

ORICINAL

255 Exchange Street Geneva, New York 14456 Phone: (315) 828-6771 Fax: (315) 789-1814 Michael Passalacqua Chief of Police

TO: Chief Passalacqua FROM: PO. T. Wagner DATE: 04/07/2021 RE: Discipline

Chief Passalacqua I have taken time to consider our previous conversation and except the discipline. This was not an easy decision for me as this punishment will not only affect me but my family as well. I would like to request to meet with you before the punishment is handed out to me, if necessary, I would be more that happy to stop in on one of my days off to do so.

Thank You

In With

Officer Trevor Wagner



To: Police Officer Trevor Wagner From: Chief Michael J. Passalacqua Re: Summary Discipline Date: March 30, 2021

Officer Wagner,

I am in receipt of a file from Sergeant Bielowicz regarding Suspicious Condition complaint. Along with that, I have pulled two Counseling Memos from your file within the last 18 months. First one relating to Substandard Work dated January 9th, 2020 which you signed for on January 17th, 2020 regarding a complaint at The second Counseling Memo was relating to Neglect of Duty which you singed for on February 15th, 2020 regarding a vehicle pursuit. I also reviewed Supervisory documentation of incidents pertaining to issues of Substandard Work on October 23rd, 2019 regarding not responding to a Suspicious Person complaint along with several other issues. There was also Supervisory documentation on October 31st, 2020 regarding an investigation

The Geneva Police Department has taken informal disciplinary measures against you in an attempt to correct your work and allow you to begin performing as expected as a Police Officer for the City of Geneva Police Department. Informal discipline is a measure taken to correct work behaviors that are substandard or violate Department General Orders.

Based on the information available to me, the information I have received from your direct Supervisors, and review of Body Worn Camera footage the following General Orders have been violated by you within the past 18 months:

- 1. GO 415 III (c) 1 under Patrol Procedures
- 2. GO 305 III (11) under Rules of Conduct
- 3. GO 305 III (11) under Rules of Conduct
- 4. GO 305 (3) A under Rules of Conduct (Vehicle Pursuit)

As the Chief of Police part of my responsibility is to ensure that all officers of the Geneva Police Department are operating in their capacity while conforming to all standing Geneva Police Department General Orders. Your failure to correct your performance when informal disciplinary measures were taken against you has brought us to this point today.



Geneva Police Department MICHAEL J. PASSALACQUA *Chief of Police* 255 Exchange St. - Geneva, New York 14456 (315) 828-6771 - Fax (315) 789-1814 - cityofgenevany.com



complaint) complaint) complaint) Disciplinary action is based on and in accordance with current Geneva Police Officer's contract language, specifically Article 19.

Conclusion of Disciplinary Action: I am offering Summary Discipline amounting to five (5) full days without pay and future violations of this type may result in further or more progressive discipline. Please respond to my office by the end of the business day (4:00pm) on April 7th, 2021 regarding your decision consistent with contract language in Article 19.2 of the Geneva Police Officer's current contract.

Respectfully,

Michael J. Passalacqua Chief of Police



255 Exchange Street Geneva, New York 14456 Phone: (315) 828-6771 Fax: (315) 789-1814 Michael Passalacqua Chief of Police

TO: Chief Passalacqua FROM: Sergeant Bielowicz DATE: 3/24/2021 RE:

Chief, I have attached an employee warning record for Officer Trevor Wagner in regards to the complaint. Officer Trevor Wagner has been counseled for substandard work on 3/26/2019 (failing to check statuses of parties on a domestic, but checked the boxes that he did), 1/17/2020 (failing to assume his job responsibilities), 2/9/2020 (watching movies during a chase). I also submitted memos with verbal reprimands for substandard work on 10/23/19 (doing nothing on his burglary complaint and watching movies), 7/10/19 (not finding drugs in an arrestees purse), and 10/31/2020 (no investigation at 10.11 have also attached Officer Trevor Wagner's previous disciplinary record.

Mar sup

Sgt. Nicholas Bielowicz



GENEVA POLICE DEPARTMENT

EMPLOYEE WARNING RECORD



Employee Name:	Trevor Wag	gner		
Shift: Nights 10:30p-7a		<u>Time</u> :	Date of Warning:	
		WARNING		
Date of Violation:		Nature of	Violation: Substandard Work	
Time of Violation:	02:54	(If Other – Explain):	GO 415 Violation, GO 305 Violation	
Place of Violation:				
		COMPANY REN	/IARKS	
Officer Wagner responded to		for a suspic	for a suspicious condition complaint. Upon arrival,	

made a criminal complaint to Officer Wagner about a male reaching in his window and grabbing his hair while he slept. Officer Wagner did not examine the window for fingerprints or call for an evidence technician, did not secure a supporting deposition from the victim, did not inquire if the victim wanted to press charges, and did not attempt to locate the suspects and closed out the call with a "GOA."

