execute an amendment to an agreement with Calpine Energy Services, L.P. for electricity-related products to increase the cost by \$27,000,000 for a total of \$30,240,000 and to extend the term by seven years for a term ending December 31, 2029; approving an amendment to an agreement with Calpine for renewable energy to increase the cost by \$193,299,120 for a total of \$242,370,666 and to extend the term by seven years for a term ending December 31, 2029, for a total of \$220,299,120 not to exceed in purchases; and approving an agreement with Calpine Energy Services, L.P. for electricity-related products for \$59,400,000 with a term of January 1, 2024, through December 31, 2029. (Public Utilities Commission) (Fiscal Impact)

# **Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?**

# 14.201280[Agreement Approval - Retroactive - Southern California Edison -<br/>Sale of Electricity-Related Products - Not to Exceed \$1,273,570]

Resolution retroactively authorizing CleanPowerSF to sell electricity-related products to Southern California Edison for a total amount not to exceed \$1,273,570 for a one year term of January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021, under an agreement requiring binding arbitration. (Public Utilities Commission)

# Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

# 15.201035[Acquisition of Real Property - 444 Sixth Street - Myung Chun -<br/>Justice Facilities Improvement Program - \$4,200,000]

Resolution authorizing the Director of Property to acquire real property located at 444 Sixth Street from Myung Chun for the Justice Facilities Improvement Program at the purchase price of \$4,200,000; authorizing the Director of Property to execute documents, make certain modifications and take certain actions in furtherance of the Purchase Agreement and this Resolution, as defined herein; adopting the Planning Department's findings under the California Environmental Quality Act; and affirming the Planning Department's determination that the conveyance is consistent with the General Plan, and eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Real Estate Department) (Fiscal Impact)

# 16.201266[Real Property Lease Extension - TJ-T, LLC - 234-238 Eddy Street -<br/>Permanent Supportive Housing - \$1,013,913 Annual Base Rent]<br/>Sponsor: Mayor

Resolution authorizing the Director of Property, on behalf of the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to exercise a Lease Extension Option for the real property located at 234-238 Eddy Street, with TJ-T, LLC for continued use of 104 units of permanent supportive housing, for a ten-year term commencing on January 1, 2021, at the monthly base rent of \$84,492.72, for a total annual base rent of \$1,013,913.

(Fiscal Impact)

# Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

# 17.201267[Real Property Lease Extension - LE NAIN SF, LLC - 730 Eddy Street -<br/>Permanent Supportive Housing - \$896,923 Annual Base Rent]<br/>Sponsor: Mayor

Resolution authorizing the Director of Property, on behalf of the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, to exercise a Lease Extension Option for the real property located at 730 Eddy Street, with LE NAIN SF, LLC for continued use of 92 units of permanent supportive housing, for a ten-year term commencing on February 1, 2021, at the monthly base rent of \$74,743.56 for a total annual base rent of \$896,923. (Fiscal Impact)

# Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

# 18.201291[Grant Agreement - Episcopal Community Services - Permanent<br/>Supportive Housing - \$26,329,610]Sponsor: Mayor

Resolution approving a new grant agreement between the City and County of San Francisco and Episcopal Community Services for a master lease of Permanent Supportive Housing to formerly homeless adults in 463 units for County Adult Assistance Programs tenants, for a total term of January 1, 2021, through February 29, 2024, for a total not to exceed amount of \$26,329,610. (Fiscal Impact)

# 19. 201300[Accept and Expend Grant - Retroactive - Center for Tech and Civic<br/>Life - November 3, 2020 - \$846,801]<br/>Sponsor: Yee

Resolution retroactively authorizing the Department of Elections to accept and expend a grant in the amount of \$846,801 from the Center for Tech and Civic Life to support the City's administration of the November 3, 2020, Consolidated General Election, for a grant project period of June 15, 2020, through December 31, 2020.

# Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

## 20. 201317 [Contract Amendment - Aon Risk Insurance Services West, Inc. -Excess Liability Insurance - Central Subway Project - Not to Exceed \$26,778,986]

Resolution approving Amendment No. 5 to Contract CS-163-1 OCIP Insurance Brokerage Services, to provide excess liability insurance for the Central Subway Project, with Aon Risk Insurance Services West, Inc., to increase the contract amount by \$1,684,550 for additional premium charges due to increased construction time and contract costs, for a total contract amount not to exceed \$26,778,986 and to extend the term for two years for a total term of February 7, 2012, through July 1, 2022. (Municipal Transportation Agency) (Fiscal Impact)

# Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

# 21.201318[Contract Amendment - San Francisco New Deal - Great Plates<br/>Delivered - Not to Exceed \$25,740,000]

Resolution approving a contract amendment between the City and County of San Francisco and San Francisco New Deal, for the administration of the Great Plates Delivered Program, to extend the contract term by five months for a total term of June 1, 2020, through May 31, 2021, and to increase the contract amount by \$16,632,000 for a total not to exceed amount of \$25,740,000 to commence on December 15, 2020. (Human Services Agency) (Fiscal Impact)

# 22. 201333[Issuance of Judgment Obligation Bonds - Not to Exceed<br/>\$995,000,000]

Sponsors: Mayor; Yee

Resolution authorizing the issuance of a not to exceed \$995,000,000 aggregate principal amount of City and County of San Francisco Judgment Obligation Bonds to pay the costs of a potential judgment associated with litigation related to Proposition C (2018) (Commercial Rent Tax for Childcare and Early Education), subject to specified conditions, as defined herein. (Fiscal Impact)

# **Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?**

23. 201305 [Request for Proposal - Budget and Legislative Analyst Services]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to initiate a Request for Proposal process for Budget and Legislative Analyst services; and further directing the Clerk of the Board to explore available office space in City Hall with the City's Real Estate Division for onsite satellite space for the new Budget and Legislative Analyst contractor. (Clerk of the Board)

# Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

# **Recommendations of the Government Audit and Oversight Committee**

Present: Supervisors Mar, Peskin, Haney

24. 200790 [Condemning the Naming of the Priscilla Chan and Mark Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center] Sponsors: Mar; Haney

Resolution condemning the naming of the San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center after Priscilla Chan and Mark Zuckerberg and urging City departments to establish clear standards with regards to naming rights for public institutions and properties that reflect San Francisco's values and a commitment to affirming and upholding human rights, dignity, and social and racial justice.

# 25. 201122 [Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Strengthen our Behavioral Health Services]

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2019-2020 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Strengthen our Behavioral Health Services;" and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through her department heads and through the development of the annual budget. (Government Audit and Oversight Committee)

# Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

### 26. 201124 [Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Sustain Our City's High Performing Moscone Convention Center]

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2019-2020 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "Sustain Our City's High Performance Moscone Convention Center;" and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through her department heads and through the development of the annual budget. (Government Audit and Oversight Committee)

# Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

## 27. 201126 [Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - A Recycling Reality Check: What Actually Happens to Things We Put in Our Blue Recycling Bins]

Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings and recommendations contained in the 2019-2020 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled "A Recycling Reality Check: What Actually Happens to Things We Put in Our Blue Recycling Bins;" and urging the Mayor to cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through her department heads and through the development of the annual budget. (Government Audit and Oversight Committee)

### **Recommendations of the Land Use and Transportation Committee**

Present: Supervisors Peskin, Safai, Preston

# 28. 201060 [Planning Code - Light Manufacturing and Wholesale Storage Uses in the 24th Street-Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District]

Sponsor: Ronen

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to allow Light Manufacturing and Wholesale Storage uses in the 24th Street-Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District, in specific circumstances; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1, and findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.

### Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

## 29. 201184 [Summary Street Vacation for Various Streets - Hunters View Phase 3 Project]

Sponsors: Mayor; Walton

Ordinance ordering the summary vacation of streets in the Hunters View project site, generally bounded by Evans Avenue on the north, Innes Avenue on the south, Hudson Avenue on the west, and Hunters Point Boulevard on the east, as part of the Hunters View Phase 3 Project in the Hunters Point neighborhood; authorizing the City to quitclaim its interest in the vacation areas (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 4624, Lot Nos. 442 and 443) to the San Francisco Housing Authority notwithstanding the requirements of Administrative Code, Chapter 23; affirming the Planning Commission's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; adopting findings that the actions contemplated in this Ordinance are consistent with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and authorizing official acts in connection with this Ordinance, as defined herein.

### Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

# **30. 201227** [Urging a Just Transition to a Fossil Fuel-Free Future for California] Sponsors: Mar; Peskin and Preston

Resolution urging Governor Newsom and the California State Legislature to discontinue permitting for any new oil and gas extraction, fossil fuel infrastructure, or petrochemical projects in California; and urging Governor Newsom to set California on a path to phase out existing oil production in line with the Paris climate goals, with a just and equitable transition that protects workers, communities, and economies, and require a 2,500-foot health and safety buffer between fossil-fuel infrastructure and homes, schools, and other sensitive sites.

### **Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?**

### SPECIAL ORDER 2:30 P.M. - Recognition of Commendations

In accordance with the "Declaration of Local Health Emergency Regarding Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)," special commendations have been suspended.

# SPECIAL ORDER 3:00 P.M.

### APPEAL PROCEDURES

Board Rule 4.18 provides that public hearings on appeals shall be scheduled for 3:00 p.m. If more than one public hearing is scheduled, then the Clerk, in consultation with the President, may determine the order in which the appeals will be scheduled. Second and later appeals may be scheduled at specified times later than 3:00 p.m. An appeal shall not be heard prior to its scheduled time on the calendar, and it may not be called until the Board's consideration of appeals scheduled earlier on the calendar is completed. It is the policy of the Clerk of the Board to schedule multiple appeals in the following order at 3:00 p.m.

- 1. Appeals where all parties have agreed to request a continuance or a tabling of the appeal.
- 2. Continued appeals from previous Board meetings (continued appeals will be listed in order of those closest to deadlines for Board decision).
- 3. Appeals appearing on the calendar for the first time, in chronological order of receipt by the Clerk.
- 4. Multiple appeals appearing on the calendar may be staggered at times specific, beginning at 3:00 p.m.
- 5. Appeals involving participants who have ADA considerations may be set for specific times beginning at 3:00 p.m.

(Hearing continued from September 22, 2020, October 6, 2020, and November 10, 2020.)

PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE TAKEN 1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 146 561 6101 # #

# 31.200942[Hearing - Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization Disapproval -<br/>552-554 Hill Street]

Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the disapproval of a Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Sections 209.1, 303, and 317 of the Planning Code, for a proposed project at 552-554 Hill Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3622, Lot No. 065, identified in Planning Case No. 2019-000013CUA, issued by the Planning Commission by Motion No. 20756, dated July 9, 2020, to allow the legalization of a dwelling unit merger of two residential flats and unauthorized removal and relocation of one dwelling unit to basement level within the RH-2 (Residential, House, Two-Family) Zoning District and the 40-X Height and Bulk District. (District 8) (Appellant: Sarah Hoffman of Zacks, Freedman & Patterson, PC, on behalf of Robert Roddick) (Filed August 10, 2020) (Clerk of the Board)

09/22/2020; CONTINUED. 10/06/2020; CONTINUED. 11/10/2020; CONTINUED.

# Question: Shall this Hearing be HEARD AND FILED?

Pursuant to Government Code Section 65009, notice is hereby given: If you challenge the above matter in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described above, or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors, (1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244; San Francisco, CA 94102-4689) at, or prior to, the public hearing. (Only one of the following two Motions should be approved.)

# 32. 200943 [Approving the Decision of the Planning Commission and Disapproving Conditional Use Authorization - 552-554 Hill Street]

Motion approving the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 20756, disapproving a Conditional Use Authorization, identified as Planning Case No. 2019-000013CUA, for a proposed project located at 552-554 Hill Street; and making environmental findings, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Clerk of the Board)

09/22/2020; CONTINUED. 10/06/2020; CONTINUED. 11/10/2020; CONTINUED.

### **Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?**

### 33. 200944 [Conditionally Disapproving Decision of the Planning Commission and Approving the Conditional Use Authorization - 552-554 Hill Street]

Motion conditionally disapproving the decision of the Planning Commission by its Motion No. 20756, and approving a Conditional Use Authorization, identified as Planning Case No. 2019-000013CUA, for a proposed project at 552-554 Hill Street, subject to the adoption of written findings by the Board in support of this determination. (Clerk of the Board)

(Charter, Section 4.105, and Planning Code, Section 308.1(d), provides that the Board of Supervisors may disapprove the decision of the Planning Commission by a vote of not less than two-thirds (8 votes) of the members of the Board.)

09/22/2020; CONTINUED. 10/06/2020; CONTINUED. 11/10/2020; CONTINUED.

# 34. 200945[Preparation of Findings Related to Conditional Use Authorization<br/>- 552-554 Hill Street]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings in support of the Board of Supervisors' decision to approve the proposed Conditional Use Authorization, identified as Planning Case No. 2019-000013CUA, for a proposed project at 552-554 Hill Street. (Clerk of the Board)

09/22/2020; CONTINUED. 10/06/2020; CONTINUED. 11/10/2020; CONTINUED.

### **Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?**

### SPECIAL ORDER 3:00 P.M.

(Hearing continued from September 22, 2020, October 20, 2020, November 17, 2020, December 1, 2020, and December 8, 2020.)

PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE TAKEN 1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 146 561 6101 # #

### 35. 200908 [Hearing - Appeal of Determination of Exemption From Environmental Review - Proposed Department of Public Health -Local Oversight Program Site No. 12076 Investigation/Remediation Project (1776 Green Street)]

Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the determination of exemption from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act issued as a Common Sense Exemption by the Planning Department on June 16, 2019, for the proposed Department of Public Health - Local Oversight Program Site No. 12076 Investigation/Remediation project located beneath the sidewalk in front of 1776 Green Street. (District 2) (Appellant: Richard Drury of Lozeau Drury LLP, on behalf of The Hollow Revolution) (Filed July 17, 2020) (Clerk of the Board)

09/22/2020; CONTINUED. 10/20/2020; CONTINUED. 11/17/2020; CONTINUED. 12/01/2020; CONTINUED. 12/08/2020; CONTINUED.

