

Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office Sheriff Wendell Hall



CITIZEN COMPLAINT

Please Print				
Date and time of this complaint:	1/01/17 1701 HRS	Incident #:/	7000181	
Reference Complaint #:	Deputy Taking Comp	plaint: ST Change	Kallalky	_ ID #: <u>502/37</u>
Complainant: James First	THOMAS Middle	Ande	esov Last	
Address: 152 Ricky 57	FLOMATON	Al	Zip Coo	/#/ de
Home Phone:	Work Phone:	Cell Ph	one: 850-44	9-3915
Date and time incident occurred:	1/01/17 0616 HRS	-		
Location/Address of occurrence:	WY87 PINEVIEW	CHIRCH RD		
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s	: Justyn STEVENS	5		
Witness:				
Name	Street Address	City/State	Home Phone	Work Phone
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)			
Nature of Allegation(s): On 1/1	2017 at approximately	620 I W	us fraseli	ng south
on Hwy 87 from Jay F	orida area when deputy	Stevens Was	in front o	fur
driving in speeds varige	legal, he would slow of	5 mph. In ar	ear where	Dassing
	he would speed up. Wil			3 (
hyw where passing his	m was legal and he did	not speed up	, I negot	hated a
legal lane change, usu	ng appropriate lane chan	ge signals.	I did not	exceed
the posted speed lim	it in passing Deputy Sto	iens. After the	e pass was	completed,
Deroty Stevens solled	over citing careless	driving, We	at her was	light to
moderate rain, Visibi	lity was clear. I obey	ed all traffic	laws. H	15 erratic
Treed courted our ve	Inches to vary in dist	ancer from e	ach other	, which
I corrected each for	ne by slowing down -	le ensure saf	e distance	e, My
a complaint is that Dep	uty Stevens used personal	subjection ins	tend of est	ablished
thaffic laws to determine	e when was safe in order	to justify writ	ng a citat	tion,
SRSO 03- 191	Page 1 of 2		G	Revised: 10/04/2007

Findings:	Deputy Stevens	conducted his stop i	n a professional	manner. Deputy Stevens cited the
complain	ant for careless d	riving which is officer	discretion in rela	ation to weather condition, road
conditions	and speeds of th	e vehicle's at the time	e of the incident.	
Actions Take	n: None			
Final Clearan	ice:			
X_	Exonerated	Proper conduct, An incid- negligent or at fault.	ent occurred as descri	bed, but the member was found not to be
	Sustained	(Improper Conduct): The found to be true.	e investigation reveale	ed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were
_	Not Sustained Unfounded Partially Sustained Violation not based	The investigation reveale The incident has two or n	ed sufficient facts to in more allegations, and a	ce to clearly prove or disprove the allegation. adicate that the incident did not occur. at least one of the allegations is sustained. iolation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint N	otification of Findings:		estigation discloses vi	oration(s) not incutioned in the initial arregation.
	-2-2017	By: Sgt. Rob	ert I. Sample	
/-//00010V01 0			777-529 2.000 Long-1900	e complaint the correct procedures
-		to take the citation to		
ii the con	npiamant decided	to take the citation to	o court and cont	55t It.
Please	e check here if the com	plainant refuses to have per	sonal contact by a dep	outy.
false, misleadi complaint, may with the intent a definite term "Any conducting the investigation,	ng or untrue statements, a y subject me to civil and/o to mislead a public serva of imprisonment not exce y person who is a particip investigation and any wi including, but not limited	ccusations of allegations, here or criminal liability under Flori nt in the performance of his of eeding 60 days and a fine of \$5 ant in an internal investigation, what to the identity of the deputy un-	in made by me, either or ida State Statute 837.06, ficial duty shall be guilty 500.00.", including the complain to willfully disclose any the investigation, the name of the state of the s	est of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any rally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing y of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by that, the subject of the investigation, the investigator information obtained pursuant to the agency's ature of the questions asked, information revealed or fore such complaint, document, action or proceeding
becomes publi	c record as provided in th		anor of the first degree, I	punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S.
	(3)	ne preceding and understand its	s provisions.	
Signed:				
Sworn to and s	subscribed before me this	day of	, 20	
Witness:	(Per F.S.S. 117.10)			

TO: PUBLIO FROM SRSO Records Unit (AR) DATE: 08/22/2023



Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office Sheriff Bob Johnson

Revised: 10'04/2007

CITIZEN COMPLAINT

Please Print
Date and time of this complaint: 17/2017 1 PM Incident #: 17-0026/8
Reference Complaint #: Deputy Taking Complaint: Brewton ID #: 84
Complainant: Vernod Gary Magee First Middle Last
Address: 814 Briarstone LN KNOXVIIL TN 37934 Street City State Zip Code
Home Phone: 845-675-3138 Work Phone: 865-864-558511 Phone: 865-864-5585
Date and time incident occurred: $\frac{1}{2}$
Location/Address of occurrence: 5309 Medicine Bow St Milton FL 32570
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s):
Witness: David Mage 5309 Medicine Boust. Miton FL Name Street Address City/State Home Phone Work Phone
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)
Nature of Allegation(s): Deprty allowed the removal of Chlus +
Crystal from the home of my deceased father
Veryon Marce, I am executor of my father's will
and it has not been probated as yet.
I did not give permission for this to happen.
Dapaty failed to talk to my brother David about
why he allowed this. Deputy left the property
with no explanation.
My attorney, Milie Gibson will be discussing this
matter with the judge.

