

## **GENEVA POLICE DEPARTMENT**

#### **EMPLOYEE WARNING RECORD**



**Employee Name**:

Officer Shannon Pluretti

Shift: Afternoons 2:30p-11p

Time: 3:03 PM

Date of Warning: 4/22/2015

		WAR	NING		
Date of Violation: Time of Violation: Place of Violation:	4/22/2015 2100 GPD	<b>Nat</b> u (If Other – Ex		iolation: Substa	ndard Work
	C	OMPAN	Y REMA	ARKS	
	fficer Pluretti also f			r vehicle accident that over the vitness of the accident	
Employee Has Been W	arned Previously:	□YES ⊠N	0	Form of Warning:	
ate of Previous Warnings: Warned by Whom:					
The absence of any stat				RE: VIOLATION s his/her agreement with	the report as stated
*The absence of any stat	tement on the part o	f the <b>EMPLOY</b>	<b>'EE</b> indicate	s his/her agreement with	the report as stated
	ACT	f the <b>EMPLOY</b>	EE indicate	s his/her agreement with	the report as stated
	tement on the part o	f the <b>EMPLOY</b>	EE indicate	s his/her agreement with	the report as stated  Chief of Police
C c Approved by: Name	ACT	f the <b>EMPLOY</b>	EE indicate	s his/her agreement with	
C c	ACT	f the <b>EMPLOY</b>	BE TAK	s his/her agreement with	Chief of Police
Approved by: Name Date:	ACT	TION TO	BE TAK	s his/her agreement with	Chief of Police
Approved by: Name Date:	ACT	TION TO	BE TAK	s his/her agreement with	Chief of Police

☐ Employee ☐ Chief of Police ☐ Personnel Dept. ☐ Supervisor ☐ Union Rep.

To: P.O. Shannon Pluretti From: Sergeant Turner

Re: Motor Vehicle Accident 2015-5450

05-03-2015

Written narrative: On April 22, 2015 @ 3:03p.m., you were assigned to patrol in GV-7 and were dispatched to for a motor vehicle accident. The complainant/victim stated that another vehicle had backed out of a handicapped parking spot and had struck her vehicle causing damage to the complainant/victim's vehicle. There was significant damage on the complainant/victim's vehicle. The complainant/victim reported that the driver of the vehicle that had backed into her vehicle had spoken to her and had left the area prior to your arrival without giving his identity. The complainant/victim gave you a plate number of which returns to a valid vehicle that is registered to an address within the City of Geneva. The complainant/victim gave you a description of the vehicle which was slightly different that the valid plate is registered to. Your follow up investigation consisted of driving by the registered owner's residence to see if the suspect vehicle was in the driveway.

The complainant/victim also directed you to a witness that had observed the accident. You did not document the witness's identification and did not document the fact that there was a witness on the motor vehicle accident report. You also failed to inform this sergeant that there was a witness at all when I questioned you about the accident.

You must understand that this counseling memo is being completed so that you may take immediate corrective measures that this does not happen again. Your failure to thoroughly investigate a reported vehicle accident within the City of Geneva is in violation of General Order 605 section II. Your failure to document a witness of the motor vehicle accident is in violation of General Order 605 section III which refers to DMV Police Accident Report Manual.

As you may or may not be aware, further violation of this General Order may result in disciplinary action taken against you.

My signature acknowledges that I have read and received a copy of this counseling memorandum. It does not mean I agree or disagree with the contents. I know I have the right to attach a rebuttal.

DATED: 5/3

Employee Signature

Supervisor Signature



#### **GENEVA PULICE**

## **DEPARTMENT**

## **EMPLOYEE WARNING RECORD**



**Employee Name:** 

PO SHANNON ANDERSON

I have read this "warning" and understand it.

DISTRIBUTION OF COPIES: Employee Chief of Police

Date

**Employee Signature** 

Date

Union Rep.

<u>Shift</u> :	14:30-23:00	<u>Time</u> : - AM	Date of Warning:	08/01/2018	
		WARNING			
Date of Violation: Time of Violation: Place Violation Occurr	07/06/2018 - <u>ed</u> : Public Safety Bo	(If Other –	ature of Violation Explain): -	: Substandard Work	
The second secon		MPANY REMA	RKS		
Refer to attached docu	ument.				
Employee Has Been W Date of Previous Warn	Section of the second section is a second section of the section of the second section of the section	Warned b			
****The absence of any	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	the later of the second section	S RE: VIOLATION s his/her agreement with the	roport as stated****	47
The absence of any	statement on the part of th	Refer to attached		report as stated	
	A	CTION TO BE	TAKEN		
	Counselin	8 Mema + 1	TAKEN en / remedied tra	ining	
Approved by:	My		al. f	8/2/18	
	Name (	Ti	tle	<b>'</b> Date	

