

Did you scan the boarding area from afar?

Have a cold, penetrating stare?

Sleep on the plane? Use the bathroom? Talk to others?

This is just some of the information that federal air marshals collect on thousands of regular US citizens under a secret, domestic surveillance program.

# Welcome to the Quiet Skies

**By Jana Winter**

July 28, 2018

---

*[Read more: Lawmakers demand answers on 'Quiet Skies' surveillance program after Globe report](#)*

---

Federal air marshals have begun following ordinary US citizens not suspected of a crime or on any terrorist watch list and collecting extensive information about their movements and behavior under a new domestic surveillance program that is drawing criticism from within the agency.

The previously undisclosed program, called “Quiet Skies,” specifically targets travelers who “are not under investigation by any agency and are not in the Terrorist Screening Data Base,” according to a Transportation Security Administration bulletin in March.

The internal bulletin describes the program’s goal as thwarting threats to commercial aircraft “posed by unknown or partially known terrorists,” and gives the agency broad discretion over which air travelers to focus on and how closely they are tracked.

One free article left. **Subscribe now** →



Brynn Anderson/Associated Press

But some air marshals, in interviews and internal communications shared with the Globe, say the program has them tasked with shadowing travelers who appear to pose no real threat — a businesswoman who happened to have traveled through a Mideast hot spot, in one case; a Southwest Airlines flight attendant, in another; a fellow federal law enforcement officer, in a third.

It is a time-consuming and costly assignment, they say, which saps their ability to do more vital law enforcement work.

TSA officials, in a written statement to the Globe, broadly defended

One free article left. **Subscribe now** →

declined to discuss whether Quiet Skies has intercepted any threats, or even to confirm that the program exists.

Release of such information “would make passengers less safe,” spokesman James Gregory said in the statement.



[Read the checklist](#)

---

Already under Quiet Skies, thousands of unsuspecting Americans have been subjected to targeted airport and inflight surveillance, carried out by small teams of armed, undercover air marshals, government documents show. The teams document whether passengers fidget, use a computer, have a “jump” in their Adam’s apple or a “cold penetrating stare,” among other behaviors, according to the records.

Air marshals note these observations — minute-by-minute — in two separate reports and send this information back to the TSA.

All US citizens who enter the country are automatically screened for

One free article left. **Subscribe now** →

checked and their names run against a terrorist watch list and other databases, according to agency documents.

## Explore the behavior checklist

---

### 1. SUBJECT WAS ABNORMALLY AWARE OF SURROUNDINGS ▼

(If observed, check any that apply below) | Y N Unknown

Reversing or changing directions and/or stopping while in transit through the airport

Observing the boarding gate area from afar

Attempting to change appearance by changing clothes, shaving etc. while in the airport or on the plane

Boarded last

Observing other people who appear to be observing FAM team and/or subject

Using the reflection in storefront windows to identify surveillance

---

### 2. SUBJECT EXHIBITED BEHAVIORAL INDICATORS ▼

(If observed, check any that apply below) | Y N Unknown

---

### 3. SUBJECT'S APPEARANCE WAS DIFFERENT FROM INFORMATION PROVIDED ▼

(If yes, check any that apply below) | Y N Unknown

---

### 4. SUBJECT SLEPT DURING THE FLIGHT ▼

(If observed, check any that apply below) | Y N Unknown

---

### 5. GENERAL OBSERVATIONS ▼

(Provide detailed descriptions of any electronic devices in subject's

One free article left. **Subscribe now** →

## 6. FOR DOMESTIC ARRIVALS ONLY ▼

(If possible, provide identifiers (license plate, vehicle description) of pick up vehicle in AAR) | Y N Unknown

The program relies on 15 rules to screen passengers, according to a May agency bulletin, and the criteria appear broad: “rules may target” people whose travel patterns or behaviors match those of known or suspected terrorists, or people “possibly affiliated” with someone on a watch list.

The full list of criteria for Quiet Skies screening was unavailable to the Globe, and is a mystery even to the air marshals who field the surveillance requests the program generates. TSA declined to comment.

When someone on the Quiet Skies list is selected for surveillance, a team of air marshals is placed on the person’s next flight. The team receives a file containing a photo and basic information — such as date and place of birth — about the target, according to agency documents.


The teams track citizens on domestic flights, to or from dozens of cities big and small — such as Boston and Harrisburg, Pa., Washington, D.C., and Myrtle Beach, S.C. — taking notes on whether travelers use a phone, go to the bathroom, chat with others, or change clothes, according to documents and people within the department.

---

### Flying the quiet skies

Air marshals are following citizens to or from cities big and small, including these airports

One free article left. **Subscribe now** →



Quiet Skies represents a major departure for TSA. Since the Sept. 11 attacks, the agency has traditionally placed armed air marshals on routes it considered potentially higher risk, or on flights with a passenger on a terrorist watch list. Deploying air marshals to gather intelligence on civilians not on a terrorist watch list is a new assignment, one that some air marshals say goes beyond the mandate of the US Federal Air Marshal Service. Some also worry that such domestic surveillance might be illegal. Between 2,000 and 3,000 men and women, so-called flying FAMs, work the skies.