### Question: Shall this Hearing be HEARD AND FILED?

Pursuant to Government Code Section 65009, notice is hereby given: If you challenge the above matter in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or

someone else raised at the public hearing described above, or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors, (1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244; San Francisco, CA 94102-4689) at, or prior to, the public hearing.

# (Only one of the following two Motions should be approved.)

### 36. 200909 [Affirming the Exemption Determination - Department of Public Health - Local Oversight Program Site No. 12076 Investigation/Remediation Project - 1776 Green Street]

Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed Department of Public Health - Local Oversight Program Site No. 12076 Investigation/Remediation project located beneath the sidewalk in front of 1776 Green Street is exempt from further environmental review. (Clerk of the Board)

> 09/22/2020; CONTINUED. 10/20/2020; CONTINUED. 11/17/2020; CONTINUED. 12/01/2020; CONTINUED. 12/08/2020; CONTINUED.

### **Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?**

# 37. 200910[Conditionally Reversing the Exemption Determination -<br/>Department of Public Health - Local Oversight Program Site No.<br/>12076 Investigation/Remediation Project - 1776 Green Street]

Motion conditionally reversing the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed Department of Public Health - Local Oversight Program Site No. 12076 Investigation/Remediation project located beneath the sidewalk in front of 1776 Green Street is exempt from further environmental review, subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of this determination. (Clerk of the Board)

09/22/2020; CONTINUED. 10/20/2020; CONTINUED. 11/17/2020; CONTINUED. 12/01/2020; CONTINUED. 12/08/2020; CONTINUED.

# 38.200911[Preparation of Findings to Reverse the Exemption Determination<br/>- Department of Public Health - Local Oversight Program Site No.<br/>12076 Investigation/Remediation Project - 1776 Green Street]

Motion directing the Clerk of the Board to prepare findings reversing the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed Department of Public Health - Local Oversight Program Site No. 12076 Investigation/Remediation project located beneath the sidewalk in front of 1776 Green Street, is exempt from further environmental review. (Clerk of the Board)

09/22/2020; CONTINUED. 10/20/2020; CONTINUED. 11/17/2020; CONTINUED. 12/01/2020; CONTINUED. 12/08/2020; CONTINUED.

### SPECIAL ORDER 3:00 P.M.

Board of Supervisors Sitting as a Committee of the Whole (File No. 201285 was called from the Land Use and Transportation Committee by Supervisor Peskin, pursuant to Board Rule 3.39.)

PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE TAKEN 1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 146 561 6101 # #

# 39. 201375[Hearing - Committee of the Whole - Emergency Ordinance -<br/>Protections for Occupants of Residential Hotels During COVID-19<br/>Pandemic - December 15, 2020, at 3:00 p.m.]

Hearing of the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Committee of the Whole on December 15, 2020, at 3:00 p.m., to hold a public hearing to consider the proposed emergency Ordinance (File No. 201285) to consider the reenactment of emergency ordinance (Ordinance No. 84-20, reenacted and amended by Ordinance No. 161-20) to establish protections for occupants of residential hotels ("SRO Residents") during the COVID-19 pandemic by, among other things: making it City policy to place in solitary hotel rooms SRO residents who meet the criteria for isolation or guarantine established by the County Health Officer, and requiring the Department of Public Health to: develop a protocol to assist health care providers to identify SRO Residents who may require protection against or treatment for COVID-19; notify the operator of a residential hotel when an SRO Resident has tested positive for COVID-19, to facilitate contract tracing, testing for COVID-19, and cleaning; establish a telephone hotline for SRO Residents, to respond to questions about accessing COVID-19 health screenings, testing, and solitary hotel rooms; provide face coverings to SRO Residents and workers in residential hotels; and provide daily aggregate data concerning the incidence of COVID-19 among SRO Residents, access to guarantine rooms by such residents, and the number of such residents who have died due to complications from COVID-19; scheduled pursuant to a request made to call this emergency ordinance from committee, Board Rule 3.39. (Clerk of the Board)

### Question: Shall this Hearing be HEARD AND FILED?

### Committee of the Whole Adjourn and Report

### **<u>Called from the Land Use and Transportation Committee</u>**

#### 40. 201285 [Emergency Ordinance - Protections for Occupants of Residential Hotels During COVID-19 Pandemic] Sponsor: Peskin

Reenactment of emergency ordinance (Ordinance No. 84-20, reenacted and amended by Ordinance No. 161-20) to establish protections for occupants of residential hotels ("SRO Residents") during the COVID-19 pandemic by, among other things: making it City policy to place in solitary hotel rooms SRO residents who meet the criteria for isolation or quarantine established by the County Health Officer, and requiring the Department of Public Health to: develop a protocol to assist health care providers to identify SRO Residents who may require protection against or treatment for COVID-19; notify the operator of a residential hotel when an SRO Resident has tested positive for COVID-19, to facilitate contract tracing, testing for COVID-19, and cleaning; establish a telephone hotline for SRO Residents, to respond to questions about accessing COVID-19 health screenings, testing, and solitary hotel rooms; provide face coverings to SRO Residents and workers in residential hotels; and provide daily aggregate data concerning the incidence of COVID-19 among SRO Residents, access to quarantine rooms by such residents, and the number of such residents who have died due to complications from COVID-19.

(Pursuant to Charter, Section 2.107, this matter requires the affirmative vote of twothirds of the Board of Supervisors (8 votes) for passage.) (Fiscal Impact; No Budget and Legislative Analyst Report)

### **Question: Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?**

### **COMMITTEE REPORTS**

Reports from committees, if any, recommending emergency or urgent measures.

The following items will be considered by the Government Audit and Oversight Committee at a Special Meeting on Tuesday, December 15, 2020, at 8:30 a.m. The Chair intends to request the Committee to send the following items as Committee Reports on Tuesday, December 15, 2020.

# 41.201264[Emergency Ordinance - Public Health Emergency Leave]Sponsor: Mar

Reenactment of emergency ordinance (Ordinance No. 59-20) to temporarily require private employers with 500 or more employees to provide public health emergency leave during the public health emergency related to COVID-19.

(Pursuant to Charter, Section 2.107, this matter requires the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the Board of Supervisors (8 votes) for passage.)

### Question: Shall this Ordinance be FINALLY PASSED?

# 42. 201176 [Mills Act Historical Property Contract - 450 Pacific Avenue]

Resolution approving a historical property contract between Pacific Stables Property Owner LLC, the owners of 450 Pacific Avenue, and the City and County of San Francisco, under Administrative Code, Chapter 71; and authorizing the Planning Director and the Assessor-Recorder to execute and record the historical property contract. (Historic Preservation Commission)

12/01/2020; RE-REFERRED to the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

The following item will be considered by the Land Use and Transportation Committee at a Regular Meeting on Monday, December 14, 2020, at 1:30 p.m. The Chair intends to request the Committee to send the following item as a Committee Report on Tuesday, December 15, 2020.

# 43. 201261 [Planning Code - Cannabis Uses]

Sponsors: Mayor; Mandelman

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to extend from January 1, 2021, to January 1, 2022, the sunset date for the provision allowing for the conversion of Medical Cannabis Dispensaries with Planning Commission approval to a Cannabis Retail Use; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302.