Officer Wagner failed to conduct a vigorous and thorough investigation of all information observed or brought to his attention (G.O. 415 III C 1).

Officer Wagner conducted an unsatisfactory performance when officers shall maintain sufficient competency to properly perform their duties and assume the responsibilities of their positions. Officers shall perform their duties in a manner which will maintain the highest standards of efficiency in carrying out the functions and objectives of the department. Unsatisfactory performance may be demonstrated by a lack of knowledge of the application of laws required to be enforced; an unwillingness or inability to perform assigned tasks; the failure to conform to work standards established for the officer's rank, grade, or position; the failure to take appropriate action, the occasion of a crime, disorder, or other condition deserving police attention (G.O. 305 III 11).

Employee Has Been Warned Previously:YESNOForm of Warning: Counseling Memo X3Date of Previous Warnings:3/26/19, 1/7/20, 2/9/20Warned by Whom: Sgt. Nolin, Sgt. Bielowicz

EMPLOYEE'S REMARKS RE: VIOLATION

*The absence of any statement on the part of the EMPLOYEE indicates his/her agreement with the report as stated

ACTION TO BE TAKEN

5 day Un-paid Suspension based on this incident + others over the past 19 months as eited in Summary Discipline letter

Approved by:		Signature		Chief of Police Title	
Name	Si				
Date:					
I have read this "warning	" and understand it.				
Employee Signature	Date	Superv	visor Signature	Date	
DISTRIBUTION OF COPIES:					
Employee Chief of Police	Personnel Dept.	Supervisor	Union Rep.		

EMPLOYEE COUNSELING MEMO

то:	Officer Trevor Wagner	Land and a second	
FROM:	Sergeant Nicholas Bielowicz	POLICE	ACCREDITED AGENCY
RE:	Suspicious Condition at		
DATE:			

Officer Wagner responded to according around 0254 on a second for suspicious condition complaint. Upon arrival, according made a criminal complaint to Officer Wagner about a male reaching in his window and grabbing his hair while he slept. Officer Wagner did not examine the window for fingerprints or call for an evidence technician, did not secure a supporting deposition from the victim, did not inquire if the victim wanted to press charges, and did not attempt to locate the suspects and closed out the call with a "GOA."

Officer Wagner failed to conduct a vigorous and thorough investigation of all information observed or brought to his attention (G.O. 415 III C 1).

Officer Wagner violated G.O. 305 Rules of Conduct III 11 by unsatisfactory performance when officers shall maintain sufficient competency to properly perform their duties and assume the responsibilities of their positions. Officers shall perform their duties in a manner which will maintain the highest standards of efficiency in carrying out the functions and objectives of the department. Unsatisfactory performance may be demonstrated by a lack of knowledge of the application of laws required to be enforced; an unwillingness or inability to perform assigned tasks; the failure to conform to work standards established for the officer's rank, grade, or position; the failure to take appropriate action, the occasion of a crime, disorder, or other condition deserving police attention.

You must understand that this counseling memo is being completed so that you may take immediate corrective measures so it does not happen again.

As you may or may not be aware, further violation of this General Order may result in disciplinary action taken against you.

My signature acknowledges that I have read and received a copy of this counseling memorandum. It does not mean I agree or disagree with the contents. I know I have the right to attach a rebuttal.

DATED: _____

Employee's Signature

Supervisor's Signature



255 Exchange Street Geneva, New York 14456 Phone: (315) 828-6771 Fax: (315) 789-1814 Michael Passalacqua Chief of Police

TO: Officer Wagner FROM: Sergeant Bielowicz DATE: 3/15/2021 RE:

> Officer Wagner, you were assigned to the west patrol and responded to In writing, please explain the following:

on

- 1. The victim is reporting a criminal offense, what type of criminal investigation did you conduct and why?
- 2. Why didn't you secure a supporting deposition from the victim?
- 3. Did you make any attempt to contact the suspects to see if there's any validity to the victim's story?