Supervisor Signature

Personnel Dept. Supervisor

TO:	Chief Passalacqua
CC:	
FROM	Sergeant Nolin
DATE	August 1, 2018
SUBJE	ECT: PO Anderson Domestic Incident Report
incident order of a domes generate two rep filed. O of Crim warrant dismiss insuffic not in e After fu Orders 1.	y 5, 2018 Officer Shannon Anderson Badge Number 825 filed a domestic incident report bearing thumber to incident was in reference to protection protecting. During Officer Anderson's investigation she completed stic incident report reporting that and investigation was to continue. Officer Anderson also ed an incident in PD Manager under to enter evidence from the incident. After these corts were generated no further supplemental reports updating the investigation were generated on July 8, 2018 Officer Anderson requested an arrest warrant for the incident on the charge inal Contempt 2 <sup>nd</sup> . Officer Anderson failed to provide a copy of the accusatory instrument and packet to a supervisor for review. The arrest warrant was issued by the court and was arrested. However, once appeared in court the charges were dismissed. The al was due to the allegations made in the accusatory instrument filed by Officer Anderson were ient. Officer Anderson alleged that violated an order of protection that was ffect when the incident occurred.  The review of the matter multiple errors and violations Geneva Police Department General were discovered. The violations and errors are listed as follows:  G.O. 415 Post Assignments and Patrol Procedures, III. Patrol Procedures, C. Preliminary Investigations-Patrol Officers, 1. (c) Locate and identify suspects.
	Officer Anderson did not make a through attempt to locate, identify and interview
	G.O. 415 Post Assignments and Patrol Procedures, III. Patrol Procedures, C. Preliminary Investigations-Patrol Officers, 1(f). Expend the amount of time necessary to conduct a thorough preliminary investigation bounded by the character of inquiry appropriate in each case and supervisory approval.
	Officer Anderson did not expend the amount of time necessary to conduct a thorough preliminary investigation. Officer Anderson also did not obtain supervisory approval.
3.	G.O. 415 Post Assignments and Patrol Procedures, III. Patrol Procedures, C. Preliminary Investigations-Patrol Officers, 1(h i). At the conclusion of the preliminary investigation; submit

Officer Anderson failed to complete and submit supplemental reports documenting her investigation to a supervisor for review.

all reports for supervisory review.

4. G.O. 415 Post Assignments and Patrol Procedures, III. Patrol Procedures, C. Preliminary Investigations-Patrol Officers, 1 (i) Conduct follow-up investigations as assigned. Depending on the locality or complexity of the incident, or other indicated need for a specialized investigation, the Lieutenant/Detective Bureau may assign the case to the Detective Bureau.

Officer Anderson failed to conduct and document a follow up investigation.

5. G.O. 435 Domestic Incidents and Orders of Protection, XI. Orders of Protection, B. Enforcement, 2. A lawful warrantless arrest may be made based on probable cause even though the protected person may be unable to present a valid copy of the order, but the Officer shall attempt to verify the existence and terms of the order through Department records or NYSPIN. However, if the order is not produced or its existence cannot be verified, the matter should be investigated further to confirm or deny the existence and if appropriate, an arrest can be made at a later date. Also the Officer should investigate if an arrest can be made for any other offense (e.g. harassment, assault, etc.) and any appropriate charge added later if the Order is confirmed.

Officer Anderson did not have an actual verified copy of the order of protection before filing the warrant against Control of Protection that was not in effect when the incident occurred.

6. G.O. 435 Domestic Incidents and Orders of Protection, XI. Orders of Protection, B. Enforcement, 3. Where an Officer receives a complaint from a protected person or has an independent basis to believe that an order of protection has been violated, and has probable cause to believe that the terms of such order have been violated, an arrest shall be made for the appropriate degree of criminal contempt regardless of whether the prohibited conduct occurred in the Officer's presence. A copy of the order of protection shall be attached to the court accusatory instrument.

Officer Anderson failed to attach a copy of the order of protection to the accusatory instrument filed with the court. The order that Officer Anderson refers to in the accusatory instrument is located in the binder in the booking area. However, the order would have not been in effect when the incident occurred.

The actions/inactions of Officer Anderson could have led to a potential risk of further harm to the victim in this matter. This also could have led potential credibility and liability issues for the Geneva Police Department. It is recommended that Officer Anderson receive further training in the investigation of domestic incidents and conducting through police investigations.

Submitted August 1, 2018

SGT Nolin #862

#### Patrick J. Nolin

From:

Hart, William J < William. Hart@co.ontario.ny.us>

Sent:

Thursday, July 26, 2018 1:33 PM

To:

Shannon M. Anderson

Cc:

Matthew J. Valenti; Michael J. Passalacqua; Patrick J. Nolin

Subject:

PV

**Attachments:** 

DOC072618.pdf

Importance:

High

#### Shannon -

The defendant was arrested on the warrant yesterday, but the charge was dismissed this morning. According to the charging documents filed, the incident occurred on 7/5/18, but the OOP referenced in the documents was not issued until 7/6/18. If there was a previous OOP, that would need to be referenced and attached. It is always best to get a copy of the actual OOP to include in the packet, rather than relying on the eJustice portal information. (That information has proven unreliable in the past.)