# Question: Shall this Ordinance be PASSED ON FIRST READING?

The following items will be considered by the Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee at a Regular Meeting on Thursday, December 10, 2020, at 10:00 a.m. The Chair intends to request the Committee to send the following items as Committee Reports on Tuesday, December 15, 2020.

# 44. 201174 [Liquor License Transfer - 1098 Howard Street - Tony Baloney's]

Resolution determining that the transfer of a Type-21 off-sale general beer, wine, and distilled spirits liquor license to Tawfiq Fayez Jodeh, doing business as Tony Baloney's, located at 1098 Howard Street (District 6), will serve the public convenience or necessity of the City and County of San Francisco; and requesting that the California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control impose conditions on the issuance of the license. (Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee)

# Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

# 45. 201204 [Liquor License - 408 Merchant Street - San Francisco Wine Society]

Resolution determining that the issuance of a Type-42 on-sale beer and wine public premises liquor license to TVH Hospitality, LLC, doing business the San Francisco Wine Society, located at 408 Merchant Street (District 3), will serve the public convenience or necessity of the City and County of San Francisco; and requesting that the California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control impose conditions on the issuance of the license. (Public Safety and Neighborhood Services Committee)

The following item will be considered by the Rules Committee at a Regular Meeting on Monday, December 14, 2020, at 10:00 a.m. The Chair intends to request the Committee to send the following item as a Committee Report on Tuesday, December 15, 2020.

# 46. 201335 [Urging Prioritization of Public-School Educators for COVID-19 Vaccine Access]

Sponsors: Ronen; Haney, Yee and Walton

Resolution urging Governor Gavin Newsom and the California Department of Public Health to prioritize California public school educators for phase one COVID-19 vaccine access.

### Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?

# 47. ROLL CALL FOR INTRODUCTIONS

Roll call for introduction of ordinances, resolutions, charter amendments, requests for hearings, letters of inquiry, letters of request to the City Attorney and Board Members' reports on their regional body activities.

### 48. PUBLIC COMMENT

An opportunity for members of the public to directly address the Board on items of interest to the public that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board, including items being considered today which have not been considered by a Board committee and excluding items which have been considered by a Board committee. Members of the public may address the Board for up to three minutes. Each member of the public will be allotted the same number of minutes to speak, except that public speakers using translation assistance will be allowed to testify for twice the amount of the public testimony time limit. If simultaneous translation services are used, speakers will be governed by the public testimony time limit applied to speakers not requesting translation assistance. The President or the Board may limit the total testimony to 30 minutes.

Members of the public who want a visual displayed should provide in advance of the meeting to the Clerk of the Board (bos.legislation@sfgov.org), clearly state such during testimony, and subsequently request the document be removed when they want the screen to return to live coverage of the meeting.

PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN 1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 146 561 6101 # #

### FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE

These measures were introduced for adoption without committee reference. A unanimous vote is required for adoption of these resolutions today. Any Supervisor may require any resolution to go to committee.

Questions on the For Adoption Without Committee Reference Agenda are on for adoption, or approved, as indicated.

(PUBLIC COMMENT for Items 49 through 64 will be taken during Item 48 - General Public Comment.)

### Items 49 through 64

# **49. 201362** [Commending Supervisor Norman Yee] Sponsor: Board of Supervisors

Resolution commending and honoring Supervisor Norman Yee for his distinguished service to the City and County of San Francisco, and as President and Member of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

12/08/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA

AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

### **Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?**

### 50. 201376 [Commending Supervisor Sandra Lee Fewer]

Sponsor: Board of Supervisors

Resolution commending and honoring Supervisor Sandra Lee Fewer for her dedicated service to San Francisco and her venerable service as a Member of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors.

12/08/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA

AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

### 51. 201368 [Urging a Comprehensive Return to School Plan]

Sponsors: Fewer, Peskin, Mandelman, Safai, Ronen and Yee Resolution urging the San Francisco Unified School District to develop a comprehensive plan for the safe return to in-person learning in accordance with public health guidelines.

> 12/08/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

### **Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?**

# 52. 201369 [Urging State Officials to Reconsider the Closure of Outdoor Public Playgrounds]

Sponsors: Haney; Ronen, Mandelman and Mar

Resolution urging Governor Gavin Newsom, California Department of Health Acting Director Sandra Shewry, and Acting State Health Officer Dr. Erica S. Pan to reconsider the closure of outdoor public playgrounds as part of the December 3, 2020, Regional Stay at Home Order in response to rising rates of COVID-19 infection.

12/08/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

### **Question: Shall this Resolution be ADOPTED?**

### 53. 201371 [Urging the California Democratic Party to Expand Eligibility for Non-Citizens to Participate in Assembly District Elections] Sponsor: Peskin

Resolution urging the California Democratic Party to amend its Bylaws to allow participation in Assembly District Elections by non-citizens who are ineligible to register with the California Democratic Party and have expressed an intent to register upon becoming eligible to do so.

12/08/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

# 54. 201350 [Final Map No. 10127 - 2 Russia Avenue]

Motion approving Final Map No. 10127, an eight residential unit and one commercial unit, mixed-use condominium project, located at 2 Russia Avenue, being a subdivision of Assessor's Parcel Block No. 6272, Lot No. 027; and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Public Works)

12/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

12/09/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

# Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

# 55. 201351 [Final Map No. 10110 - 824 Hyde Street]

Motion approving Final Map No. 10110, a 14 residential unit and one commercial unit, mixed-use condominium project, located at 824 Hyde Street, being a subdivision of Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0280, Lot No. 017; and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Public Works)

12/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

12/09/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

# **Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?**

# 56. 201352 [Final Map No. 9978 - 1863 Mission Street]

Motion approving Final Map No. 9978, a 37 residential unit and two commercial unit, mixed-use condominium project, located at 1863 Mission Street, being a subdivision of Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3548, Lot No. 033; and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Public Works)

12/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT. 12/09/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

# 57. 201353 [Final Map No. 10149 - 1630 Clay Street]

Motion approving Final Map No. 10149, a six residential unit condominium project, located at 1630 Clay Street, being a subdivision of Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0620, Lot No. 007; and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Public Works)

> 12/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT. 12/09/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

# **Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?**

### 58. 201354 [Final Map No. 9888 - 2146-2150 Union Street]

Motion approving Final Map No. 9888, a three parcel vertical subdivision and four residential unit condominium project within Parcel C, located at 2146-2150 Union Street, being a subdivision of Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0533, Lot No. 014; and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Public Works)

12/04/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT. 12/09/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

### **Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?**

# 59. 201355 [Final Map No. 9115 - 75 Howard Street]

Motion approving Final Map No. 9115, a 120 residential unit and one commercial unit, mixed-use condominium project, located at 75 Howard Street, being a subdivision of Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3741, Lot No. 045; and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Public Works)

12/04/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT. 12/09/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

### 60. 201356 [Final Map No. 9751 - 1532 Harrison Street]

Motion approving Final Map No. 9751, a two lot merger, three lot vertical subdivision and 136 residential unit and two commercial unit, mixed-use condominium project, located at 1532 Harrison Street, being a subdivision of Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3521, Lot No. 056; and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Public Works)

