

**From:** [Manuel Juzon](#) on behalf of [Manuel Juzon <juzonm@edmonds.wednet.edu>](#)  
**To:** [Dwayne Dodgen](#)  
**Subject:** District Response to List of Questions  
**Date:** Thursday, September 20, 2018 3:31:46 PM

Mr. Dodgen:

Please find below the list of questions you asked in your series of emails between August 20 and September 15, 2018, along with the District responses.

Manny Juzon

Question No.	In Your Email Dated	Question	Date Acknowledged	Due Date	Response	Date Responded
1	8/20/2018	Are you really telling me the security expert relied on the internet and not facts?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	The District uses all available resources to obtain information, to include the internet.	9/20/2018
2	8/20/2018	Real question. Are you also telling need the person responsible for knowing it was a lie that did not tell the truth and then said there was no threat made didn't know what they were doing?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	The District disagrees with the premise of your question, asserting that a District staff lied to you.	9/20/2018
3	8/20/2018	What do you call it then if mr. Erdman told me something that wasn't true even though everything shows that he should have known it was on tree?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #2.	9/20/2018
4	8/20/2018	Or is he just like principal Stewart and not sure of the truth or what his job duties are?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #2.	9/20/2018
5	8/20/2018	Second off if a person tells a story that is not the truth then what does the district call that?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #2.	9/20/2018
6	8/20/2018	You people have twisted my words and actions and Principal Steward has disrespected me since the first ten seconds of meeting me and you folks wonder why I am so god damned pissed off?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Rhetorical question. It appears that this question is being asked for effect rather than to seek an actual answer. Therefore, no response is being provided.	9/20/2018
7	8/20/2018	Then your superintendent openly says she wants to talk off the record to really discuss the issues and you don't have the decency to even address or try to deny it?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #6.	9/20/2018
8	8/20/2018	You don't want that investigated as a part of everything....gee is that because you cant deny it?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #6.	9/20/2018
9	8/20/2018	You people have abused my daughter and I for over two years now and you expect me to just take it and smile?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #6.	9/20/2018
10	8/20/2018	To say it's ok because you people say it is?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #6.	9/20/2018
		EITHER PRESENT A PLAN TO WORK THROUGH THIS OR GIVE ME A DAMNED LETTER SAYING WE CANT WORK TOGETHER! PLEASE ALSO INCLUDE HOW MANY DAMN TIMES I OFFERED			All District staff continue to work with	

11	8/20/2018	TO DO ANYTHING YOU ASKED! Mr. Juzon this is for you to deal with since you are the answer source. I expect a reply acknowledging this unless you intend on furthering your abuse of my daughter and myself.	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	every student and their parents toward the education and development of every student. Regarding your request to "...INCLUDE HOW MANY DAMN TIMES I OFFERED TO DO ANYTHING YOU ASKED!", the District does not have the resources to conduct research for you.	9/20/2018
12	8/20/2018	What is the term used at Brier elementary to stop all busses from leaving the campus?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	There is no formal or required term. Staff say what they need to say to get the message across, such as "hold the busses."	9/20/2018
13	8/20/2018	When is this term used?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	We hold the busses any time we need to get a student to or from the bus.	9/20/2018
14	8/20/2018	how many times has this occurred in the last three years?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	We do not track how many times busses are being held so we are unable to provide you this information. Our top priority is student safety and we hold the busses when appropriate.	9/20/2018
15	8/20/2018	Does the district have a response to principal Stewart blatantly disregarding and ignoring public disclosure laws in dealing with lunch room rules? So please tell me if you are so concerned about resources why did you specifically NOT INCLUDE the allegations of cover up and lies in the investigation with Mr. Kaiser since those have been made more frequently and longer than the child abuse and racial discrimination	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	The District does not ignore public disclosure law or any other laws. As it pertains to the "list of lunchroom rules" you requested, there are no written lunchroom rules that the District can provide to you. The only records that relate to "lunchroom rules" are the "Lunchroom Expectations for Brier students", which have been provided to you.	9/20/2018
16	8/21/2018	accusations? You abuse my child, lie to me, with hold answers to questions, refuse to be professional and polite and then say you don't feel you have done anything	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	The District disagrees with the premise and false assertions in your question. Therefore, no response is being provided.	9/20/2018
17	8/21/2018	wrong? Remember the discretionary	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #6	9/20/2018
18	8/21/2018	authority issue?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #6.	9/20/2018
19	8/21/2018	What constitutes a lie in the districts opinion? You people wonder why there are trust issues and anger	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	There are dictionary definitions of the word "lie", such as the one you can find here: <a href="https://www.dictionary.com/browse/lie">https://www.dictionary.com/browse/lie</a> . The District does not have an opinion regarding the definition of the word.	9/20/2018
20	8/23/2018	issues? A few simple questions pertaining to the operation of	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #6.	9/20/2018