If this charge is salvageable (meaning that there was an OOP issued and served upon the defendant prior to the date and time of the Incident), please file the appropriate paperwork accordingly.

Thanks.

Bill

----Original Message-----

From: District Attorney - #1 [mailto:william.hart@co.ontario.ny.us]

Sent: Thursday, July 26, 2018 1:23 PM To: Hart, William J; Hart, William J

Subject: Send data from MFP11303248 [Bill H PDF 2 Mail] 07/26/2018 13:22

Scanned from MFP11303248 PUBLIC TEMPLATE GROUP Bill H PDF 2 Mail

User Name: williamjh Date:07/26/2018 13:22

Pages:5

Resolution:200x200 DPI

TO:

PO Anderson

CC:

FROM:

Sergeant Nolin

DATE

July 28, 2018

SUBJECT:

Domestic Incident Report

Officer Anderson on July 6, 2018 you filed a domestic incident report bearing incident number. You requested a warrant of arrest against suspect for Criminal Contempt 2<sup>nd</sup>. The charges against the defendant were dismissed due to an insufficient accusatory instrument. After review of your investigation into this incident many questions have been raised and need to be answered. These questions are listed as follows:

- 1. The primary domestic incident documents that the investigation was to continue. Was there any supplemental reports filed to update the case?
- 2. If there were supplemental reports filed were they provided to a supervisor for review and approval?
- 3. Did you ever attempt to locate and interview the suspect about the incident before filing for the warrant? Please explain why or why not a suspect interview took place?
- 4. Do you feel that a complete and thorough preliminary investigation was completed?
- 5. Did you submit the warrant packet to a supervisor for review prior to filing it with the court?
- 6. In the warrant packet for the court there was only a copy of the E-Justice order of protection hit. Did you ever verify the existence of the actual order of protection and secure of physical copy of the order of protection?
- 7. Did you submit an actual copy of the order of protection to the court in your warrant packet?

You are hereby ordered to provide a typewritten response to the aforementioned questions. The written response shall be returned to me no later than August 1, 2018 at 23:00 hours.

So ordered,

SGT Nolin #862



TO:

PO Anderson

CC:

FROM:

Sergeant Nolin

DATE

August 1, 2018

11 #86Z

**SUBJECT:** 

Domestic Incident Report

(2)

Officer Anderson I have received your response to my memo dated Jul 28, 2018. Upon review the response it is invalid. It is printed on letterhead with retired Chief Trickler's name on it. This can be corrected by entering the information on a blank Word Document and printing to the Xerox printer in records and selecting to print from tray 1. This will print the document on current letterhead. The response also has no composition date and a hand written signature on it.

You are hereby ordered to correct the aforementioned errors. The corrected response shall be returned to me no later than August 1, 2018 at 23:00 hours.

So ordered,

SGT Nolin #862



# City of Geneva POLICE DEPARTMENT

Jeffrey Trickler Chief of Police

255 Exchange Street Geneva, New York 14456 Phone: (315) 828-6771 Fax: (315) 789-1814

Sgt Nolin

Regarding your memo dated 7/28/18;

- 1. There were no supplemental reports filed.
- 2. There were no supplemental reports filed.
- 3. I was unable to complete an interview of the suspect as I was not able to locate her. The address on file was a substantial and obviously no longer resides there. Also the phone listing I located in PD Manager was no longer in service.
- 4. I do feel a thorough preliminary investigation was completed.
- 5. The warrant packet was placed in the Sergeant's tray after I completed it.
- 6. I was familiar with the order of protection due to the victim presenting it to me. Due to it being a family court order I had contacted dispatch to fax a copy of the order. Dispatch advised that they would attempt to locate and fax at a later time.
- 7. Due to having not received the fax from dispatch at the time I included the ejustice printout with the packet as I have done this in the past without incident.

Furthermore, I acknowledge that I made a critical mistake in not more thoroughly reviewing the oop I had included and will be more diligent in the future about reviewing the oop and including the full oop in the file not just the ejustice copy.

PO Shannon Anderson



# City of Geneva POLICE DEPARTMENT

255 Exchange Street Geneva, New York 14456 Phone: (315) 828-6771 Fax: (315) 789-1814 Michael Passalaqua Chief of Police

August 1st, 2018

Sgt Nolin

Regarding your memo dated 7/28/18;

- 1. There were no supplemental reports filed.
- 2. There were no supplemental reports filed.

