

ACTION REQUIRED: INADEQUATE EXEMPTION REDACTION LOG - REQUEST FOR COMPLETE EXEMPTION LOGS OR UNREDACTED PUBLIC RECORDS WAC 44-14-04004(5) AND RCW 42.56.210(3)

November 14, 2020

Hello Mr. Aarstad,

Thank you for providing records responsive to this public records request.

The "Exemption Log" the District supplied with these public records on 10-12-2020 is not adequate. Please provide an accurate exemption/withholding log for the records the District has redacted, or alternatively provide the records in unredacted form.

The District has improperly redacted information and claimed exemptions in unidentified locations across each of pages of documents the District has produced in response to this public records request.

For example:

The District produced record names "Luke Emails Part 1 Pages 1-3200" pertains to the District's production of 3200 pages of email records consolidated into one (1) single Adobe .pdf file named "Luke Emails_Part1_Redacted.pdf."

A link to the 3200 pages of redacted emails compiled by the District to one (1) .pdf file named "Luke Emails_Part1_Redacted.pdf" is attached to this communication as follows:

https://bayfiles.com/tdZ1Lapfp4/Luke_Emails_Part1_Redacted_pdf

this file is also contained in the District's ORIGINAL LINK:

<https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1ORPymLHqpv0s87uTGwBe0YVfO5q-lEDD>

The District claimed the following exemptions in this file across pages 1-3200 in file "Luke Emails_Part1_Redacted.pdf" as well as other files also produced in this PRR : 20 U.S.C. § 1232g (FERPA); RCW 42.56.070(1); RCW 42.56.230(1) Parent info, 20 U.S.C. § 1232g (FERPA); RCW 42.56.070(1); RCW 42.56.230(1) Student info, RCW 42.56.250 (4) Employee Info.

QUESTIONS:

Which of these three exemptions is the District claiming in this file and on which page number? Is the District claiming a single exemption or more than one exemption, and if so on which page? On which part of each page of the 1-3200 pages is each of the three exemptions applied? Not all of the 1-3200 pages in this file are redacted. Some of the redactions are in black ink and some of the redactions appear to have

a light blue box with a question marks or an x in the middle. How are these exemptions denoted? What is the brief explanation for each of these exemptions?

In each of these attached public records files the District has produced, please mark next to each redaction on each page of the document, the specific exemption the District is claiming applies and provide a brief explanation for the specific exemption and how the withholding applies to the redaction. If the District has withheld pages in their entirety please indicate this fact, and provide a brief explanation of the exemption(s) applied, and the number of pages entirely withheld:

Bear Emails Pages 1-407

Bush Emails Pages 1-1252

JSmith 1 Emails Pages 1-2356

JSmith 2 Emails Pages 1-2574

JSmith 3 Emails Pages 1-2373

JSmith 4 Emails Pages 1-2426

Luke Emails Part 1 Pages 1-3200

Luke Emails Part 2 Pages 1-3200

Luke Emails Part 3 Pages 1-3200

Luke Emails Part 4 Pages 1-3200

Luke Emails Part 5 Pages 1-3200

Luke Emails Part 6 Pages 1-696

<https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/10RPymLHqpv0s87uTGwBe0YVfO5q-1EDD>

[https://bayfiles.com/nfleMap1pb/Bear Emails Redacted pdf](https://bayfiles.com/nfleMap1pb/Bear%20Emails%20Redacted%20pdf)

[https://bayfiles.com/5cndM1p3p2/Bush Emails Redacted pdf](https://bayfiles.com/5cndM1p3p2/Bush%20Emails%20Redacted%20pdf)

[https://bayfiles.com/FfscM6p3pe/JSmith 1 Emails Redacted pdf](https://bayfiles.com/FfscM6p3pe/JSmith%201%20Emails%20Redacted%20pdf)

[https://bayfiles.com/vfzfmfp9p5/JSmith 2 Emails Redacted pdf](https://bayfiles.com/vfzfmfp9p5/JSmith%202%20Emails%20Redacted%20pdf)

[https://bayfiles.com/B7b304p7p3/JSMith 3 Redacted pdf](https://bayfiles.com/B7b304p7p3/JSMith%203%20Redacted%20pdf)

[https://bayfiles.com/tdZ1Lapfp4/Luke Emails Part1 Redacted pdf](https://bayfiles.com/tdZ1Lapfp4/Luke%20Emails%20Part1%20Redacted%20pdf)

[https://bayfiles.com/RfbfNbp1p1/Luke Emails Part2 Redacted pdf](https://bayfiles.com/RfbfNbp1p1/Luke%20Emails%20Part2%20Redacted%20pdf)

[https://bayfiles.com/B2QfM3p1pa/Luke Emails Part3 Redacted pdf](https://bayfiles.com/B2QfM3p1pa/Luke%20Emails%20Part3%20Redacted%20pdf)

[https://bayfiles.com/56U5Ncpdpb/Luke Emails Part4 Redacted pdf](https://bayfiles.com/56U5Ncpdpb/Luke%20Emails%20Part4%20Redacted%20pdf)

[https://bayfiles.com/L0T1Nap4p4/Luke Emails Part5 Redacted pdf](https://bayfiles.com/L0T1Nap4p4/Luke%20Emails%20Part5%20Redacted%20pdf)

[https://bayfiles.com/b7Y8Ncp9pb/Luke Emails Part6 Redacted pdf](https://bayfiles.com/b7Y8Ncp9pb/Luke%20Emails%20Part6%20Redacted%20pdf)

WAC 44-14-04004(5) (b)

"If a record is redacted electronically, by deleting a field of data or in any other way, the agency must identify the redaction and state the basis for the claimed exemption as required by RCW [42.56.210](#)(3)."

Also provide a brief index representative of the responsive public records the District has produced describing all District locations searched and personnel queried.

Thank you.