

Partners in Progress

November 10, 2016

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Public and Indian Housing – Real Estate Assessment Center Attn: PASS Technical Reviews and Database Adjustments 550 12th Street S.W., Suite 100 Washington, D.C. 20410

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing to request technical review, in accordance with 24 CFR 902.68, regarding inspection report number 581634, filed on October 6, 2016 and released on October 12, 2016, for Jamestown Place, AMP 207. The inspection report included a deduction of 7.50 points for Site/Grounds erosion/rutting areas (NLT). We believe this is a material error which, if corrected, will result in a significant improvement in the property's overall score.

We previously requested review of this item on October 13, 2016 and received a decline response on October 26, 2016. However, it appears that we were not clear in describing the basis for the requested review and the issue with the inspector's application of the UPCS standard. We are therefore submitting a second request for Technical Review. We have had a licensed civil engineer inspect the area that was cited by the inspector, and the result of that inspection is not consistent with the REAC inspection report findings. A letter from the licensed civil engineer is enclosed for your review.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please contact me at (540) 983-9241, ggoh@rkehousing.org, or David Bustamante at (540) 983-9265, dbustamante@rkehousiing.org, if you need additional information.

Sincerely,

Glenda Edwards Goh Executive Director

Enclosures

cc: Catherine Lamberg, PIH Director, HUD Richmond Field Office

rda Edwards Joh



Office of Public Housing Real Estate Assessment Center Request for Technical Review (TR) or Database Adjustment (DBA) of a Physical Inspection Score

Inspection Number Inspection Date Project/Property Name		581634 10/07/2016 JAMESTOWN PLACE		Project ID Number Address of Property City, State, Zip	VA011000207 1533 PIKE LANE SE ROANOKE VA 24014										
								Date of Request		11/9/2016		Contact Name	David Bustamante		
								TR or DBA?		TR					
ISSUE #															
	Double of the co	11-14													
Location (Inspectable Area)	Building Number M	Unit lumber		Γ.		63	TA								
SITE/GRO	1627 -1635		Docum	nentation Type:	2		V								
	iciency/Observation	1													
Site - Erosio	on/Rutting Areas	(Grounds	s) (NLT), Level 3, 7.50 p	oint deduction.											
Reason for Re	equest														
RRHA initially	submitteed a requ	est for a te	chnical review and it was de	enied as a result RRHA procured	the service	s of a civil engine	er to								
			EAC inspector was not eros a rescore be complated.	sion. RRHA respectfully requests	that the 7.5	0 points that whe	re								
Additional Co	mments														
ISSUE #				- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
	P. ildina	11-14													
Location (Inspectable Area)	Building Number N	Unit Iumber		Γ.	بمير										
			Docu	mentation Type:	(O)	7/\	Ш								
Item and Def	iciency/Observation	1													
Reason for Re	eview					_									
Additional Co	mmente						<u> </u>								
Additional Co	iiiiieiiG			-											
ISSUE #															
Location (Inspectable	Building	Unit													
Area)	Number N	lumber	Docu	mentation Type:	- TOTAL	4	1								
				<u>u</u>			لسسا								
Item and Der	iciency/Observation	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											
Reason for Re	eview														
Additional Co	mments														

CITY OF ROANOKE REDEVELOPMENT AND HOUSING AUTHORITY

TECHNICAL REVIEW REQUEST -INSPECTION Number 581634 – Jamestown Place

1627-1635 QUEEN ANN DR SITE ERROSION

7.50 POINT DEDUCTION

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS



102 Albemarle Avenue, S.E. Roanoke, Virginia 24013 Tel: (540) 345-0675 Imweng@Irnw.roacoxmail.com

November 9, 2016

Mr. Joel Shank Vice-President of Operations 2624 Salem Turnpike, N.W. Roanoke, Virginia 24017

Re: 1627 Queen Anne Drive

Settled Area

L.M.W.'s Commission 4368J

Dear Mr. Shank:

At your request, I did a site visit to 1627 Queen Anne Drive noted in inspection #581634. The area, as seen in the photograph dated October 10, 2016, is adjacent to the sidewalk and at the end of a small retaining wall.