PO S. Geleven 875

- 3. I was unable to complete an interview of the suspect as I was not able to locate her. The address on file was a substantial and obviously no longer resides there. Also the phone listing I located in PD Manager was no longer in service.
- 4. I do feel a thorough preliminary investigation was completed.
- 5. The warrant packet was placed in the Sergeant's tray after I completed it.
- 6. I was familiar with the order of protection due to the victim presenting it to me. Due to it being a family court order I had contacted dispatch to fax a copy of the order. Dispatch advised that they would attempt to locate and fax at a later time.
- 7. Due to having not received the fax from dispatch at the time I included the ejustice printout with the packet as I have done this in the past without incident.

Furthermore, I acknowledge that I made a critical mistake in not more thoroughly reviewing the oop I had included and will be more diligent in the future about reviewing the oop and including the full oop in the file not just the ejustice copy.

PO Shannon Anderson

	Photos taken: ☐ Victim Injury ☐ :	Suspect Injury Other Evidence:		Destruction of Property? If yes, Describe:	□ Yes 12 N
Offense Committee	Was suspect arrested? ☐ Your fine, explain:	es 🔂 No Offense 1	Law (e.g. PL)	Offense 2	Law (e.g. PL)

	Agency GENEVA POLICE DEPARTMENT	Division/Precinct	New Yor INCIDENT	k State	NY0340200	Orig.	Case No.	Incident N	0.	
INCIDENT			INCIDENT	REPORT	10040200	J. 19.			A B C	
ASSOCIATED PERSONS									F G H	<b>3</b>
VICTIM									J	
SUSPECT MISSING/ARRESTED PERSON									L N	77
PROPERTY										
NARRATIVE	*********DIR Filed************************************	dence**********	*							
TIVE	Inquiries	NVSDIN	I Message No.		Complainant's Signature			c	se over	В
ADMINISTRATIVE			SAND					NOL S	heet	
MINIS	Reporting Officer's Signature (Include Rank)	CLOSED	D No.	Supervisor's S	ignature (Include Rank)		ID No.		Pag	
¥	Status		Status Date	Notified/TOT			Review Dal	е		1

Accusatory Instrument Information - General C.P.L. 100.15	
STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ONTARIO CITY COURT : CITY OF GENEVA	
The People of the State of New York	INFORMATION
Defendant	
PO S. Anderson, Employed at Geneva Police Dept. 255 Exchange St. Geneva, N written accusation as follows:	Y, by this information makes
That the aforesaid defendant, on New York, did commit the offense of CRIMINAL CONTEMPT 2ND, a Class A MIS Section 215.50, Subdivision 3 of the PENAL LAW of the State of New York, in the and place.	in the City of Geneva, SDEMEANOR in violation of at she did, at the aforesaid time
Count One: A PERSON IS GUILTY OF CRIMINAL CONTEMPT IN THE SECOND DEG ANY OF THE FOLLOWING CONDUCT: 3 INTENTIONAL DISOBEDIENCE OR PROCESS OR OTHER MANDATE OF A COURT EXCEPT IN CASES INVOLVI LABOR DISPUTES AS DEFINED BY SUBDIVISION TWO OF SECTION SEVEN THE JUDICIARY LAW.	RESISTANCE TO THE LAWFUL NG OR GROWING OUT OF
The facts upon which this information is based are as follows:	
The foregoing factual allegations are based upon personal knowledge of the information and belief, the sources of complainants information and belief being, statement of	complainant (and upon police investigation and
Wherefore, Complainant prays that A. A. be dealt with pursua	nt to law.
False statements made in the foregoing instrument are punishable as a Class A 210.45 of the Penal Law. Accordingly and with notice of the foregoing, I heareby statements of facts are true, under penalty of perjury, this 07/08/2018.	misdemeanor pursuant to section affirm that the foregoing
PO S. All Complain	esen nant

Spect Name (Last First M.I)	. ^	and the second second
/ictim/Deponent Signature	Date	
_ / / / / /	215/18	Whether or not this form 2.
DU 5, Allen	Date	vill be filed with Law
Vimess of Officer signature	*	Enforcement
Interpreter Signature and Interpreter Service Provider Name		
Interpreter Requested   Yes   No Interpreter Used   Yes   No	Dale	the new parties and the second to the new parties and the new parties and the new parties are the new part
POLICE COPY (Please make a copy for DA's office if appropriate) NYS DOM	MESTIC AND SEXUAL VIOLENCE HOTLINE 1-800-942-8	906 3221- 03/2016 DCJS Copyright @ 2016 by NYS DC

.

٠...