12/04/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

12/09/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

### Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

### 61. 201357 [Final Map No. 9767 - 950-974 Market Street]

Motion approving Final Map No. 9767, an 11 lot vertical subdivision and 242 residential unit condominium project within Lot 3, located at 950-974 Market Street, being a merger and subdivision of Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0342, Lot Nos. 001, 002, 004, and 014; and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Public Works)

12/04/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT. 12/09/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

### **Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?**

# 62. 201358 [Final Map No. 10569 - 5M Project]

Motion approving Final Map No. 10569, 5M Project, for development purposes, resulting in a two lot vertical subdivision, a 211 residential unit and eight commercial unit condominium project within vertical Lot 1 and Lot 2 being a vertical lot, as shown on this map, being a subdivision of Lot 1 as said lot is shown on Final Map 10101, subject to specified conditions (also referred to as Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3725, Lot Nos. 124 through 132); and acknowledging findings pursuant to the General Plan, and the eight policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Public Works)

12/04/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT. 12/09/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

# 63. 201359 [Final Map No. 10328 - 2779 Folsom Street]

Motion approving Final Map No. 10328, a six residential unit and one commercial unit, mixed-use condominium project, located at 2779 Folsom Street, being a subdivision of Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3640, Lot No. 025; and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Public Works)

12/04/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT. 12/09/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

### Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

# 64. 201360 [Final Map No. 9902 - 343 and 345 Eighth Street, 56, 58 and 60 Rodgers Street]

Motion approving Final Map No. 9902, a 38 residential unit and one commercial unit, mixed-use condominium project, located at 343 and 345 Eighth Street, 56, 58 and 60 Rodgers Street, being a merger and subdivision of Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3755, Lot Nos. 054, 065, and 066; and adopting findings pursuant to the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Public Works)

12/04/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT. 12/09/2020; REFERRED FOR ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE AGENDA AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

# Question: Shall this Motion be APPROVED?

# 65. IMPERATIVE AGENDA

Resolution(s), if any, to be adopted within limits imposed by the Sunshine Ordinance and the Ralph M. Brown Act, introduced today, not on the printed agenda. For such resolutions to be considered, the Board must first adopt the Serious Injury Finding or the Purely Commendatory Finding and the Brown Act Finding. Each motion requires eight (8) votes or a unanimous six (6) or seven (7). A unanimous vote is required for the resolution(s).

[Serious Injury Finding]

Motion that the Board find that for the resolution(s) being considered at this time "the need to take action is so imperative as to threaten serious injury to the public interest if action is deferred to a later meeting."

[Purely Commendatory Finding]

Motion that the Board find that the resolution(s) being considered at this time are purely commendatory.

[Brown Act Finding]

Motion that the Board find by roll call vote that, for the resolution(s) being considered at this time, there is a need to take immediate action. The need to take action came to the attention of the City and County of San Francisco after the agenda was posted.

(PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE TAKEN on any Imperative Agenda item introduced.) 1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 146 561 6101 # #

### LEGISLATION INTRODUCED AT ROLL CALL

Introduced by a Supervisor or the Mayor

Pursuant to Charter, Section 2.105, an Ordinance or Resolution may be introduced before the Board of Supervisors by a Member of the Board, a Committee of the Board, or the Mayor and shall be referred to and reported upon by an appropriate Committee of the Board.

Legislation Introduced will appear on the Final Minutes for this meeting. Once the Legislation Introduced is approved, it will be available on http://www.sfbos.org/legislation\_introduced.

Introduced at the Request of a Department

Pursuant to Rules of Order of the Board of Supervisors, Section 2.7.1, Department Heads may submit proposed legislation to the Clerk of the Board, in which case titles of the legislation will be printed at the rear of the next available agenda of the Board.

#### **PROPOSED ORDINANCES**

# 201343 [Waiver of Municipal Code Requirements for Airport Agreement with the Federal Aviation Administration]

Ordinance exempting from requirements of the Administrative Code and the Environment Code the Reimbursable Agreement between the San Francisco International Airport and the Federal Aviation Administration for services to commission a Ground Based Augmentation System at the Airport; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act. (Airport Commission)
12/07/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

12/15/2020; ASSIGNED UNDER 30 DAY RULE to the Budget and Finance Committee.

# 201344 [Authorizing Membership in Community Choice Aggregation Joint Powers Authority for CleanPowerSF]

Ordinance authorizing the Public Utilities Commission to become a member of a Joint Powers Authority consisting of Community Choice Aggregators for the purpose of joint purchases of electricity and related products and services; and authorizing deviations from certain otherwise applicable contract requirements in the Administrative Code and the Environment Code for purchases that do not otherwise require Board approval. (Public Utilities Commission)

12/07/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

12/15/2020; ASSIGNED UNDER 30 DAY RULE to the Budget and Finance Committee.

# **PROPOSED RESOLUTIONS**

201345 [Lease Amendment - Gotham Enterprises, LLC - Specialty Coffee Facilities -Term Extension]

Resolution approving Amendment No. 3 to the Specialty Coffee Facilities Lease No. 03-0069, between Gotham Enterprises, LLC, and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through its Airport Commission, to extend the term of the Lease by three years to December 31, 2023, with a condition that the Airport Director, at his sole and absolute discretion, may terminate earlier by providing six months' advance written notice to tenant, with no change to the current minimum annual guarantee, subject to adjustment in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Lease, effective upon approval by the Board of Supervisors. (Airport Commission)

12/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

12/15/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Budget and Finance Committee.

# 201346 [Lease Amendment - D-Lew Enterprises, LLC - Domestic Terminal Food and Beverage Program - Term Extension]

Resolution approving Amendment No. 2 to Domestic Terminal Food and Beverage Lease No. 03-0203 between D-Lew Enterprises, LLC and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through its Airport Commission, for a second extension of the term for three years to no later than December 31, 2023, with a condition that the Airport Director, at his sole and absolute discretion, may terminate earlier by providing six months' advance written notice, with no change to the current minimum annual guarantee, subject to adjustment in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Lease, effective upon approval by the Board of Supervisors.

12/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

12/15/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Budget and Finance Committee.

## 201347 [Lease Amendment - InMotion Entertainment Group, LLC - Electronics and Technology Stores - Term Extension]

Resolution approving Amendment No. 3 to the Electronics and Technology Stores in Terminal 2 and Terminal 3 Lease No. 10-0038, between InMotion Entertainment Group, LLC, dba InMotion and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through its Airport Commission, for a second extension of the term for three years to no later than December 31, 2023, with a condition that the Airport Director, at his sole and absolute discretion, may terminate earlier by providing six months' advance written notice, with no change to the current minimum annual guarantee, subject to adjustment in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Lease, effective upon approval by the Board of Supervisors. (Airport Commission)

12/03/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

12/15/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Budget and Finance Committee.

## 201348 [Lease Amendment - DFS Group, L.P. - The International Terminal Duty Free and Luxury Lease - Temporary Modified Percentage Rent Structure or Reinstatement of Minimum Annual Guarantee - \$42,000,000]

Resolution approving Amendment No. 2 to the International Terminal Duty Free and Luxury Store Lease No. 17-0303 between DFS Group, L.P. and the City and County of San Francisco, acting by and through its Airport Commission, which continues the temporary modified percentage rent structure to 33% of sales through the earlier to occur of December 31, 2023, or the reinstatement of the minimum annual guarantee of

\$42,000,000 contingent upon completion of certain construction projects by December 31, 2021, with no change to the 14-year term, to commence upon approval by the Board of Supervisors. (Airport Commission)

12/07/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

12/15/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Budget and Finance Committee.