21	8/23/2018	the school have been asked multiple times now and you refuse to answer them?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #6.	9/20/2018
		Yeah well since the district feels that documented lies are not lies, that Mr. Mhyre failing to disclose the truth before, during and after "his investigation" into Mr. Erdman's email threat is not a cover up, that Dr. McDuffy blatantly stating falsely that the hearing was only about my volunteer status when school records show it wasn't, that claiming no evidence of head injuries was truthful, that the abuse of my daughter is acceptable even though she can vocalize everything on her own and not one of you wants to hear it, that giving me a blatantly false story about the hill and continuing to not acknowledge the truth is acceptable and I am poor and unable to fight it really doesn't matter what I think, feel or do				
22	8/23/2018	does it?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #6.	9/20/2018
		Real question; why did the district wait until I found the RCW's and shared them to			The RCWs you shared in your email had nothing to do with when the District's	
23	8/23/2018	respond?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	acknowledged your emails.	9/20/2018
		I have repeatedly asked and offered to be shown the truth if I am wrong so is that I am not good enough for the truth or				
24	8/23/2018	that the truth can't be told?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #6.	9/20/2018
		Then you turn around and claim to be afraid of my emails and				
25	8/29/2018	threaten me over those?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #6.	9/20/2018
26	8/29/2018	Rather hypocritical isn't it?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #6.	9/20/2018
		To be afraid of emails but not allow me to be afraid for my life after witnessing a brutal				
27	8/29/2018	murder?	8/31/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #6.	9/20/2018
		Guess it's a safe bet that superintendent McDuffy doesn't want a fresh start and will not			Please see response to Question #6 and	
28	8/31/2018	answer the six questions?	9/4/2018	9/20/2018	Question 45.	9/20/2018

Board Policy 1100 states, in part: "In order to achieve its primary goal of providing each child with the necessary skills and attitudes, commensurate with his/her ability, to become effective citizens, the board will exercise the full authority

		So my one and only question today is which RCW gives you the "discretionary authority" to go outside of determined and adopted <b>written</b> policies not in conflict with other law.....you know the RCW that allows you to use personal choice over publicly notified and approved		granted to it by the laws of the state. Its legal powers, duties and responsibilities are derived from state statute and regulation.."Sources such as the school code (Title 28A RCW), attorney general's opinions, regulations of the State Board of Education (Title 180 WAC), and the State Superintendent of Public Instruction (Title 392 WAC) delineate the legal powers,	
29	9/2/2018	policy? Is it better especially for young girls to have an active and loving father in their life or for those little girls to not have a active loving father in their life? What	9/4/2018	9/20/2018 duties, and responsibilities of the board.	9/20/2018
30	9/2/2018	is the districts answer? I am just curious Dr. McDuffy, can you please point out in here where you respected my	9/4/2018	9/20/2018 The District does not wish to engage in philosophical discussions about parenting.	9/20/2018
31	9/3/2018	requests about the meeting?	9/4/2018	9/20/2018 You have been provided with the same rights extended to everyone else as it relates to District proceedings.	9/20/2018
32	9/3/2018	Not as Mr. Mhyre claims happened just last month now I believe it is? So Mr. Juzon how to you explain the flagrant and blatant breaking of the public records	9/4/2018	9/20/2018 This question relates to the issue about the "lunchroom rules", which the District has fully addressed with you. The District has no further information to add.	9/20/2018
33	9/3/2018	request law? I asked for the written information that Mr. Mhyre has subsequently provided and Mr. Erdman ignored me and broke the law! Or does the district again feel that they have done	9/4/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question #15 and Question #16.	9/20/2018
34	9/3/2018	nothing wrong? Please remember Mr. Erdman in another email already shared he passes the information along to those in charge which pretty much makes every single one of you accountable for breaking	9/4/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question #15 and Question #16.	9/20/2018
35	9/3/2018	the law doesn't it? So here is the email (there may be more) where I asked Mr. Erdman for the RCW or WAC that covered his story of the driveway. I also ask of the prior incident he mentioned that you are all aware of and know what his story to me was. The story that took what almost a year to be told because it was a flat out	9/4/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question #6.	9/20/2018
36	9/3/2018	lie?	9/4/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question #2.	9/20/2018
37	9/3/2018	So who investigated Mr. Erdman's "threat" email.	9/4/2018	9/20/2018 This question relates to the issue about threats you claim to have been made against you, which the District has fully addressed with you. The District has no further information to add.	9/20/2018