Hel

Sgt. Bielowicz



255 Exchange Street Geneva, New York 14456 Phone: (315) 828-6771 Fax: (315) 789-1814 Michael Passalacqua Chief of Police

TO: Sgt. Bielowicz FROM: PO. Trevor Wagner DATE: 03/23/2021 RE:

On at about I was assigned to patrol the west post of the city. I was dispatch to with PO Resendiz for back up, for a suspicious condition at house. My response route to the house north bound on and west bound on took me west bound on I did not observe the large group of youth that reported on any of the streets. When I arrived on scene stated that someone had opened his bedroom window, reached in and pulled his hair. I estimated the window to be 6.5 to 7 feet off of the ground. I observed that there were no footprints in the flower bed below the window and that my own footprints were being left in the flower bed. There were also no footprints on any objects in the flower bed that could have been used to stand on to possibly reach the window. Officer Resendiz arrived on scene as I was speaking to who was stating that the kids took off towards I asked Officer Resendiz what route he took to get to the scene and he said that he came west bound on , then south bound on then west bound on , then south bound , and west bound on e. Officer Resendiz said that he did not see anyone running or even on walking down

showed us some pictures on his phone of the people who he believed were there. He showed us photos of and then a picture of came out and Officer Resendiz asked if she had heard a stated that she did not hear anything and her dog would have reacted if it heard something. commotion outside. father lives on and that again stated also that mom lives on Then asked that we try to find the kids but was not sure of the exact showed us another picture o addresses. After leaving he scene I drove through both streets and then checked just in case they had taken a different route. I saw no one walking in any of the areas and no signs of activity on Shortly after clearing the call, called back stating that he wanted to show me a voicemail left by father prior to the incident. He was claiming that the message was threatening. I read the message which did not have any threat in the text. I explained to him that I could understand why he felt that the message was threatening and explained why under NYS law that it was not. then stated that he was going to call father and leave similar messages. I advised him against doing this.

Supporting depositions were not secured because stated that he just wanted the kids found, the only time he asked for someone to be arrested was for the message which did not constitute a crime. I was unable to locate the suspect to speak to him.

P.O. Mm hym #837

Call for Service



GENERAL INFORMATION		
Supervisor: NRIE RIELOWICZ Date/Time Actual Signal: SUSPICIOUS-CONDITIC Received: 02:54:36	Dispatcher: 00 BIELOWICZ Reported Signal: SUSPICIOUS-CONDI N/VEH/PERS	Responding Officer: TWAG WAGNER TION/VEH/PERS Received By:
		Cleared: 03:13
Vehicle Description: Plate No.: Disposition: GOA	State: Disp. Date:	Report Taken: NO
Action Taken:	Diep. Date.	
Reference No. 1:		Reference No. 2: G210690016
LOCATION		
RESPONDING UNITS/OFFICER	S	
<u>Unit/Officer</u> G837		
G843		
ASSOCIATED PERSONS		
		Inv. SUB

SUB

NOTES

CALLER STATES THAT AND 5 TO 6 OTHER KIDS SHOWED UP AT HIS HOUSE. CALLER STATES THAT REACHED INTO HIS BEDROOM WINDOW AND PULLED HIS HAIR. CALLER LAST SAW THE GROUP WALKING TOWARD

NO ONE SEEN WALKING ON NOT SEE ANYONE ON THIS TIME. WHEN I RESPONDED TO THE CALL AND OFFICER RESENDIZ DID CALLER HAS CAMERAS BUT STATED THAT THEY ARE NOT WORKING AT

CALLER HAD A VOICEMAIL FROM (SUB) FATHER THAT HE BELIEVED TO BE THEATENING. NO THREAT MADE IN THE VOICEMAIL, IT JUST ASKED THAT THE CALLER LEAVE FAMILY OUT OF THEIR DISAGREEMENT.

Contraction of the second	GENEVA PO DEPARTME EMPLOYEE WAR RECORD		EDITED AGENCY
Employee Name:PO Trevor WagShift:22:30-07:00	gner <u>Time</u> : 2:46AM	Date of Warning:	02/09/2020
	WARNING		
Date of Violation:01/16/Time of Violation:02:46 aPlace Violation Occurred:Public :	and the second s	iolation: Neglect of (If Other – Explain): -	Duty GO 305, III, a
	COMPANY REMA	ARKS	
Officer was watching streaming video s media on the MDT 10/23/2019.	ervice on vehicle MDT. Em	nployee was previously reprin	nanded to about using streaming
Refer to attached documents			
Employee Has Been Warned Previously	: 🛛 YES 🗌 NO 🔢	Form of Warning: Verbal	
Date of Previous Warnings:10/23/2020		Warned by Whom: SGT Bielov	vicz
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		RKS RE: VIOLATION	
****The absence of any statement on the	-Refer-to-attache		eport as stated
	ACTION TO BI		
	salandina d	10 + Sit Down 7 -pervisir 20P AAmp Title) 156.055161 65/ <u>2/18/</u> 2020 Date
I have read this "warning" and	understand it.	U	
P.O. JAN WAN Employee Signature DISTRIBUTION OF COPIES: Employee	2/15/20 Date	PHILLAS62 Supervisor Signature	2 2/1572026 Date
εται το	Chief of Police	Personnel Dept. 🛛 Supervise	or Union Rep.