# 201349 [Airport Professional Services Agreement Modification - KONE Inc. -Escalator and Electric Walk Maintenance - Not to Exceed \$18,765,600]

Resolution approving Modification No. 3 to Airport Contract No. 50205, Escalator and Electric Walk Maintenance, Repair, and On-Call Services, with KONE Inc., to increase the contract amount by \$9,000,000 for a new not to exceed contract amount of \$18,765,600 with no change to the contract term, to commence upon approval by the Board of Supervisors, pursuant to Charter, Section 9.118(b). (Airport Commission)

12/07/2020; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT.

12/15/2020; RECEIVED AND ASSIGNED to the Budget and Finance Committee.

# 201377 [Petitions and Communications]

Petitions and Communications received from December 3, 2020, through December 10, 2020, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on December 15, 2020.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Department of Public Health, submitting the Order of the Health Officer C19-07p and C19-07q. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From the Department of Public Health, submitting documents from the Office of Dr. Grant Colfax regarding the 2020 Title XV inspection reports. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, regarding the updated Shelter-in-Place (SIP) Rehousing Proposal. File No. 201234. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the Youth Commission, submitting a memorandum, entitled "One Youth Commission Action from December 7, 2020: motion to approve Resolution 2021-AL-04 [Potrero Yard Modernization Project - Youth Transportation Benefits and Youth Supportive Housing." Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Ethics Commission, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code - Behested Payments. File No. 201132. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From the Office of Small Business, submitting the Economic Mitigation Working Group report. Copy: Each Supervisor (6)

From the California Department of Parks and Recreation, Office of Historic Preservation, regarding placement of the John A. Whelan House in the National Register of Historic Place (National Register) and pursuant to Section 4851(a)(2) listing the aforementioned property in the California Register of Historical Resources. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From concerned citizen, regarding chain saw noise pollution from Fire Station #15. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Resolution urging a Just Transition to a Fossil Fuel-Free Future for California. File No. 201227. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From concerned citizens, regarding the observation wheel in Golden Gate Park. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Resolution Condemning the Naming of the Priscilla Chan and Mark Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital and Trauma Center. File No. 200790. 70 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From the Advisory Board of the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Administrative Code - American Indian Cultural District. File No. 201088. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Hearing on the Civil Grand Jury Report - Sustain Our City's High Performing Moscone Convention Center. File No. 201123. 2 letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From concerned citizen, regarding the City Center at Geary & Masonic and Whole Foods. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From the Coalition on Homelessness, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Administrative Code - Housing Inventory. File No. 201262. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Resolution Approving Early Care and Education for All Initiative's "Babies and Families First Fund" Five-Year Spending Plan. File No. 201301. 3 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Resolution approving Outreach Community Advertising and Neighborhood Outreach Advertising - Jasmine Blue Media LLC (dba Marina Times) - FY2020-2021. File No. 201325. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From concerned citizen, regarding the proposed Resolution Renouncing Nuclear Weapons Proliferation and Embracing the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons. File No. 201334. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Gemma Medlam-Cooke, regarding various subjects about law enforcement. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From Paul Boden, regarding civil injunctions and penalties in the Tenderloin from the City Attorney. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From Mad Mob SF, regarding ending the role police officers have as first responders to people in need of crisis intervention. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From the Black Employee Alliance, regarding various subjects. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From concerned citizens, regarding homeless issues. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From Carsten Andersen, regarding the business culture in San Francisco. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From the Arab American Grocer Association (AAGA) Executive Board, regarding the proposed Resolution approving a Liquor License Transfer - 1098 Howard Street - Tony Baloney's. File No. 201174. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25) From John Smith, regarding various subjects. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26) From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Administrative Code - Permanent Supportive Housing - Rent Contribution Standard. File No. 201185. 27 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Environment and Public Works Codes - Construction and Demolition Debris Recovery. File No.

201151. 11 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From Linda Margoles, regarding various subjects pertaining to skateboarders, bicyclists, and scooters on sidewalks. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Hearing on the Shelter-in-Place Rehousing and Site Demobilization Plan. File No. 201234.152 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From Jojo Kofman, regarding the proposed Ordinance approving the Memorandum of Understanding and Settlement of Grievances - Police Officers Association. File No.

201050. Copy: Each Supervisor. (31)

From concerned citizens, regarding the Hearing on the Economic Mitigation Working Group Findings and Recommendations Report. File No. 201160. 5 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (32)

From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Health Code - No Smoking in Multi-Unit Housing Complexes. File No. 201265. 5 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (33) From concerned citizens, regarding the proposed Resolution Initiating a Landmark Designation - Ingleside Terraces Sundial and Sundial Park, Situated Within Entrada Court. File No. 201299. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (34)

From Anonymous, regarding various subjects. 6 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (35)

From concerned citizens, regarding various COVID-19 related issues. 10 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (36)

ADJOURNMENT

### Hillary Ronen

December 7, 2020 · 🕄

Joint Statement from Supervisors Preston and Ronen on City Advertising in The Marina Times

Last week, a proposal to direct City funds to neighborhood publications to advertise city events came before the Board of Supervisors. Since 1992, the City has had a policy of utilizing locally published newspapers to reach the general public, including our many separate and diverse communities.

In the course of last week's discussion, we pointed out that one such publication, the Marina Times, stood out among the outlets, in that it routinely functions less as a journalistic outlet and too often as a source of disinformation. In particular, the Marina Times' social media feed is filled with targeted attacks, including a threat to the family of a public official and falsehoods that are easily contradicted by a simple search of public records. Efforts to communicate with the editor to retract false statements have gone ignored. We were and remain troubled by the proposal to use city funds to support such an outfit.

We want to thank the many journalists who reached out to us both over the course of the intervening week to express their concerns about the lack of professionalism at the Marina Times as well as their concern that cancelling advertising notices in the Marina Times without a clear objective standard upon which to base the decision could have a chilling effect on other papers.

We understand and respect this position.

While the Marina Times regularly pushes hate-filled rhetoric and lies that routinely violate numerous provisions of the Code of Ethics of the Society of Professional Journalists, the last thing we want to do is take any action that could chill other outlets. As a country, especially during an era where social media is used to spread disinformation like wildfire, we need to grapple with how to protect the public from disinformation campaigns while simultaneously maintaining freedom for journalists to provide their essential check and balance on government and society. This is a challenge and dilemma of our time.

Therefore, we are reviewing options for updating Section IX of the San Francisco Administrative Code (Proposition J, 1992) and the contracting standards going forward. We should at minimum require that any news outlet wishing to contract with the city comply with certain basic journalistic standards. In the absence of such an objective standard articulated in our code, we think the wisest choice is to renew all the proposed advertising contracts for the current fiscal year.

Going forward, we intend to have objective standards in place to ensure that City funds are not supporting misinformation. We believe journalists, rather than politicians, should take the lead in articulating and applying the appropriate objective standards, and for this reason, we are seeking guidance from the Society of Professional Journalists to develop a workable solution.

