From: Rebecca Sherman REDACTED

Sent: Friday, September 11, 2020 10:28 AM

To: Porter, Katharine (CAT)

Katie:

I am writing this to you because I made a terrible decision that I followed with a series of additional terrible decisions, and have created a giant mess. Now and the stress of wanting reducted and the stress of wanting reducted is only exacerbating the existing anxiety I had about how to disclose this. I shouldn't have gotten into this to begin with, and should have, at so many points along the way tried to make this right. I resigned this morning and will fully cooperate with whatever the process is from here on out.

In late 2017, REDACTED filed an EEO complaint. At the time, RED was trying to have a court overturn the CSC's administrative decision to uphold the closure/no finding in RED past EEO complaint. I reviewed complaints, understood the then-current allegations (ongoing retaliation, e.g., ignoring, hostility in the workplace from coworkers and managers, etc.) REDA also provided new information regarding RED closed complaint that I believed warranted review. I wanted to investigate.

At the time, I spoke with Matthew about it, who heard me out and said he'd back me in bringing the matter to Linda. I did, explained the new information and the narrow review of the proposed investigation, and she said ok but that there would not be a finding.

Sometime in the coming weeks, I drafted a Charge for REDACT review and signature. I began investigating. During this time, based on my review of the past investigation, general discussions during the first investigation and at the then-present time, I got the general sense that people in DHR EEO and SFMTA viewed complaint had no merit, and generally a complainer. My view was different. TED was consistent in across statements, the prior investigation was rushed and I did not believe the record supported the conclusion – the Respondent was not credible, and there were problems with the recruitment for the manager position that REDAC was denied (and which was the basis, in part, of REDAC complaint). Anyway, none of this is the point right now except to say that the evidence suggested to me that there should have been a finding on many of the previously closed claims and that based on the general sentiments about REDAC my recommendation would not be popular.

That is where I made my first terrible decision. I shared with REDAC my take on the merits of complaint and immediately filed an external complaint requesting an immediate right to sue, and filed suit based on my comments.

At the time, and clearly still, I was too passive and conflicted to tell that. I was also certain – in my head and not necessarily because it is what actually would have happened – that my recommendation for a finding and appropriate make whole remedy would not find consensus. I told the process – that I was going to share my findings and recommendations with my manager, and then it would go to Ed (at the time). And then I froze. I did nothing for so long and was spinning out because I was afraid to have those difficult conversations, whatever the substance.

I lied to REDAC and told RED my recommended findings were under review and then kept lying to buy time because I had truly convinced myself that I would write the report, present it to Linda, et al, and stand my ground on the findings. I didn't and I kept lying to REDAC to buy more time. I told RED they agreed and RED asked whether REDACT be given the remedies RED requested – appointment to the position, backpay and restoration of leave taken. I told RED it was under review.

In November 2019, I told replaced that that CAT would have to review and consider the requested remedies and potentially propose a settlement. I explained to review and consider the requested remedies and potentially propose a settlement. I explained to review and consider the requested remedies and potentially propose a settlement. I explained to review and consider the requested remedies and potentially propose a settlement. I explained to review and consider the requested remedies and potentially propose a settlement. I explained to do that – explain to replain t

The longer I let this go on, the more impossible it was to see a way out. I truly do not even know all of the little lies I told to explain delays or why things weren't happening the way I said they would. I also grew to like

REDAC a lot and my lack of ability to stay neutral contributed to my unwillingness to tell RED the truth.

Again, I can and will absolutely go through every single step and misstep and will fully cooperate with whatever process/consequences comes from my actions. But for purposes of my explaining now to you now what I did, before I lose the will to say it – I drafted a document appearing to be a proposed settlement agreement, I electronically imposed fake signatures, and I sent it to REDAC and held it out as though it were real. Based on that, RED moved to dismiss act case. Obviously, since RED has not received the money, RED is suspicious, confused, upset and while I continued to lie for awhile, there is clearly no way out other than to confess. I told RED I fucked up.

I am currently shortly. I plan to explain/confess the same. I am sure will either contact your office or you or SFMTA. I don't have the words right now to explain the embarrassment, shame, regret I feel. Again, I will fully cooperate with whatever investigation, process, consequences that are to come for me and I will do my best to try to right the many wrongs I've made.

Rebecca Sherman REDACTED