				There are dictionary definitions of the word "cover up", such as the one you can find here: <a href="https://www.dictionary.com/browse/cover">https://www.dictionary.com/browse/cover</a> up. The District does not have its own definition for commonly used terms.	
38	9/3/2018	Mr. Juzon can you please tell me the districts definition of a cover up?	9/4/2018	9/20/2018	9/20/2018
		I have repeatedly told you I was diagnosed with PTSD from corrupt government falsely convicting me of a crime I did not commit and what do you			
39	9/3/2018	do?	9/4/2018	9/20/2018	9/20/2018
		You hold that same charge against me while abusing my daughter and then say you are			
40	9/3/2018	judging me based on how I act?	9/4/2018	9/20/2018	9/20/2018
		That clearly seems to violate the			
41	9/3/2018	ADA rules and laws doesn't it?	9/4/2018	9/20/2018	9/20/2018
		Judging me for my diagnosed challenge after you intentionally and knowingly do things to make it worse with me telling you the whole time these things			
42	9/3/2018	make it worse?	9/4/2018	9/20/2018	9/20/2018
				Please see response to Question #6 and Question #16.	
43	9/4/2018	So my question is which part of the road did Mr. Erdman believe was owned by the City of Brier? The North or The South side?	9/5/2018	9/20/2018	9/20/2018
		Both properties have been privately owned for sometime with one of them being only about twice as long as Mr. Erdman has worked for the district I believe and well the other one for over four decades			
44	9/4/2018	now?	9/5/2018	9/20/2018	9/20/2018
		It is up to the district however, all of my questions still stand and I would like to include why wont Dr. McDuffy answer my six			
45	9/6/2018	questions?	9/6/2018	9/20/2018	9/20/2018
		Why wont Principal Stewart or the district send a notification to all parents (including to the parents of the kids who moved on last year and the parents of the new children this year) to show that their choice to endorse them as heroes is completely acceptable to the			
46	9/6/2018	parents they claim to serve?	9/6/2018	9/20/2018	9/20/2018
		Well Mr. Juzon I hope you had a			
47	9/9/2018	splendid weekend?	9/10/2018	9/20/2018	9/20/2018
		It has been expressly stated by the district that they will not let me volunteer because other parents might get offended by me being around their			
48	9/9/2018	children?	9/10/2018	9/20/2018	9/20/2018
		Well the questionnaire clearly shows that this year there will		The District has no information to provide regarding presumptions you make.	

		be one on one time for the children and as the courts have deemed me fit to raise my daughter and the school obviously doesn't have a problem with me being around the kids in the lunchroom then I would like to know why I can not volunteer to help my own daughter with one on one time and give her what she		The "1-1" work with students is a volunteer opportunity, as indicated on the heading of the form, to help any student/s needing assistance in the various areas indicated on the form. It is not an opportunity or time made available for a parent to specifically work with their child	
49	9/9/2018	deserves? I highly doubt this is a new thing so why did the school fail to mention this and use it as a potential stepping stone and	9/10/2018	9/20/2018 or children during school hours.	9/20/2018
50	9/9/2018	goal? What I want to know is if Principal Stewart and each of her bosses including all board members still believe this gentleman is a hero for "racial equality" as principal Stewart	9/10/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question #49.	9/20/2018
51	9/9/2018	declared in an email? I would also like to know if the district has their own definition of the term cover up or collusion or if they agree the standard dictionary definitions are	9/10/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question #46.	9/20/2018
52	9/9/2018	correct? If the district wants me to stop using that sign off they can state they know I am never going to do anything outside of the law to resolve these issues and again if they cant do that then they should issue the letter I asked	9/10/2018	9/20/2018 The District does not have its own definition for common words.	9/20/2018
53	9/9/2018	for? Mr. Erdman blatantly said that information is just simply not available I believe where his exact words so....If the information was/is not available then how did Mr. Mhyre come to be able to give it to me	9/10/2018	9/20/2018 You can use whatever closing you wish to use in your correspondence. All the District has asked is that you please be respectful in the way you communicate.	9/20/2018
54	9/12/2018	recently? I believe that was intentionally with holding the truth from me and intentionally with holding public information as well which is not a surprise and your director of human resources also failed to answer the question in an email. In fact she never responded to it at all. So please send this along to get the current version of what the	9/13/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question #43.	9/20/2018
55	9/12/2018	district is going to claim? I am curious because even in the email thread this comes from Mr. Erdman says he hopes he can help me find a way to work with government agencies to	9/13/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question 16.	9/20/2018