Since this initiative launched in March, dozens of air marshals have raised concerns about the Quiet Skies program with senior officials and colleagues, sought legal counsel, and expressed misgivings about the surveillance program, according to interviews and documents reviewed by the Globe.



## Send The Boston Globe a confidential news tip

**Send a tip**

“What we are doing [in Quiet Skies] is troubling and raising some serious questions as to the validity and legality of what we are doing and how we are doing it,” one air marshal wrote in a text message to colleagues.

The TSA, while declining to discuss details of the Quiet Skies program, did address generally how the agency pursues its work.

“FAMs [federal air marshals] may deploy on flights in furtherance of the TSA mission to ensure the safety and security of passengers, crewmembers, and aircraft throughout the aviation sector,” spokesman James Gregory said in an e-mailed statement. “As its assessment capabilities continue to enhance, FAMS leverages multiple internal and external intelligence sources in its deployment strategy.”





Scott LaPierre/Globe Staff

Agency documents show there are about 40 to 50 Quiet Skies passengers on domestic flights each day. On average, air marshals follow and surveil about 35 of them.

In late May, an air marshal complained to colleagues about having just surveilled a working Southwest Airlines flight attendant as part of a Quiet Skies mission. “Cannot make this up,” the air marshal wrote in a message.

One colleague replied: “jeez we need to have an easy way to document this nonsense. Congress needs to know that it’s gone from bad to worse.”

Experts on civil liberties called the Quiet Skies program worrisome and potentially illegal.

“These revelations raise profound concerns about whether TSA is conducting pervasive surveillance of travelers without any suspicion of actual wrongdoing,” said Hugh Handeyside, senior staff attorney with the American Civil Liberties Union’s National Security Project.

“If TSA is using proxies for race or religion to single out travelers for surveillance, that could violate the travelers’ constitutional rights.

One free article left. **Subscribe now** →

using unreliable and unscientific techniques to screen and monitor travelers who have done nothing wrong.”

George Washington University law professor Jonathan Turley said Quiet Skies touches on several sensitive legal issues and appears to fall into a gray area of privacy law.

---

If this was about foreign citizens, the government would have considerable power. But if it's US citizens — US citizens don't lose their rights simply because they are in an airplane at 30,000 feet.

— Jonathan Turley, George Washington University law professor

“If this was about foreign citizens, the government would have considerable power. But if it's US citizens — US citizens don't lose their rights simply because they are in an airplane at 30,000 feet,” Turley said. “There may be indeed constitutional issues here depending on how restrictive or intrusive these measures are.”

Turley, who has testified before Congress on privacy protection, said the issue could trigger a “transformative legal fight.”

Geoffrey Stone, a University of Chicago law professor chosen by President Obama in 2013 to help review foreign intelligence surveillance programs, said the program could pass legal muster if the selection criteria are sufficiently broad. But if the program targets by nationality or race, it could violate equal protection rights, Stone said.

Asked about the legal basis for the Quiet Skies program, Gregory, the agency's spokesman, said TSA "maintains a robust engagement with congressional committees to ensure maximum support and awareness" of its effort to keep the aviation sector safe. He declined to comment further.



Chip Somodevilla/Getty Images

Beyond the legalities, some air marshals believe Quiet Skies is not a sound use of limited agency resources.

Several air marshals, who spoke on the condition of anonymity because they are not authorized to speak publicly, told the Globe the program wastes taxpayer dollars and makes the country less safe

One free article left. **Subscribe now** →

potential threats. The US Federal Air Marshal Service, which is part of TSA and falls under the Department of Homeland Security, has a mandate to protect airline passengers and crew against the risk of criminal and terrorist violence.

John Casaretti, president of the Air Marshal Association, said in a statement: “The Air Marshal Association believes that missions based on recognized intelligence, or in support of ongoing federal investigations, is the proper criteria for flight scheduling. Currently the Quiet Skies program does not meet the criteria we find acceptable.

“The American public would be better served if these [air marshals] were instead assigned to airport screening and check in areas so that active shooter events can be swiftly ended, and violations of federal crimes can be properly and consistently addressed.”

---

These revelations raise profound concerns about whether TSA is conducting pervasive surveillance of travelers without any suspicion of actual wrongdoing.

— Hugh Handeyside, American Civil Liberties Union’s National Security Project

TSA has come under increased scrutiny from Congress since a 2017 Government Accountability Office report raised questions about its management of the Federal Air Marshal Service. Requested by Congress, the report noted that the agency, which spent \$800 million in 2015, has “no information” on its effectiveness in deterring attacks.

One free article left. **Subscribe now** →

Late last year, Representative Jody Hice, a Georgia Republican, introduced a bill that would require the Federal Air Marshal Service to better incorporate risk assessment in its deployment strategy, provide detailed metrics on flight assignments, and report data back to Congress.

