

From: Anonymous Person

12/03/2019 

Subject: RE: California Public Records Act Request: Immediate Disclosure Request - City/COFAM/FAMF ... [Email](#)

RE: SOTF 19125

** Please redact your responses correctly! This is a public mailbox, and all of your responses (including emails, attachments, file shares, and the disclosed records) may be automatically and instantly available to the general public on the [MuckRock.com](https://muckrock.com) service used to issue this request (though I am not a MuckRock representative). Once you send them to us, there's no going back.
**

Deputy Controller Rydstrom,

Earlier today I received your response to SOTF Complaint 19125. Thank you.

However, it appears your response does not actually deny most of my allegations. My understanding is that if you do not deny my allegations, I will win by default. While I am happy to win, I would also like to understand why the City does not follow all the Sunshine rules as written.

You do not appear to deny or even discuss allegations #1, 3, or 4 of my complaint. It appears you dispute the 2nd paragraph of allegation #2, but there is no response to para #1 of allegation #2.

Thanks,
Anonymous