In my professional opinion as a licensed civil engineer, the low elevation of the soil in relation to the sidewalk is not the result of erosion, but is the result of a failure to fully backfill against the sidewalk when it was constructed and with the passing of time the settlement of the soil that was disturbed when the sidewalk was installed. There are no conditions present that will result in damage to surrounding structures or systems.

Please review and contact me with any questions.

Richard C. White, P.E.

L.M.W., P.C.

Inspector Photo View Page 1 of 1



Inspection Summary Report (POA) for Inspection #581634

Score Details

Note: The inspection software allows for the recording of the same deficiency as many times as it occurs. However, it is only scored once. The number within the parenthesis after the Deficiency indicates the number of observations for this inspectable area. For example, "Site - Spalling (Walkway / Steps), (4)" indicates the deficiency was observed and recorded 4 times under Site. Each individual observation can be found in the Deficiency Details section of this report.

Item	Deficiency	Severity	Points	Points
Site - JAMESTOW	N PLACE - Site(0) [Possible Points : 18.98]			
Non-Health And S	afety Deficiencies			
Retaining Walls	Site - Damaged/Falling/Leaning (Retaining Walls)	Level 1	1.63	_
Health And Safety	Deficiencies			
Grounds	Site - Erosion/Rutting Areas (Grounds) (NLT)	Level 3	7.50	
			9.13	9.8
	44-1662 QUEEN ANN DR SE - Building Exterior [Pos	ssible Points	1.14]	
	afety Deficiencies			
	BE- Obstructed or Missing Accessibility Route	Level 3	0.00	
o Main Floor Entrance	(FHEO Accessibility to Main Floor Entrance)			
Roofs	BE- Missing/Damaged Components from	Level 2	0.29	<u> </u>
	Downspout/Gutter (Roofs)	200012	0.20	
Walls	BE- Missing Pieces/Holes/Spalling (Walls)	Level 2	0.31	
			0.60	0.5
Building 10C7 - 16	344-1662 QUEEN ANN DR SE - Unit 1646 [Possible P	oints 2.16]		12.00
Non-Health And S	afety Deficiencies			
Doors	Unit - Damaged Frames/Threshold/Lintels/Trim (Doors) (2)	Level 2	0.06	
Doors	Unit - Damaged/Missing Screen/Storm/Security Doo (Doors)	r Level 1	0.06	
			0.12	2.0

Non-Health A	And Safety Deficiencies		
Doors	Unit - Damaged/Missing Screen/Storm/Security Door Level 1 (Doors)	0.06	
		0.06	2.06

Deficiency Details

ltem	Location/Comments	Deficiency/Severity	Decisions
Site - JAMESTOW	N PLACE - Site(0)		
Non-Health And Sa	afety Deficiencies		
Retaining Walls	2144-2162 KING CHARLES AVE	Site - Damaged/Falling/ Leaning (Retaining Walls) - L1	 Signs of deterioration (for example, falling or leaning), but still functions and is not a safety risk
Health And Safety	Deficiencies		
Grounds	1627-1635 QUEEN ANN DR SE	Site - Erosion/Rutting Areas (Grounds) (NLT) - L3	- Erosion/rutting - Severe runoff has displaced soil causing current or potential damage to surrounding structures or systems - Erosion/rutting - Erosion/rutting - Severe runoff has displaced surlouding current or systems

Building 10C7 - 1644-1662 QUEEN ANN DR SE[Sample.Inspected] - Building Exterior Non-Health And Safety Deficiencies				
FHEO - Accessibility to Main Floor Entrance	BE- Obstructed or Missing Accessibility Route (FHEO Accessibility to Main Floor Entrance) - L3	- There IS an obstructed or missing accessible route to or from the main ground floor entrance (accessible route includes level surface to the door, ramps, etc.)		
Roofs	BE- Missing/Damaged Components from Downspout/Gutter (Roofs) - L2	 Missing/Damaged Components from Downspout/Gutter You see that components of the drainage system including gutters, leaders, downspouts and drain openings are missing or damaged but there is NO visible damage to surrounding surfaces 		
Walls	BE- Missing Pieces/Holes/ Spalling (Walls) - L2	 Surface Deterioration and Spalling Smaller than a sheet of paper 		

Report generation date/time: 10/10/2016 09:47 AM Report template version: 04/15/2011 Note:

Score Version: 1

Page: 27 of 47

Note: The report generation date/time does not reflect the inspection release date/time.