# Dodgen D 21 57 PRA 000007

56	9/12/2018	get my desired goals? If he meant that then why did he with hold the answers for lunchroom staffing that Mr.	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #48.	9/20/2018
57	9/12/2018	Mhyre finally provided? Why don't you all want all parents to know who you call	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #32.	9/20/2018
58	9/12/2018	heroes? This response clearly shows Mr. Erdman had the knowledge to know who worked in the lunch room and that the lunchroom is nowhere nearly as supervised as	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #46.	9/20/2018
59	9/12/2018	a classroom. So why did he lie? It seems in Washington state a license is required to be obtained from the DOL to work as a private investigator and it seems that both Mr. Bue and Mr. Kaiser fall in that category so I am wondering why Mr. Mhyre is not a licensed investigator since the school had him act as an investigator on the	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #16 and Question #32.	9/20/2018
60	9/12/2018	threat investigation? Why did the school district knowing use an unlicensed investigator to investigate and clear one of it's own staff accused of threatening a parent	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	The District disagrees with your assertion that a District staff requires a private investigator license to ask questions and make inquiries in the course of conducting their daily duties.	9/20/2018
61	9/12/2018	of a child in the district? Under what legal Authority was Mr. Mhyre appointed by the district to act as a private investigator seemingly in violation of Washington state	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #60.	9/20/2018
62	9/12/2018	licensing laws? Since only a licensed PI can do legitimate investigations in Washington state does the district consider this an actual	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #60.	9/20/2018
63	9/12/2018	official investigation still?	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #60.	9/20/2018
64	9/12/2018	If so please explain how? I am also still waiting to learn by what standards Mr. Mhyre determined there was not	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #60.	9/20/2018
65	9/12/2018	threat made? Was it because it was not Mr. Erdman's intentions to threaten	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #37.	9/20/2018
66	9/12/2018	me? If so then why just like Principal Stewart's intentions being counted in her declaring of heroes is it intentions that matter where as with me my intentions have not mattered on	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #37.	9/20/2018
67	9/12/2018	anything? Why has there never been a full answer given to my question of what makes the cafeteria a better monitored environment when even the "security expert"	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #48.	9/20/2018

68	9/13/2018	doesn't know how that decision was reached? So what is the schools explanation for the cafeteria being better monitored?	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #32.	9/20/2018
69	9/13/2018	Why did Mr. Erdman change positions and with hold what he labeled easy information?	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #32.	9/20/2018
70	9/13/2018	Why is the district concerned about what parents might think or feel about me but not willing to disavow Principal Stewarts chosen heroes?	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Questions #46 and Question #48.	9/20/2018
72	9/13/2018	Why ,if what Mr. Erdman says is true, has the district never once even offered to discuss a way through ON THE RECORD or off? Other than Dr. McDuffy asking for a closed door unrecorded meeting?	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	As you acknowledge in Question #73, you have met with Dr. McDuffy. In addition to your appeal hearing with the school board, you also met with Assistant Superintendent Justin Irish. Johnna Stewart also made herself available to meet with you, as she does for all parents, for school-related matters.	9/20/2018
73	9/13/2018	It is pretty bad when the security expert has no answer on how the cafeteria is a safer/better monitored environment then a classroom.	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #72.	9/20/2018
74	9/13/2018	Isn't that his job to know? so I found this and Mr. Mhyre claims he looked at the emails but finds nothing wrong so I still want to know what his qualifications to work as a PI were and what standards he used to make his decision?	9/13/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #32.	9/20/2018
75	9/15/2018	I would like to know what materials Mr. Mhyre reviewed in determining no threat was made by Mr. Erdman to me?	9/17/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #60.	9/20/2018
76	9/15/2018	Below is the exchange between us so if he did not review the emails does that mean that Mr. Erdman withheld them intentionally or that Mr. Mhyre never sought them out from Mr. Erdman?	9/17/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #37.	9/20/2018
77	9/15/2018	Doesn't the district have a legal and ethical responsibility to disclose all evidence in an investigation whether it favors them or not?	9/17/2018	9/20/2018	Please see response to Question #6.	9/20/2018
78	9/15/2018	You know like covering up the emails of my daughter's head injuries and the emails of Mr. Erdman threatening me? You people wonder why I am so pissed off at you?	9/17/2018	9/20/2018	This question relates to the issue about your daughter's "head injury", which the District has fully addressed with you. The District has no further information to add.	9/20/2018
79	9/15/2018	That may not be the exact Phrasing I used but I have sent	9/17/2018	9/20/2018		

