

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE

Room 244

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place

San Francisco CA 94102

sotf@sfgov.org

*sent via email to Task Force*

Your ref.

#19089

Date

2019-09-11

**RE: SF Sunshine Ordinance complaint against City Atty, ref SOTF 19089**

To Whom It May Concern:

**NOTE: Every response you send or provide (including all responsive records) may be automatically and immediately visible to the general public on the MuckRock.com web service used to issue this request.** (I am not a representative of MuckRock)

The Respondent in this case appears to imply your Task Force does not have jurisdiction over the Supervisor of Records' failure to perform his duty under SFAC 67.21(d). This is not true.

The Task Force generally operates under SFAC 67.21(e) – where requestors “may petition the Sunshine Task Force for a determination whether the record requested is public.” In 19089, I am indeed not asking for such a determination.

However, your Task Force also has this authority under SFAC 67.30(c): “The Task Force shall make referrals to a municipal office with enforcement power under this ordinance or under the California Public Records Act and the Brown Act whenever *it concludes that any person has violated any provisions of this ordinance or the Acts.*” (emphasis mine).

I ask that your Task Force “conclude” that the Supervisor of Records (a “person,” whether Dennis Herrera, acting through his employee Brad Russi, and/or Brad Russi himself) has violated SFAC 67.21(d) by taking over 3 months (instead of 10 or fewer days) to respond to the petition of May 15, 2019 regarding SOTF case 19047.

Sincerely,

Anonymous