Suspect Name (Last, First, M.I)		
0.25 111	7/5/18	Whether or not his form is signed, this DIR Form will be filled with Law Enforcement.
Witness or Officer Signature	Date	is signed, this DIR Form
		will be filed with Law Of Enforcement 3
Interpreter Signature and Interpreter Service Provider Name	Date	
Interpreter Requested  Yes  No Interpreter Used Yes  POLICE COPY (Please make a copy for DA's office if appropriate)	No S DOMESTIC AND SEXUAL VIOLENCE HOTLINE 1-800-942-6906	3221-03/2016 DCJS Copyright © 2018 by NYS DC
HOLIGE COLL (Hease make a coby for DV a ouice it abbrobugge)	S DOMESTIC AND SEXUAL VIOLENCE ROTLINE 1-300-342-0300	The state of the state copyright of State by Mis De

.....

#### **NYS Order of Protection**

Submitted: 07/06/2018 10:25 PM by andersons6

Search Criteria:

Originating Agency (ORI): NY0340000 - ONTARIO COUNTY SHERIFFS OFFICE

Phone: 585-394-4560

Court ORI: NY034023J - ONTARIO COUNTY FAMILY COURT

Type: FC

Judge: REED, FREDERICK G

OOP Issued: 07/06/2018 Served: 07/06/2018 Expiration: 07/06/2019 Return on Warrant: no

Order Against Person:

Brady Disqualifier: yes

- 12 ALL FIREARMS MUST BE SURRENDERED ON OR BEFORE: SURRENDER ANY AND ALL HANDGUNS, PISTOLS, REVOLVERS, RIFLES, SHOTGUNS AND OTHER FIREARMS OWNED OR POSSESSED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE FOLLOWING: ANY AND ALL FIREARMS AND DO NOT OBTAIN ANY FURTHER GUNS OR OTHER FIREARMS SUCH SURRENDER SHALL TAKE PLACE IMMEDIATELY, BUT IN NO EVENT LATER THAN ASAP BUT NO LATER THAN 24 HOURS FROM SERVICE OF THIS ORDER AT ONTARIO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, 74 ONTARIO ST, CANANDAIGUA, NY AT THE SPECIFIED TIME OR WITHIN 24 HOURS OF SERVICE OF THIS ORDER ON THE RESPONDENT, WHICHEVER IS LATER IF SERVICE IS BY A POLICE AGENCY OTHER THAN THE ONTARIO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, THE FIREARMS SHOULD BE SURRENDERED IMMEDIATELY TO THAT AGENCY, TO BE MAINTAINED PURSUANT TO THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES OF SAID AGENCY IF THE FIREARMS ARE SURRENDERED TO AN AGENCY OTHER THAN THE ONTARIO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT, SAID AGENCY SHALL NOTIFY THE FAMILY COURT OF SAME;
- 13A FIREARMS LICENSE (IF ANY) IS SUSPENDED:
  LICENSE TO CARRY, POSSESS, REPAIR, SELL OR OTHERWISE DISPOSE OF A FIREARM OR
  FIREARMS, IF ANY, PURSUANT TO PENAL LAW 40000, IS HEREBY SUSPENDED;
- 13C INELIGIBLE TO OBTAIN FIRFARMS LICENSE DURING THE PERIOD OF THIS ORDER:

  DOB: SHALL REMAIN INELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE A FIREARM LICENSE
  WHILE THIS ORDER IS IN EFFECT
- 99 SPECIFIC OTHER CONDITIONS RELATING TO: OBSERVE SUCH OTHER CONDITIONS AS ARE
  NECESSARY TO FURTHER THE PURPOSES OF PROTECTION: THE RESPONDENT SHALL STAY AT
- 99 SPECIFIC OTHER CONDITIONS RELATING TO: OBSERVE SUCH OTHER CONDITIONS AS ARE NECESSARY TO FURTHER THE PURPOSES OF PROTECTION: THIS ORDER IS MADE WITHOUT ANY EMPTY OF FAULT ON THE PART OF THE RESPONDENT. (DOB:
- 99 SPECIFIC OTHER CONDITIONS RELATING TO: OBSERVE SUCH OTHER CONDITIONS AS ARE NECESSARY TO FURTHER THE PURPOSES OF PROTECTION: THE RESPONDENT SHALL NOT OWN OR POSSESS ANY FIREARMS DURING THE

PERIOD OF THIS UKDER;

Requesting Person:

- 02 REFRAIN FROM ASSAULTING, HARASSING, ETC. THE PERSON: REFRAIN FROM ASSAULT, STALKING, HARASSMENT, AGGRAVATED HARASSMENT, MENACING, RECKLESS ENDANGERMENT, STRANGULATION, CRIMINAL OBSTRUCTION OF BREATHING OR CIRCULATION, DISORDERLY CONDUCT, CRIMINAL MISCHIEF, SEXUAL ABUSE, SEXUAL MISCONDUCT, FORCIBLE TOUCHING, INTIMIDATION, THREATS. IDENTITY THEFT. GRAND LARCENY. COERCION OR ANY CRIMINAL OFFENSE AGAINS.
- 14 REFRAIN FROM COMMUNICATION BY MAIL OR BY TELEPHONE, E-MAIL, VOICE MAIL, OR OTHER ELECTRONIC MEANS: REFRAIN FROM COMMUNICATION OR ANY OTHER CONTACT BY MAIL. TELEPHONE, E-MAIL, VOICE-MAIL OR OTHER ELECTRONIC OR ANY OTHER MEANS WITH