		this somewhere between 6-12 times and only received one response so I am asking for confirmation on the number of times the district has received that offer from me and the sole response from Emma Gillespie			
80	9/15/2018	telling me it is not possible? In additions I would like to know why this happened since on the schools volunteer form it lists rcw 43.43.830 which clearly shows in section 6 there is and has been a solution to all of this that Principal Stewart and the district have gone so far as to not only withhold from me (but district counsel said or implied there was no way through) but to openly lie to me and ignore my request about it when I asked if Mr. Erdman's	9/17/2018	The District does not have the resources to conduct research for you.	9/20/2018
81	9/15/2018	suggestion was accurate. The with holding of this piece of information seems to clearly show the districts discrimination and bias against me when they wont even be honest and open about a solid and legitimate	9/17/2018	This question relates to the issue about your "volunteer status", which the District has fully addressed with you. The District has no further information to add.	9/20/2018
82	9/15/2018	solution to the problem? Is that because principal Stewart is in collusion with my ex or because the district allows racial based decision making and non state approved materials to be	9/17/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question #16.	9/20/2018
83	9/15/2018	taught? I also want to state that I believe this is new evidence that clearly shows the collusion even more between my ex and the	9/17/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question #16.	9/20/2018
84	9/15/2018	principal? Why else would Principal Stewart et all (besides racism)	9/17/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question #16.	9/20/2018
85	9/15/2018	with hold this information? The following are the six questions you addressed to Dr. McDuffy in your June 15, 2018 email: 1) why are you not willing to discuss the issues on the	9/17/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question #16.	9/20/2018
86	9/15/2018	record? 2) why has the district ignored it's legal counsel issuing a threat to me in what you declare are my rights to email yourself and	9/6/2018	It is not the District's policy to make audio and/or video recording of meetings.	9/20/2018
87	9/15/2018	all other school staff? 3) if the district wishes to give great experiences and happy memories to its students and its community then why does this situation exists and why do I have so many documented falsehoods by District staff members including your security	9/6/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question #16	9/20/2018

		expert who everyone endorsed his lies blatantly even though the city and your own School insurance now proves he was			
88	9/15/2018	being dishonest? 4). Why have yourself and principle Stewart refuse to answer the question of why you are holding an overturned conviction against me when it has been dismissed with prejudice yet you don't hold	9/6/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question #16	9/20/2018
89	9/15/2018	others to the same standards? 5) why have you, your principal and the rest of the district openly and admittedly gone outside of districts policy using discretionary authority to keep	9/6/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question #81	9/20/2018
90	9/15/2018	me away from my daughter?	9/6/2018	9/20/2018 Please see response to Question #16	9/20/2018
		6) Why does the district refused to have my allegations of corruption lies deceit and dishonesty investigated by an outside source? You know all the stuff that is documented		The District disagrees with your assertion. In May 2017, the District retained the services of Mr. Bill Bue of WRB Investigation Solutions, PLLC to conduct an investigation into your allegations that the District and its staff were abusing or allowing the abuse of your daughter, harassing you and discriminating against you. Mr. Rick Kaiser is conducting an investigation into your complaints that you have been discriminated against by District Superintendent Kris McDuffy and Brier Elementary School Principal Johnna	
91	9/15/2018	and unrepeatable.	9/6/2018	9/20/2018 Stewart.	9/20/2018

**From:** [Manuel Juzon](#) on behalf of [Manuel Juzon <juzonm@edmonds.wednet.edu>](mailto:juzonm@edmonds.wednet.edu)  
**To:** [Dwayne Dodgen](#)  
**Cc:** [Manuel Juzon](#)  
**Subject:** Dodgen D PRA 21 40 Initial and Final Response  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 20, 2021 9:45:59 AM  
**Attachments:** [Dodgen D PRA 21 40 Initial and Final Response DocuSigned with Attachments.pdf](#)

---

Please see attached letter sent on behalf of Lydia Sellie, Public Records Officer.

**From:** [Manuel Juzon](#) on behalf of [Manuel Juzon <juzonm@edmonds.wednet.edu>](mailto:juzonm@edmonds.wednet.edu)  
**To:** [Dwayne Dodgen](#)  
**Subject:** Dodgen PRA 20 07 and 20 09 Initial and Final Response Volunteer Written Policy  
**Date:** Thursday, January 30, 2020 9:26:15 AM  
**Attachments:** [Dodgen PRA 20 07 and 20 09 Initial and Final Response Volunteer Written Policy.pdf](#)  
[Board Policy 4115.pdf](#)  
[Board Administrative Procedure 4115P.pdf](#)

---

Please see attached response sent on behalf of the Public Records Officer.

**From:** [Lydia Sellie](#) on behalf of [Lydia Sellie <selliel812@edmonds.wednet.edu>](#)  
**To:** [Dwayne Dodgen](#)  
**Bcc:** [juzonm@edmonds.wednet.edu](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Answers/your chance  
**Date:** Wednesday, March 25, 2020 12:01:23 PM  
**Attachments:** [REDACTED]

---

Dear Mr. Dodgen,

Attached is the original letter sent to you which states that "administration may exclude visitors or volunteers for any reason deemed sufficient by the administrator." There are no RCWs or WACs referenced. There are no additional documents responsive to your public records request dated yesterday, March 24, 2020.