Without this information, Congress, TSA, and the Department of Homeland Security “are not able to effectively conduct oversight” of the air marshals, Hice wrote in a letter to colleagues.

“With threats coming at us left and right, our focus should be on implementing effective, evidence-based means of deterring, detecting, and disrupting plots hatched by our enemies.”

Hice’s bill, the “Strengthening Aviation Security Act of 2017,” passed the House and is awaiting consideration by the full Senate.



[Read the bulletin](#)

---

One free article left. **Subscribe now** →

The Globe, in its review of Quiet Skies, examined numerous TSA internal bulletins, directives, and internal communications, and interviewed more than a dozen people with direct knowledge of the program.

The purpose of Quiet Skies is to decrease threats by “unknown or partially known terrorists; and to identify and provide enhanced screening to higher risk travelers before they board aircraft based on analysis of terrorist travel trends, tradecraft and associations,” according to a TSA internal bulletin.

The criteria for surveillance appear fluid. Internal agency e-mails show some confusion about the program’s parameters and implementation.

*Effective Tuesday, March 13, 2018, OLE/FAMS will initiate Special Mission Coverage (SMC) on flights carrying Quiet Skies Selectees.*

#### **TSA's Quiet Skies Program**

The purpose of the Quiet Skies Program is to mitigate the threat to commercial aviation posed by unknown or partially known terrorists; and to identify and provide enhanced screening to higher risk travelers before they board aircraft based on analysis of terrorist travel trends, tradecraft and associations.

Through the Quiet Skies Program, TSA's Office of Intelligence and Analysis designates potentially higher risk travelers for enhanced screening and security measures because they engaged in travel fitting intelligence driven, risk-based passenger targeting rules. Quiet Skies rules are triggered when individuals enter the United States from foreign locations.

- TSA OIA currently employs 17 Quiet Skies rules to screen passengers.
- Quiet Skies rules change based on current intelligence.
- Quiet Skies rules target specific travel patterns or affiliations, for example:
  - Rules may target individuals who have spent a certain amount of time in one or more specific countries; or
  - Rules may target individuals whose reservation information includes email addresses or phone

Quiet Skies focuses on a person's international travel patterns and potential affiliations. Passengers are not under investigation and their names are not on a terrorist watch list or in a screening database.

Air marshals have surveilled a businesswoman, a Southwest Airlines flight attendant, and a fellow federal law enforcement officer, sources said.

A bulletin in May notes that travelers entering the United States may be added to the Quiet Skies watch list if their “international travel patterns [sic] or behaviors match the travel routing and tradecraft of known or suspected terrorists” or “are possibly affiliated with Watch Listed suspects.”

Travelers remain on the Quiet Skies watch list “for up to 90 days or three encounters, whichever comes first, after entering the United States,” agency documents show.

Travelers are not notified when they are placed on the watch list or have their activity and behavior monitored.

One free article left. **Subscribe now** →



Quiet Skies surveillance is an expansion of a long-running practice in which federal air marshals are assigned to surveil the subject of an open FBI terrorism investigation.

In such assignments, air marshal reports are relayed back to the FBI or another outside law enforcement agency. In Quiet Skies, these same reports are completed in the same manner but stay within TSA, agency documents show, and details are shared with outside agencies only if air marshals observe “significant derogatory information.”



Pat Greenhouse/Globe Staff

According to a TSA bulletin, the program may target people who have spent a certain amount of time in one or more specific countries or whose reservation information includes e-mail addresses or phone numbers associated to suspects on a terrorism watch list.

One free article left. **Subscribe now** →

The bulletin does not list the specific countries, but air marshals have been advised in several instances to follow passengers because of past travel to Turkey, according to people with direct knowledge of the program.

One air marshal described an assignment to conduct a Quiet Skies mission on a young executive from a major company.

“Her crime apparently was she flew to Turkey in the past,” the air marshal said, noting that many international companies have executives travel through Turkey.

“According to the government’s own [Department of Justice] standards there is no cause to be conducting these secret missions.”

*Jana Winter can be reached at [jana.winter@globe.com](mailto:jana.winter@globe.com) and on Twitter [@JanaWinter](https://twitter.com/JanaWinter). This investigation was made possible through the Spotlight Investigative Journalism Fellowship, a social impact initiative of [Participant Media](https://www.participantmedia.com). For more, go to [www.spotlightfellowship.com](http://www.spotlightfellowship.com).*

**Join the discussion**

---

Design and development: Saurabh Datar and Irfan Uraizee

Audience engagement: Heather Ciras

Photo editor: Leanne Burden Seidel

One free article left. **Subscribe now** →

Video production and editing: Scott LaPierre and Anush  
Elbakyan

---

UP NEXT

---

With lax oversight, the FAA has enabled secrecy in the skies. So much for the lessons of 9/11

The FAA was warned in 2009 that people with terrorist ties were licensed to fly. It is, incredibly, still the case

One free article left. **Subscribe now** →

## Do airport security lines have to be so awful? A rare look inside TSA

One free article left. **Subscribe now** →