EMPLOYEE COUNSELING MEMO

TO:

Police Officer Trevor Wagner





FROM:

RE:

: Sergeant Patrick Nolin

On ______ at about 02:46 hours Unifrom Officer Trevor Wagner was in operation of marked Geneva Police Patrol Vehicle GV-8. He engaged in a vehicle pursuit on Prior to engaging in the pursuit Officer Wagner had neglected his duty by viewing

streaming internet video media for personal use on the computer in the car. Officer Wagner had been verbally counseled by Sergeant Bielowicz on the use of streaming internet video media for personal use on the computer in the patrol vehicle on

In the future, you will not use any internet streaming media service for personal use on computers in police vehicles. If the use of an internet streaming media service is needed for a work-related matter you will receive clearance from your on-duty supervisor to use such a service. This type of activity builds a complacent mindset for an officer. This leads to a safety risk for the public your fellow officers and yourself.

You must understand that this counseling memo is being completed so that you may take immediate corrective measures so it does not happen again. You must take precautions as stated in GO 305 III a Neglect of Duty, so that this type of situation does not occur again.

As you may or may not be aware, further violation of this General Order may result in disciplinary action taken against you.

My signature acknowledges that I have read and received a copy of this counseling memorandum. It does not mean I agree or disagree with the contents. I know I have the right to attach a rebuttal.

DATED: <u>2/15/20</u>

Employee's Signature

Supervisor's Signature

TO: PO Wagner' File

From: Sergeant Nolin

Date: July 20, 2019

Subject

Verbal Counseling

On a warrant for failure to appear on VTL offenses. The subject was processed at the PSB and then transported to OCJ to be held for arraignment.

On

at about 05:24 hours I was advised by dispatch to contact Jail Sergeant I contacted him via phone. He reported that when the jailors were conducting intake of an envelope containing marihuana was located in her purse.

On at about 05:26 hours Officer Wagner came into my office I advised him of the marihuana being found. He reported that he did not observe it when he checked her property. I advised Officer Wagner that he should dump the purse out and go through the contents carefully. Officer Wagner concurred and matter was resolved with verbal counseling.

Submitted to Po Wagner's File

862

SGT Patrick Nolin #862

	GENEVA POLICE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEE WARNING RECORD
Employee Name: Shift: Nights 10:30p-7	Trevor Wagner 7a <u>Time</u> : 5ど30AM <u>Date of Warning:</u> ろんんしょ
	WARNING
<u>Date of Violation</u> : <u>Time of Violation</u> : Place of Violation:	Nature of Violation: Substandard Work 2314 (If Other – Explain): GO 425 V A 3
n (of seven shares and a seven seven of (of seven s	COMPANY REMARKS
actually checked the sta my mind." PO Wagner violated GO	order of protection checked, order of protection in effect. PO Wagner never itus of the parties involved and when asked he stated "It must have just slipped 425 V A 3 that states "Any required departmental report or form will be written omprehensibly and legibly.
See attached domest	ic incident report
<u>Employee Has Been Wa</u> Date of Previous Warnii	rned Previously: YES NO <u>Form of Warning</u> : - ngs:- <u>Warned by Whom</u> : -

TADLOVERIC	DENAADUC	DE N	1OI ATION
			/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
EMPLOYEE'S	NINANNO	DE V	
The second se			

*The absence of any statement on the part of the EMPLOYEE indicates his/her agreement with the report as stated

	Coun	ACTION TO seligs Mer	BE TAKEN 18 7 GO 42	s reviet	
Approved b،	y <u>: Mi han J. 1</u> Name	97. 1	M-A Signature	$\underline{\partial}$	<u>Chief of Police</u> Title
Date: 3	18 19 ve read this "warning"	and understand i		na siya saya saya saya saya saya saya say	en andre en service de la constante de la const La constante de la constante de

EMPLOYEE COUNSELING MEMO

то:	PO Trevor Wagner	
FROM:	Sergeant Nicholas Bielow	icz
RE:		
DATE:		

PO Wagner responded to for a domestic. At the completion of the investigation, PO Wagner completed a domestic incident report and submitted it into the night sergeant's box for review. Upon further investigation by myself, I noticed both parties information wasn't on police mobile or ejustice, but PO Wagner did check the following boxes: Suspect supervisor box, dir respository checked, order of protection registry checked, order of protection in effect. I then messaged PO Wagner to respond to my office. I then asked PO Wagner when he checked the parties status and PO Wagner stated "It must have just slipped my mind."