Lydia Sellie

On Tue, Mar 24, 2020 at 4:07 PM Dwayne Dodgen <[dwaynefit@gmail.com](mailto:dwaynefit@gmail.com)> wrote:

Ms. Sellie,

What you are saying is the talking point of the district that is causing the issues. I am not asking you to research anything.

the district has made claims of laws and I am asking to know what those laws are?

so let me be very explicit that I am not asking you for research I am asking you to identify the written law that the district has referred to as a matter of public record for their decision-making against me? they refuse to answer the question and as far as I can tell there is no law so please confirm that there is no law that they are referring to you as a matter of public record.

I am not asking for 1 oz of research because of the district is referring to a law then they should be able to clearly identify that law as a matter of public record?

So, last chance please identify which law as a written public record the school district is referring to when they say they can hold a dismissed conviction against me?

really trying to be clear to get clarification that I am asking for the identification and release of public records the district claims exist?

Dwayne Dodgen

On Tue, Mar 24, 2020, 4:02 PM Lydia Sellie <[selliel812@edmonds.wednet.edu](mailto:selliel812@edmonds.wednet.edu)> wrote:

Dear Mr. Dodgen,

I can provide records under the Public Records Act; however, your request is not for a public record. Research of public records such as researching RCWs or WACs is not a public record in itself. If you have a specific public record that I can provide you please let me know.

Sincerely,  
Lydia Sellie

On Tue, Mar 24, 2020 at 11:48 AM Dwayne Dodgen <[dwaynefit@gmail.com](mailto:dwaynefit@gmail.com)> wrote:

Ms. Sellie,

I feel that you have made a good-faith attempt based off of my request. so I am going to continue in good faith based on that and I'm going to ask you to clarify the answer you sent to me.

I am not asking for general information on any level oh, I am asking directly for what law in the forum of an RCW or WAC the school district is using to make their decisions?

I want to confirm that by your answer the only laws the district uses in decisions regarding the background check volunteer application process are what are listed in the policies?

Under public records request I would like a clear definitive answer that no other laws exist for the school to make decisions by?

Your answer appears to be that I am correct and that no other laws are on the books so I'm asking you to not use legal speak but you directly and clearly answer my records request that no such laws exist?

I am a high-school dropout with no higher education and using the legal speak or saying that records don't exist does not answer the question so please simply answer yes or no are there any laws besides the ones covered in the policy the district has created?

Sincerely,

Dwayne Dodgen

On Tue, Mar 24, 2020, 11:29 AM Lydia Sellie <[selliel812@edmonds.wednet.edu](mailto:selliel812@edmonds.wednet.edu)> wrote:

Mr. Dodgen,

Attached is a response to your email. Also, my pronouns are she/her.

Sincerely,

Lydia Sellie

On Tue, Mar 24, 2020 at 2:08 AM Dwayne Dodgen <[dwaynefit@gmail.com](mailto:dwaynefit@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Dir Sir/Madam,

I do not know you however, at this point I am sure you are aware of who I am. I am offering you this opportunity to do the right thing. To choose honesty and integrity over anything else.

In the form of an FOIA I am asking you directly for the laws the district has quoted as giving them authority to deny my volunteer application for four years in a row (two of which they refuse to follow school policies on) based on a dismissed conviction and a conviction not related to the tasks I would be assigned. As well as the district using information not available in the WSP background check for decision making.

What law is the district using to hold my dismissed conviction against me contrary to RCW 43.43.830 section 6 which refers to rcw 10.97.030 conviction record section 2(b) which clearly shows a dismissed conviction may not be held against someone?

In addition I am asking you to state what law allows the district to go against rcw 43.43.815 rules for employers which says a conviction more than ten years old may not be held against a person.

I would like answers by Monday afternoon as you should have them easily after refusing to answer them recently.

This email will act as your notice that failure to disclose said RCW's or WAC's that give legal authority to commit said actions will indicate your decision to join the district in the violation of my daughter's and my constitutional rights to due process, double jeopardy and a fair and equal education as guaranteed by law. I

would like to also give you notice that should you fail to properly and appropriately answer these two questions I will treat you with the same lack of respect I treat all others who are breaking the law and hurting my child.

Should you choose to not answer these questions openly and honestly I will simply add you to the emails I send the others whom have culpability in this situation. I will work to seek your imprisonment along with them for the abuse of my daughter and the violation of our rights.

This email is in no way meant to convey anything other than a notice of intent to exercise my legal rights to express myself against those who hurt my child and I. Also since I do not know you I would like to ask which Pronoun you choose to go by so I know how to properly address you should you earn that privilege?

Please make the right choice and admit the truth.

Sincerely,

Dwayne Dodgen.