We want to thank those who reached out to protect the First Amendment rights of journalists and look forward to improving our contracting standards to support, encourage, and benefit from the fine work of neighborhood and community-based journalists to keep our constituents informed.



🗘💟 A7ny Beinart, Jordan Davis and 45 others

End of results



**Emory Phillips** 

Good work. Thanks.

Like Reply 1y

### Julian Ostrow

That's a nice, measured step, but it's not even strictly necessary. Newspapers aren't entitled to our tax dollars for advertising, and they won't go under for missing SF's (our) ~\$5K. If you tried to reach out and they weren't receptive, too bad for th... See more

Like Reply 1y



## Julian Ostrow

And careful because opinion pieces could paint your "objective standards" as even worse next year. "SFBOS releases list of demands to newspapers for <br/><blah blah blah>"

Like Reply 1y

## Susan Dyer Reynolds

Please show me where I threatened someone. Please provide proof not rhetoric.

Like Reply 1y



## Susan Dyer Reynolds

And by all means ignore the fact the Marina Times broke the corruption stories rocking City Hall.

Here are some links in case you want to see what I really do as a journalist - I broke the corruption scandal stories that led to FBI indictments. Not M... See more



## MARINATIMES.COM

It's time for Mayor Breed to sweep DPW boss to the curb

Reply Like 1y



## Susan Dyer Reynolds

Zuniga/Nuru and Nuru/Breed romances. Breed was forced to go public and Zuniga has been indicted:

https://www.marinatimes.com/.../uber-and-lyft-mohammed.../... See more



## MARINATIMES.COM

Uber and Lyft, Mohammed Nuru, Navigation Centers





05

0

0

13

#### Susan Dyer Reynolds

Not a real journalist? Hmm. Why didn't the publications that aren't critical of you and Preston and Walton receive any scrutiny for their misinformed work? How about when Preston fed Mission Local the story about the mean tweets from his constituents a... See more



# Reply 1y

Like

Susan Dyer Reynolds

You, Preston and Walton don't like the fact there is finally a publication that calls you out. This is a clear violation of the First Amendment and of Prop. J — and that is what the attorneys contacting me have said.

Reply 1y Like



#### Susan Dyer Reynolds

Maybe you should READ the paper before you try to violate my First Amendment rights. You have now slandered AND libeled me. Real progressive 😀

Like Reply 1y



### Susan Dyer Reynolds

Please have Dean show me where I threatened him and also what in this article (researched for six months with documentation, guotes, and statistics) is "a lie" he may not LIKE it, but it's not untrue and he never asked for a retraction. He DOD howeve... See more



MARINATIMES.COM

Horror in the Haight

Reply 1y Like



#### Susan Dyer Reynolds

Tell me where the lie is here in what I wrote about you (and FYI the flipper you bought your building from is currently on a lawsuit with tenants he's trying to do a move-in eviction on in the building he bought with the proceeds from your sale):

Hill... See more

Like Reply 1y



#### Susan Dyer Reynolds

Here is what I wrote about Shamann Walton. Show me what is untrue:

Not only was Dwayne Jones the executive director from 1998 to 2003, but Shamann Walton held the six-figure position from 2010 until he joined the Board of Supervisors in January 2019. ... See more

Like Reply 1y



1

2

04

**D**3

**D**3

#### Susan Dyer Reynolds

So what this REALLY comes down to is you are fine with the Chronicle writing propaganda for The City Family and fine with 48 Hills and Mission Local doing your bidding, but you are NOT fine with the publication that takes on BOTH.



# Like Reply 1y

#### Susan Dyer Reynolds

Why won't you allow public comments on the meeting tomorrow where you will discuss backpedaling on squashing my First Amendment rights?

Like Reply 1y

#### Susan Dyer Reynolds

When you have that evidence of my physical threats to supervisors and your retraction requests please let me know. You can't though because neither exists.

Like Reply 1y



#### Willy Naaktgeboren

Sup. Ronen, what is false about what The Marina Times has uncovered about how your Bernal Heights building was converted from a rental with longtime, rent-controlled tenants to a market-rate TIC? A real estate agent involved in the sale and your own TI... **See more** 

So @HillaryRonen this is what Times: The realtor says they your partner confirmed it — ii disclosures. Since a TIC is ov you bought a building with a	did a move-in eviction, and ncluding saying it was in the vned by both parties equally
he listing ager y bought it wi y. I believe the yr. Not sure	unit were bought c



**1**3

04

**D**3

#### Susan Dyer Reynolds

Tune in to the Pat Thurston Show on KGO 810 today at 2 p.m. — we are talking about the Board of Supervisors (Ronen, Preston, and Walton) trying to silence me — and I'll be explaining exactly why these three in particular are the ones who pushed it.





#### Shane Ebbert

Shame on you **Hillary Ronen**. I'd like for you to explain your involvement and close relationship with gang member Fernando Madrigal who shot Day'von Hann: Fernando Madrigal, 22, fatally shot Hann in the early hours of July 8, a block away from the 24th... **See more** 



### COURTHOUSENEWS.COM

Alleged Gang Member Charged With 2019 Murder of San Francisco Teen

Like Reply 1y

#### Susan Dyer Reynolds

Shane Ebbert I'm working on a story about this. Wonder why Hillary Ronen wants to silence me 🤧

Like Reply 1y



2

2

#### Susan Dyer Reynolds

Surely you have seen this article calling you out on this **Hillary Ronen**: https://www.publiccommentsf.com/.../board-of-supervisors...



#### PUBLICCOMMENTSF.COM

SF Board of Supervisors' Revision of Newspaper Contracts Sets a 'Troubling' Precedent

#### Susan Dyer Reynolds

Here Dean's supporters try to get a boycott of our advertisers for the critical article I wrote about him. This precedes his attempt to take our city ad dollars by over a month. But this isn't personal 🙄

	Tim Wayne		
-	Who advertises in the Marina Times? Maybe we should Tweet the advertisers that the paper they		1
	advertise in is sliding down into dishonesty and hate etc.	•	
	Like Reply to	01	
	Hannah Bridgeman Osley		1
	Tim Wayne good call. There is zero point in contacting the author, given how she responde to Lisa.	d	•
	Like Reply to Ithink	01	
8	Sade Jernigan Yatel Hen's the print version, ads seem to be shops and restaurants. They are probably well aware of Marina Times' politics since they publish a voting guide. https://www.marinatimes.com/vej- content/sawarent.col		
	Like Reply In-	22	
9	Hope Williams Just thinking about the Marina makes my eye twitch		
	Like Reply to #D	5 C	
	Tim Wayne It just takes a handful of handwritten letters to an advertiser to get them to pull an ad. Half a dozen would do it		





#### Susan Dyer Reynolds

So you and **#DeanPreston** are fine with Joe Eskinazi using voter rolls to call every Emily Zheng in D5 to find out which one made mean Twitter memes about Dean? Yes! Because it advances your agenda!