PO Wagner violated GO 425 V A 3 that states "Any required departmental report or form will be written promptly, accurately, comprehensibly and legibly.

See attached domestic incident report

You must understand that this counseling memo is being completed so that you may take immediate corrective measures so it does not happen again. You must take precautions as stated in GO 230 II (A and C), so that this type of situation does not occur again.

As you may or may not be aware, further violation of this General Order may result in disciplinary action taken against you.

My signature acknowledges that I have read and received a copy of this counseling memorandum. It does not mean I agree or disagree with the contents. I know I have the right to attach a rebuttal.

DATED: 3/26/19

Supervisor's Signature



255 Exchange Street Geneva, New York 14456 Phone: (315) 828-6771 Fax: (315) 789-1814 Michael Passalacqua Chief of Police

TO: Officer Wagner FROM: Sgt. Bielowicz DATE: 10/23/2019 RE: Shift

Officer Wagner, could you please explain your actions in written form by October 30, 2019, for the following:

1. Could you explain to me why you were parked on while Officer Baskin responded to a call from an off-duty sergeant involving three suspicious males on when you were the only other available unit to respond.

2. Could you explain to me how you responded to get the for a burglary in progress in your area of patrol and why you were the last patrol unit to arrive on scene when you were the closest unit.

3. Could you explain to me why Sergeant Nolin conducted the interview of the suspect, while you just stood there when it was your complaint.

4. Could you explain to me why from the start of your shift (22:30 to 01:33), the time of the burglary in progress complaint, you only conducted 2 property checks, at 23:31 and at 00:32, and did nothing else.

5. Could you explain to me why your vehicle was parked in the GPD parking lot after the burglary in progress call with the computer open and the screen on a streaming video from

Respectfully,

Sgt. Bielowicz

To: Sgt. Bielowicz From: P.O. Trevor Wagner Date: 10/24/2019 RE:

- - 1. I do not have any excuse for not responding with Officer Baskin to the suspicious person complaint.
 - 2. I don't believe I was last on scene to the burglary in progress at I was there before Officer Lloyd and was looking for the house when she called on scene. Myself and Officer Lloyd were looking for the house when Officer Baskin called out on scene, he had been to the house earlier in his shift and knew exactly which house to go to.
 - 3. I was tired during this shift and really didn't feel myself, unfortunately it showed in my work.
 - 4. Normally I try to conduct property checks at least once every hour, I had not planned to be tied up for several hours on a complaint so I had only done the two property checks. When I was done with the complaint, I completed my HRA form that I was told to turn in ASAP.
 - 5. I did not intend to have the video screen up. It was up because I had to log into the CAD system in the office which then logged me out in the car bringing up the video screen. I was unaware it did this until I left the PSB and saw it.

P.O. Mar #1837

P.O. Trevor Wagner



255 Exchange Street Geneva, New York 14456 Phone: (315) 828-6771 Fax: (315) 789-1814 Michael Passalacqua Chief of Police

TO: Officer Wagner FROM: Sergeant Bielowicz DATE: 10/31/2020 RE:

Please answer the following questions:

- 1. Why is there no body camera video associated with this complaint as per policy?
- 2. Who did you speak with, the call for service read "HWS CAMPUS SAFETY?"
- 3. Why is there no description of the suspect, but in description?
- 4. Did you attempt to interview any of the witnesses to see what actually occurred and did you secure any of their names?
- 5. What did you mean by "What do they want us to do, stop everybody?" I hope that you're not stopping everybody, just the persons that match the description of the suspect.

Sergeant Bielowicz



255 Exchange Street Geneva, New York 14456 Phone: (315) 828-6771 Fax: (315) 789-1814 Michael Passalacqua Chief of Police

TO: Sergeant Bielowicz FROM: Officer Wagner DATE: 10/31/2020

RE

- 1. I did not activate my body camera because I did not see the suspect in the area. I will from now on turn it on during all suspicious activity calls whether or not I observe anything.
- 2. I spoke with two campus safety officers who did not give their names.
- 3. We was not my complaint and I could not be sure that it was the same suspect because there was no description given to me for the give a description because they said the suspect was running away.
- 4. I was present part of the time when Officer Farmer was interviewing the students that were witnesses. They stated that they already gave their information to campus safety and did not give us their information.
- 5. When I said "What do they want us to do, stop everybody?" I was referring to the lack of a good description from campus safety. I do not stop anyone unless they match a description of a suspect.

nlyp

Officer Wagner