--

Lydia Sellie  
Executive Director of Business and Finance  
Edmonds School District  
(425)431-7015

--

Lydia Sellie  
Executive Director of Business and Finance  
Edmonds School District  
(425)431-7015

--

Lydia Sellie  
Executive Director of Business and Finance  
Edmonds School District  
(425)431-7015

**From:** [Lydia Sellie](#) on behalf of [Lydia Sellie <sellie812@edmonds.wednet.edu>](#)  
**To:** [Dwayne Dodgen](#)  
**Bcc:** [juzonm@edmonds.wednet.edu](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Answers/your chance  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 24, 2020 11:29:33 AM  
**Attachments:** [PRR DDodgen 20-21.pdf](#)

---

Mr. Dodgen,  
Attached is a response to your email. Also, my pronouns are she/her.  
Sincerely,  
Lydia Sellie

On Tue, Mar 24, 2020 at 2:08 AM Dwayne Dodgen <[dwaynefit@gmail.com](mailto:dwaynefit@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Dir Sir/Madam,

I do not know you however, at this point I am sure you are aware of who I am. I am offering you this opportunity to do the right thing. To choose honesty and integrity over anything else.

In the form of an FOIA I am asking you directly for the laws the district has quoted as giving them authority to deny my volunteer application for four years in a row (two of which they refuse to follow school policies on) based on a dismissed conviction and a conviction not related to the tasks I would be assigned. As well as the district using information not available in the WSP background check for decision making.

What law is the district using to hold my dismissed conviction against me contrary to RCW 43.43.830 section 6 which refers to rcw 10.97.030 conviction record section 2(b) which clearly shows a dismissed conviction may not be held against someone?

In addition I am asking you to state what law allows the district to go against rcw 43.43.815 rules for employers which says a conviction more than ten years old may not be held against a person.

I would like answers by Monday afternoon as you should have them easily after refusing to answer them recently.

This email will act as your notice that failure to disclose said RCW's or WAC's that give legal authority to commit said actions will indicate your decision to join the district in the violation of my daughter's and my constitutional rights to due process, double jeopardy and a fair and equal education as guaranteed by law. I would like to also give you notice that should you fail to properly and appropriately answer these two questions I will treat you with the same lack of respect I treat all others who are breaking the law and hurting my child.

Should you choose to not answer these questions openly and honestly I will simply add you to the emails I send the others whom have culpability in this situation. I will work to seek your imprisonment along with them for the abuse of my daughter and the violation of our rights.

This email is in no way meant to convey anything other than a notice of intent to exercise my legal rights to express myself against those who hurt my child and I.

Also since I do not know you I would like to ask which Pronoun you choose to go by so I know how to properly address you should you earn that privilege?

Please make the right choice and admit the truth.

Sincerely,

Dwayne Dodgen.

--

Lydia Sellie  
Executive Director of Business and Finance  
Edmonds School District  
(425)431-7015

**From:** [Layne Erdman](#) on behalf of [Layne Erdman <erdmanl@edmonds.wednet.edu>](#)  
**To:** [Dwayne Dodgen](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Policy confirmation  
**Date:** Tuesday, November 28, 2017 7:49:28 AM

---

Question 1: being a visitor to school does not normally trigger a background check, it was your desire to be a volunteer dad that did and I would refer you to the following as a starting point

**RCW 28A.400.303**

**RCW 28A.320.155**

**WAC 308-108-070**

Question 2: I dont think I understand exactly what you are asking

Final question: Yes I think that aside from extending your period for the hearing because of the desire to get you the investigators packet, it followed district policy. I think that was their intent was to ensure it did follow that normal pattern.

On Mon, Nov 27, 2017 at 6:52 PM, Dwayne Dodgen <[dwaynefit@gmail.com](mailto:dwaynefit@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Mr. Erdman,

I have read both policies many times now and I guess I need your help. Can you point out the section that says a background check is a part of being a visitor to an Edmonds School District facility? I am unable to locate it? Additionally, I also seem to be missing the section that explains appealing to the superintendent is and being granted the same permission as everyone else is anything other than the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) or Policy for the school? Section 4 of 9030 R1 seems to state that the steps I went through are 100% normal (other than a longer than 5 day period) and that the Superintendent issuing an answer with in a few days (2 days) signed by the board president is well with in normal SOP? Can you please help me to understand where I am missing information?

Dwayne Dodgen

On Sun, Nov 26, 2017 at 3:51 PM, Layne Erdman <[erdmanl@edmonds.wednet.edu](mailto:erdmanl@edmonds.wednet.edu)> wrote:  
9030 and 9030r1 would be accurate

On Sun, Nov 26, 2017 at 1:35 AM, Dwayne Dodgen <[dwaynefit@gmail.com](mailto:dwaynefit@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Mr. Erdman,

I would like to confirm the school district policy 9030 R1 is the correct policy for visiting the school cafeteria?

Thank you

Dwayne Dodgen

--

Layne Erdman  
Safety, Security and Emergency Preparedness  
[425-431-7032](#)

"In an emergency we will regress to what we know, what creates comfort, this is why we prepare for the unexpected."