ADDITION, THERE IS TO BE NO THIRD PARTY COMMUNICATION OR CONTACT WITH THE AFOREMENTIONED PARTY (PARTIES);

1A - STAY AWAY FROM THE PERSON

1B - STAY AWAY FROM THE HOME OF :

99 -

	SPECIFIC OTHER CONDITIONS RELATING TO: OBSERVE SUCH OTHER CONDITIONS AS ARE NECESSARY TO FURTHER THE PURPOSES OF PROTECTION: THE RESPONDENT SHALL NOT OWN OR POSSESS ANY FIREARMS DURING THE PERIOD OF 1015 OKDEK;
	99 - SPECIFIC OTHER CONDITIONS RELATING TO: OBSERVE SUCH OTHER CONDITIONS AS ARE NECESSARY TO FURTHER THE PURPOSES OF PROTECTION: THIS ORDER IS MADE WITHOUT ANY FINDING OF FAULT ON THE PART OF THE RESPONDENT, DOB:
	99 - SPECIFIC OTHER CONDITIONS RELATING TO: OBSERVE SUCH OTHER CONDITIONS AS ARE NECESSARY TO FURTHER THE PURPOSES OF PROTECTION: THE RESPONDENT SHALL STAY AT LEAST 1500' AWAY FROM:
Protected Persons:	
	04 - REFRAIN FROM SPECIFIC ACTS THAT TEND TO MAKE THE HOME NOT A PROPER PLACE FOR: REFRAIN FROM ANY ACT THAT CREATE AN UNREASONABLE RISK TO THE HEALTH, SAFETY OR WELFARE OF
	14 - REFRAIN FROM COMMUNICATION BY MAIL OR BY TELEPHONE, E-MAIL, VOICE MAIL, OR OTHER ELECTRONIC MEANS: REFRAIN FROM COMMUNICATION OR ANY OTHER CONTACT BY MAIL TELEPHONE, E-MAIL VOICE-MAIL OR OTHER FLECTRONIC OR ANY OTHER MEANS WITH IN ADDITION, THERE IS TO BE NOT HIRD PARTY COMPONICATION OR CONTACT WITH THE AFOREMENTIONED PARTY (PARTIES):
	1A - STAY AWAY EDOM THE DEDGOM :
	1C - STAY AWAY FROM THE SCHOOL OF :
	99 - SPECIFIC OTHER CONDITIONS RELATING TO: OBSERVE SUCH OTHER CONDITIONS AS ARE NECESSARY TO FURTHER THE PURPOSES OF PROTECTION: THIS ORDER IS MADE WITHOUT ANY FINDING OF FAULT ON THE PART OF THE RESPONDENT, (DOB:
	99 - SPECIFIC OTHER CONDITIONS RELATING TO: OBSERVE SUCH OTHER CONDITIONS AS ARE NECESSARY TO FURTHER THE PURPOSES OF PROTECTION: THE RESPONDENT DOB:  SHALL NOT OWN OR POSSESS ANY FIREARMS DORING THE PERIOD OF THIS CASE.
	99 - SPECIFIC OTHER CONDITIONS RELATING TO: OBSERVE SUCH OTHER CONDITIONS AS ARE NECESSARY TO FURTHER THE PURPOSES OF PROTECTION: THE RESPONDENT SHALL STAY AT LEAST 1500' AWAY FROM:
Stay Away From	

Transaction ID: f7c1fcdc-818c-11e8-8fe2-202020202512

TO:

P.O. Shannon Anderson





FROM:

Sergeant Patrick Nolin

RE:

**Domestic Incident** 

On July 5, 2018 Officer Anderson filed a domestic incident report (property description) for a reported incident between violation of an order of protection between intimate partners at in the City of Geneva. Officer Anderson filed for an arrest warrant against the suspect on the charge of criminal contempt 2<sup>nd</sup> degree. The suspect was subsequently arrested on the warrant at a later date. Upon arraignment of the suspect for criminal contempt 2<sup>nd</sup> the charges were dismissed. Prosecution requestsed the dismissal of the charges due to errors in the accusatory instrument against the suspect. The district attorney's officer notifed Sergeant Nolin of the matter. A review of the investigation was conducted. After review the following General Order violations and errors were disovered. The errors and violations reported to the Chief of Police, the errors and violations are listed below:

1.G.O. 415 Post Assignments and Patrol Procedures, III. Patrol Procedures, C. Preliminary Investigations-Patrol Officers, 1. (c) Locate and identify suspects.