Like Reply 1y

#### Susan Dyer Reynolds

https://missionlocal.org/.../bogus-social-media-accounts.../



1	and the second s	
/22	ally identify and report privile	ges when you see
	<ul> <li>Referentied by</li> </ul>	
100	Contraction and a local second	
	111 Max.	-
	A NAME OF BRIDE	
	And the second secon	
	O no tage has a character to the	
-	Internet and Annual	ap house of
	Lika D	anh 1

### MISSIONLOCAL.ORG

Bogus Twitter accounts amplifying memes, moderate groups infiltrated by Trumpists — Welcome to San Francisco politi...

C

0

Like Reply 1y

#### Susan Dyer Reynolds

Oh how ironic that Prop J gives bonus points to women-owned newspapers (I think I'm the only one on the list). We've been approved for a decade — until I wrote critically of @HillaryRonen and **#DeanPreston** this year.

**IE PROPOSAL:** Proposition J is an ordinance that would change the way official City newspapers are selected. The Purchaser would review bids by newspapers and score the qualified bidders using a formula based on advertising price and circulation, with bonus points for free distribution of the newspaper and local/minority/woman ownership. The Purchaser would then report these results and make a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors. The Board would then choose which newspapers to designate as official City newspapers.

Like Reply 1y

#### Claudia Tirado

Like Taylor Swift's You Need to Calm Down song, "You are somebody that I don't know

But you're taking shots at me like it's Patrón And I'm just like "Damn, it's 7:00 a.m."... **See more** 



Like Reply 1y

Julian Ostrow

Y'all are wild, wow

Like Reply 1y

Write a comment...

End of results



### Joint Statement from Supervisors Preston and Ronen on City Advertising in The Marina Times

Last week, a proposal to direct City funds to neighborhood publications to advertise city events came before the Board of Supervisors. Since 1992, the City has had a policy of utilizing locally published newspapers to reach the general public, including our many separate and diverse communities.

In the course of last week's discussion, we pointed out that one such publication, the Marina Times, stood out among the outlets, in that it routinely functions less as a journalistic outlet and too often as a source of disinformation. In particular, the Marina Times' social media feed is filled with targeted attacks, including a threat to the family of a public official and falsehoods that are easily contradicted by a simple search of public records. Efforts to communicate with the editor to retract false statements have gone ignored. We were and remain troubled by the proposal to use city funds to support such an outfit.

We want to thank the many journalists who reached out to us both over the course of the intervening week to express their concerns about the lack of professionalism at the Marina Times as well as their concern that cancelling advertising notices in the Marina Times without a clear objective standard upon which to base the decision could have a chilling effect on other papers. We understand and respect this position.

# View insights

Boost post





Liked by chelsface\_ and 44 others

.

hillary.ronen Supervisor Dean Preston and I issued this joint statement on city advertising in the Marina Times. I've been talking with journalists throughout the week. We must protect 1st Amendment rights while ensuring that news outlets meet journalistic ethical standards.

View all 7 comments December 7, 2020 ...



While the Marina Times regularly pushes hate-filled rhetoric and lies that routinely violate numerous provisions of the Code of Ethics of the Society of Professional Journalists, the last thing we want to do is take any action that could chill other outlets. As a country, especially during an era where social media is used to spread disinformation like wildfire, we need to grapple with how to protect the public from disinformation campaigns while simultaneously maintaining freedom for journalists to provide their essential check and balance on government and society. This is a challenge and dilemma of our time.

Therefore, we are reviewing options for updating Section IX of the San Francisco Administrative Code (Proposition J, 1992) and the contracting standards going forward. We should at minimum require that any news outlet wishing to contract with the city comply with certain basic journalistic standards. In the absence of such an objective standard articulated in our code, we think the wisest choice is to renew all the proposed advertising contracts for the current fiscal year.

Going forward, we intend to have objective standards in place to ensure that City funds are not supporting misinformation. We believe journalists, rather than politicians, should take the lead in articulating and applying the appropriate objective standards, and for this reason, we are seeking guidance from the Society of Professional Journalists to develop a workable solution.

We want to thank those who reached out to protect the First Amendment rights of journalists and look forward to improving our contracting standards to support, encourage, and benefit from the fine work of neighborhood and community-based journalists to keep our constituents informed.

# View insights

# Boost post

...

 $O \Delta$ 

Liked by chelsface\_ and 44 others

hillary.ronen Supervisor Dean Preston and I issued this joint statement on city advertising in the Marina Times. I've been talking with journalists throughout the week. We must protect 1st Amendment rights while ensuring that news outlets meet journalistic ethical standards.

View all 7 comments December 7, 2020 Supervisor Dean Preston and I issued this joint statement on city advertising in the Marina Times. I've been talking with journalists throughout the week. We must protect 1st Amendment rights while ensuring that news outlets meet journalistic ethical standards.

Advertiss proposal to direct City fine Board of Supervisors spapers to reach the get of last week's discussion but among the outlets, it source of disinformation geted attacks, including contradicted by a simp of false statements have e city funds to support it ank the many journalist eek to express their con r concern that cancelling	sing in The Marina and the method of the second state of the secon	<b>Γimes</b> lications to advert had a policy of u many separate at such publication, s less as a journal a Times' social m public official an t. Efforts to comm nd remain trouble oth over the cours of essionalism at the e Marina Times y	visions of the Code of Ethics to do is take any action that where social media is used to ow to protect the public from eedom for journalists to pro- his is a challenge and dilema are reviewing options for up tion J, 1992) and the contract y news outlet wishing to con- indards. In the absence of su st choice is to renew all the p l, we intend to have objective sinformation. We believe jou d applying the appropriate of the Society of Professional ank those who reached out the o improving our contracting eighborhood and communit	hate-filled rhetoric and lies that routin of the Society of Professional Journa could chill other outlets. As a country o spread disinformation like wildfire, y in disinformation campaigns while sim vide their essential check and balance ma of our time. Odating Section IX of the San Francisc etting standards going forward. We sho intract with the city comply with certain ch an objective standard articulated in proposed advertising contracts for the e standards in place to ensure that City irnalists, rather than politicians, should bjective standards, and for this reason Journalists to develop a workable solut o protect the First Amendment rights of standards to support, encourage, and y-based journalists to keep our constitu-
a and respect this positi				
	: 7, 2020 · Twitter	for iPhone		
10:08 AM · Dec		for iPhone 50 Likes		



Joe Fitzgerald Rodriguez @FitzTheReporter · Dec 7, 2020

Replying to @HillaryRonen

Let me clarfiy for those troubled by this statement: SPJ hasn't signed onto anything, but I certainly did call Sup. Preston with our concerns re: 1st amendment.

He kindly engaged in a thoughtful discussion about chilling effect. We are grateful he heard our concerns. (1/2)

6 2 17



#### Joe Fitzgerald Rodriguez @FitzTheReporter · Dec 7, 2020

We did talk about what constitutes a personal attack, what the code of ethics is, and ways SPJ can help mitigate conflicts.

Government deciding what constitutes journalism is not included in that. We've been emphatic on that, and we're grateful Sup. Preston heard that concern.

8 2 24

## Show replies



Darrel Lewis @darreljlewis1 · Dec 7, 2020

2

Replying to @HillaryRonen

Thanks for the detailed examples in your statement. It contains all the rigor I've come to expect from the Board of Supervisors.

17

Show more replies