--

Layne Erdman  
Safety, Security and Emergency Preparedness  
425-431-7032

"In an emergency we will regress to what we know, what creates comfort, this is why we prepare for the unexpected."

**From:** [Johnna Stewart](#) on behalf of [Johnna Stewart <stewartj@edmonds.wednet.edu>](#)  
**To:** [Dwayne Dodgen](#)  
**Subject:** Re: findings  
**Date:** Tuesday, October 23, 2018 4:57:16 PM

---

Mr. Dodgen

In regards to your question around visiting the classroom, I want to refer you to school board policy 9030 and 9030 R-1 (links attached). As I stated when you first raised the question, our process is for me to talk with the parent/guardian regarding the goal/purpose of the visit. We then find a mutually agreeable time for the parent/guardian and myself to visit the classroom. This is a time that is set up in advance so that I can make sure it works for the teacher as well as depending upon the goal of the visit, we want to make sure the time aligns so the parent/guardian is able to observe the area they want to see. Then, the parent/guardian, myself, and if applicable, the teacher meets afterward to discuss what was viewed, answer any questions, etc. As I mentioned then, please feel free to contact me to set this process up.

Thank you  
Johnna  
Principal, BRE

<https://www.edmonds.wednet.edu/cms/One.aspx?portalId=306754&pageId=556538>

<https://www.edmonds.wednet.edu/cms/One.aspx?portalId=306754&pageId=556549>

On Sun, Oct 21, 2018 at 8:55 PM Dwayne Dodgen <[dwaynefit@gmail.com](mailto:dwaynefit@gmail.com)> wrote:  
Well folks,

I am just wondering how long you will with hold the findings this time? It was what 6 weeks last time and I was given roughly two weeks as I recall and then 13 minutes to address the outright lies and bogus findings? You know like no evidence of head injuries.

Dr. McDuffy, apparently you are claiming you have never abused a child in your life, well look up parental Alienation and maybe you will understand that you are currently abusing my daughter and myself. Why else would my 7 year old daughter call you a liar? Not just you Dr. McDuffy but all of you?

I have even mentioned the following which seems to directly contradict District statements in the January 25, 2017 letter. "There is no general right to volunteer or to visit the school campus." It is an absolute right for me to visit the campus and to inspect the classroom so long as I don't disrupt anything. So please explain how this is not an outright blatant lie and abuse of my rights as a parent?

**RCW [28A.605.020](#)**

### **Parents' access to classroom or school sponsored activities—Limitation.**

Every school district board of directors shall, after following established procedure, adopt a policy assuring parents access to their child's classroom and/or school sponsored activities for purposes of observing class procedure, teaching material, and class conduct: PROVIDED, That such observation shall not disrupt the classroom procedure or learning activity.

As for the "authority" of the administrator to deny me that would be great except for; Please note section 1a which clearly states "to determine and adopt written policies not in conflict with any other law..." The afore mention law of access to the classroom to observe my daughter has been violated for 2 years and there is no excuse. Principal Stewart told me I have to have a specific reason and that is simply not true. I told her I just wanted to see my daughter in the classroom and I was denied!!! So please don't tell me you follow the law.

**RCW [28A.320.015](#)**

**School boards of directors—Powers—Notice of adoption of policy.**

- (1) The board of directors of each school district may exercise the following:
  - (a) The broad discretionary power to determine and adopt written policies not in conflict with other law that provide for the development and implementation of programs, activities, services, or practices that the board determines will:
    - (i) Promote the education and daily physical activity of kindergarten through twelfth grade students in the public schools; or
    - (ii) Promote the effective, efficient, or safe management and operation of the school district;
  - (b) Such powers as are expressly authorized by law; and
  - (c) Such powers as are necessarily or fairly implied in the powers expressly authorized by law.
- (2) Before adopting a policy under subsection (1)(a) of this section, the school district board of directors shall comply with the notice requirements of the open public meetings act, chapter [42.30](#) RCW, and shall in addition include in that notice a statement that sets forth or reasonably describes the proposed policy. The board of directors shall provide a reasonable opportunity for public written and oral comment and consideration of the comment by the board of directors.

The School district does not have willy nilly discretionary authority but very specific and designated discretionary authority that does not include the right to go outside of stated written policy as you have done with me. You all have abused my child and claim you have done nothing wrong. Truly please explain how parents don't have a general right to visit the campus or classroom as you have stated in a written letter to me when RCW[28A.605.020](#) clearly says I have the express right by Statue and not administrative code. You know RCW vs WAC? Let me guess your excuse is you just didn't know about this law?

Forgiveness is the fragrance the violate sheds upon the heel that crushed it. MT

Dwayne Dodgen