Officer Anderson did not make a through attempt to locate, identify and interview

2.G.O. 415 Post Assignments and Patrol Procedures, III. Patrol Procedures, C. Preliminary Investigations-Patrol Officers, 1(f). Expend the amount of time necessary to conduct a thorough preliminary investigation bounded by the character of inquiry appropriate in each case and supervisory approval.

Officer Anderson did not expend the amount of time necessary to conduct a thorough preliminary investigation. Officer Anderson also did not obtain supervisory approval.

3. G.O. 415 Post Assignments and Patrol Procedures, III. Patrol Procedures, C. Preliminary Investigations-Patrol Officers, 1(h,i). At the conclusion of the preliminary investigation: submit all reports for supervisory review.

Officer Anderson failed to complete and submit supplemental reports documenting her investigation to a supervisor for review.

4.G.O. 415 Post Assignments and Patrol Procedures, III. Patrol Procedures, C. Preliminary Investigations-Patrol Officers, 1 (i) Conduct follow-up investigations as assigned. Depending on the locality or complexity of the incident, or other indicated need for a specialized investigation, the Lieutenant/Detective Bureau may assign the case to the Detective Bureau.

Officer Anderson failed to conduct and document a follow up investigation.

5.G.O. 435 Domestic Incidents and Orders of Protection, XI. Orders of Protection, B. Enforcement, 2. A lawful warrantless arrest may be made based on probable cause even though the protected person may be unable to present a valid copy of the order, but the Officer shall attempt to verify the existence and terms of the order through Department records or NYSPIN. However, if the order is not produced or its existence cannot be verified, the matter should be investigated further to confirm or deny the existence and if appropriate, an arrest can be made at a later date. Also the Officer should investigate if an arrest can be made for any other offense (e.g. harassment, assault, etc.) and any appropriate charge added later if the Order is confirmed.

Officer Anderson did not have an actual verified copy of the order of protection before filing the warrant against Officer Anderson only had an E-justice repository hit for an order of protection that was not in effect when the incident occurred.

6. G.O. 435 Domestic Incidents and Orders of Protection, XI. Orders of Protection, B. Enforcement, 3. Where an Officer receives a complaint from a protected person or has an independent basis to believe that an order of protection has been violated, and has probable cause to believe that the terms of such order have been violated, an arrest shall be made for the appropriate degree of criminal contempt regardless of whether the prohibited conduct occurred in the Officer's presence. A copy of the order of protection shall be attached to the court accusatory instrument.

Officer Anderson failed to attach a copy of the order of protection to the accusatory instrument filed with the court. The order that Officer Anderson refers to in the accusatory instrument is located in the binder in the booking area. However, the order would have not been in effect when the incident occurred.

The actions/inactions of Officer Anderson could have led to a potential risk of further harm to the victim in this matter. This also could have led potential credibility and liability issues for the Geneva Police Department. It is recommended that Officer Anderson receive this counseling memo and one on one remedial training in the investigation of domestic incidents and conducting thorough police investigations.

You must understand that this counseling memo is being issued so that you may take immediate corrective measures to prevent this from happening again. You must take precautions to prevent the aforementioned errors and General Order Violations from happening again.

As you may or may not be aware, further violation of this General Order may result in disciplinary action taken against you.

My signature acknowledges that I have read and received a copy of this counseling memorandum. It does not mean I agree or disagree with the contents. I know I have the right to attach a rebuttal.

DATED:

Employee's Signature

Supervisor's Signature



TO:

PO Anderson

CC:

Chief Passalacqua

FROM:

Sergeant Nolin

DATE

August 7, 2018

SUBJECT:

Remedial training

#862

#### Officer Anderson,

As recommended by Chief Passalacqua you are to complete remedial training in post assignments, patrol procedures, domestic incidents and orders of protection. I am directing you to review Section 415 and 435 of the Geneva Police Department General Orders. Once you have reviewed the sections you are to advise me. You will then be given a written test on the sections reviewed. Once the tests are completed they will be returned to me for review. Once the review has been completed any incorrect answers will be reviewed with you.

