1

#19094 Anonymous vs Dept. of Technology, et al.

Before the SOTF Complaint Committee San Francisco City Hall October 15, 2019

Re: Timeliness; Response to Emailed Records Requests; SB 272 Enterprise Systems Catalogs

19094 Anonymous v Office of Dept. of Tech, et al.

2

Attorney/Client Privileged & Confidential

Timeline & Facts of the Case

- Aug 28 Emailed IDR to Tech. Dept for

 (a) SB 272 Enterprise Systems Catalog
 and (b) non-IDR for yearly updates to the catalog as required by statute
- 2. **Aug 30** Having received no response to IDR from DT at all, I followed up.
- Aug 30 SOTF complaint filed.
- Sept. 6 First acknowledgment by DT re: my Aug 28 request. They instruct me to use NextRequest instead of email.
- Sept. 6 Disclosures provided over NextRequest, requiring login.

- 6. **Sept. 9** I ask DT to release records without login requirement.
- 7. **Sept. 10-11** (Incomplete) catalog released publicly without login. No response to 2nd part of request.

No Sup. of Records petition filed (yet).

I had made an earlier Aug. 22 IDR to the City Attorney. City Attorney asked I followup with DT, which I did on Aug. 28. City Attorney's case is 19095.

19094 Allegations



- SFAC 67.25 IDR was made Aug. 28, first response was received Sept. 6
- **SFAC 67.21(b)** Ordinance requires handling of requests made via email. Respondent wishes for me to use NextRequest, which also imposes Terms and Conditions beyond those of the CPRA.
- SFAC 67.21 No response at all received to 2nd part of my request
- SFAC 67.26, 67.27 Certain enterprise systems excluded from catalog without justification
- CPRA Gov Code 6270.5 (via SFAC 67.21(k)) DT failed to create a complete enterprise systems catalog

19094 Anonymous v Office of Dept. of Tech, et al.

,

Attorney/Client Privileged & Confidential

SB 272 - Enterprise Systems Catalog

- DT (and all City agencies) are required not only to disclose the catalog but also to create and update it (per GC 6270.5).
 - Similar to requirement in 67.29-5 that Mayor not only disclose calendar but also to create it with certain information.
- DT appears to maintain the Catalog not only for itself but also for other agencies of the City.
- Multiple "enterprise systems" are lacking from this catalog, in violation of GC 6270.5. There is no justification provided, nor an acknowledgment that these systems are missing, nor a provision of the lesser information required for other systems (GC 6270.5(e)).
- A full explanation is provided in my rebuttal letter dated Sept. 11.

19094 Anonymous v Office of Dept. of Tech, et al.