So ordered,

SGT Nolin #862



## GENEVA POLICE DEPARTMENT

#### **EMPLOYEE WARNING RECORD**



Employee Name:

PO Shannon Anderson

Shift: Afternoons 2:30p-11p Time:

PM

পুঠ Date of Warning: 4/13/2020

#### WARNING Nature of Violation: Substandard Work Date of Violation: (If Other - Explain): GO 305(11) Time of Violation: 17:28 Place of Violation: COMPANY REMARKS PO Anderson and PO Perry were dispatched to for a reported suspicious condition where the caller believed property was being damaged. Upon arrival to approached a group of 7 juveniles in regards to the complaint. Some of the juveniles were hostile and disrespectful towards PO Anderson. PO Anderson notices a lawn chair thrown in the basketball court and questions how that happened. The one juvenile admits to throwing the chair around. While speaking with the juveniles PO Anderson waived off her backup unit. PO Anderson was outnumbered 7-1 to a group that where some people were hostile towards her and should have not called off the back up unit. This action is an officer safety issue. Also while on scene the juvenile start yelling and swearing. Based on the call, the admitted attempted property damage and the yelling and swearing the group should have been dispersed under the disorderly conduct PL 240.26 sub (1) and (3) as they were creating enough of a disturbance that the police were called and it continued while on scene. By not removing the group I don't believe the police department came to a proper outcome that would have solved the issue at hand under GO 305(11) for failure to take appropriate action on the occasion of a crime, disorder, or other condition deserving police attention as listed below.

a.GO 305(11)Officers shall maintain sufficient competency to properly perform their duties and assume the responsibilities of their positions. Officers shall perform their duties in a manner which will maintain the highest standards of efficiency in carrying out the functions and objectives of the department. Unsatisfactory performance may be demonstrated by a lack of knowledge of the application of laws required to be enforced; an unwillingness or inability to perform assigned tasks; the failure to conform to work standards established for the officer's rank, grade, or position; the failure to take appropriate action, the occasion of a crime, disorder, or other condition deserving police attention; or absence without leave. In addition to other indicia of unsatisfactory performance, the following will be considered prima facie evidence of unsatisfactory performance; repeated poor evaluations or a written record of repeated infractions of rules, regulations, directives or orders of the department.

Employee Has Been Warned Previously: YES MO Date of Previous Warnings:

Form of Warning: Warned by Whom: In Frehm

#### EMPLOYEE'S REMARKS RE: VIOLATION

\*The absence of any statement on the part of the EMPLOYEE indicates his/her agreement with the report as stated employee wished to submit response and will be for throught.

	ACTION TO	BE TAKEN		
$\mathcal{C}$	ounseling Me	р <b>и</b> с.		
Approved by: Million J. PASSE  Name  Date: 4/13/2724	a LA Gara	Signature	<u> </u>	<u>Chief of Police</u> Title
I have read this "warning"  O S J Lesse  Employee Signature	and understand it.  2/2/20 Date	An/1 Superv	De SCIS risor Signature	4/21/20 Date
DISTRIBUTION OF COPIES:    Chief of Police	Personnel Dept.	Supervisor	Union Rep.	

TO:

**PO Shannon Anderson** 

FROM:

Sgt. D. Felice

RE:



DATE:

4/9/2020





You must understand that this counseling memo is being completed so that you may take immediate corrective measures so it does not happen again. You must take precautions as stated in: .GO 305(11)Officers shall maintain sufficient competency to properly perform their duties and assume the responsibilities of their positions. Officers shall perform their duties in a manner which will maintain the highest standards of efficiency in carrying out the functions and objectives of the department. Unsatisfactory performance may be demonstrated by a lack of knowledge of the application of laws required to be enforced; an unwillingness or inability to perform assigned tasks; the failure to conform to work standards established for the officer's rank, grade, or position; the failure to take appropriate action, the occasion of a crime, disorder, or other condition deserving police attention; or absence without leave. In addition to other indicia of unsatisfactory performance, the following will be considered prima facie evidence of unsatisfactory performance; repeated poor evaluations or a written record of repeated infractions of rules, regulations, directives or orders of the department.

On PO Anderson and PO Perry were dispatched to for a reported suspicious condition where the caller believed property was being damaged. Upon arrival to PO Anderson approached a group of 7 juveniles in regards to the complaint. Some of the juveniles were hostile and disrespectful towards PO Anderson. PO Anderson notices a lawn chair thrown in the basketball court and questions how that happened. The one juvenile admits to throwing the chair around. While speaking with the juvenile's PO Anderson waived off her backup unit. PO Anderson was outnumbered 7-1 to a group that where some people were hostile towards her and should have not called off the back up unit. This action is an officer safety issue. Also, while on scene the juvenile start yelling and swearing. Based on the call, the admitted attempted property damage and the yelling and swearing the group should have been dispersed under the disorderly conduct PL 240.26 sub (1) and (3) as they were creating enough of a disturbance that the police were called and it continued while on scene. By not removing the group I don't believe the police department came to a proper outcome that would have solved the issue at hand under GO 305(11) for failure to take appropriate action on the occasion of a crime, disorder, or other condition deserving police attention as listed above.

As you may or may not be aware, further violation of this General Order may result in disciplinary action taken against you.

My signature acknowledges that I have read and received a copy of this counseling memorandum. It does not mean I agree or disagree with the contents. I know I have the right to attach a rebuttal.

DATED:

Employee's Signature

Supervisor's Signature