Revised: 10/04/2007

Findings:		
Actions Taken:_		
Final Clearance:		
E	Exonerated	Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be negligent or at fault.
S	ustained	(Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were
(= 1 - 1 - 1)	2 12 6 8	found to be true.
	Not Sustained Infounded	The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur.
	artially Sustained	The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained.
		n original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint Notifi	ication of Findings:	
Date:		_ By:
Comments:		
Please ch	eck here if the comp	lainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
I do here	by affirm that the info	rmation provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any
false, misleading o	r untrue statements, ac	cusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this
		r criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing
		t in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by eding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00."
"Any per	rson who is a participa	nt in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator
		nesses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's
		o the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or a confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding
becomes public rec	cord as provided in the	section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S.
775.083." Florida	State Statute 112.533	(Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
I hereby acknowle	dge that I have read the	e preceding and understand its provisions.
.1	1/2 //	The contract of the contract o
Signed: V , J	July 11,	Community of JANVARY, 20 17 STATE OF TENNESSEE TENNESSEE NOTARY PUBLIC PUBLIC PUBLIC POOR CONTINUES.
Sworn to and subso	cribed before me this_	day of JANVARY, 20 17 TENNESSEE NOTARY
Witness Vince	non	Communication Public !
Witness:	(Per F.S.S. 117.10)	The second second
	,	OMMISSION EXPIRES
		MISSION EN



SRSO 03-191

Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office Sheriff Wendell Hall

Revised: 10/04/2007

CITIZEN COMPLAINT

Please Print	
Date and time of this complaint: 1-19-17 Incident #: COSE# 17 000516	
Reference Complaint #: Deputy Taking Complaint: ID #:	
Complainant: Hamela Wheeler Armstrong First Middle Last	-
Address: 5998 Gillum Rol Milton FL 32570 Street City State Zip Code	-
Home Phone: 572-7272 Work Phone: Cell Phone:	201
Date and time incident occurred:	-
Location/Address of occurrence:	-:11
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s):	-31
Witness:	
Name Street Address City/State Home Phone Work Phone	
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)	
Nature of Allegation(s): DALCULLED a Call Japan My daugthor	
to come and get thee and her dairyther She	-, , ,
was very upset. On the way to her I tried ca	llin
has and there was no answer. I then called	-
311. We the police arrived. Tyle Warrick les	P
the hour so that Beckat my daughter con	ld
get her belonging Jeri Warrick Stayed at	_
Are house to oder see what was taken, Les	Ü
continued to bad mouth Becka, The officer	
that was waiting Dudside came into the hous	0.
te was very aggressive to Becka and was no	£
alwayer allowing her to have run of the	
house so that she could get her belonging	<i>Y</i> S
Cont. On Back 7	

Page 1 of 2

He was carring on a converation to the baby's upom.

anytime beeks would go into the baby's upom.

The would follow her and tell her what she cause and tell her was told to set in my truck because Teir told me that to set in my truck because Teir told in my arm.

She had bought something that I had in my arm. The said I was not allowed to take it. So I dropped it. I was told by the officer to leave the inside of the house.

He was completely unprofessanal. He mandle himself with mo care or compassion for the victum.

He was carring on a converation to preside unitation of the baby's hoom.

anytime beeks would go into the baby's hoom.

The would follow her and tell her what she their would not take. I have what she could and could not take. I have to set in my truck because Teir told me that to set in my truck because Teir told me that she had bought something that I had in my arm she said I was mot allowed to take it. So I she said I was not allowed to take it. So I she said I was told by the officer to leave the inside of the house.

He was analytime something.

He was completely unprofessaral. He mandle trimsuf with no care or compassion for the victum.

Revised: 10/04/2007

Findings:		
Actions Take	en:	
Final Cleara	nce:	
	Exonerated	Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be
	Sustained	negligent or at fault. (Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were
	Not Sustained	found to be true. The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation.
_	Unfounded Partially Sustained	The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur. The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained.
_	Violation not based	on original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint N	Notification of Findings:	
Date:		By:
Comments:_		
Pleas	se check here if the com	plainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
false, misleadicomplaint, ma with the intensa definite term "An conducting the investigation, documents fur becomes publi 775.083." Flo	ing or untrue statements, a ay subject me to civil and/o t to mislead a public serva- n of imprisonment not exce ay person who is a particip- e investigation and any wi- including, but not limited rnished in connection with the record as provided in the orida State Statute 112.533	ormation provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any ccusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this or criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by seeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." ant in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator theseses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's to the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or a confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding e section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. (Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine) The preceding and understand its provisions.
Sworn to and	subscribed before me this	day of, 20
Witness:	(Per F.S.S. 117.10)	
	(1 of F.S.S. 117.10)	





Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office Sheriff Wendell Hall

CITIZEN COMPLAINT REPORT

Nature of Complaint: Missing Wallet Date of Incident: 12-20-15
Location of Incident: I-10 WB Milton, FL
Member(s) Involved: 5250 Officer
Complainant: Bret 7 Day alt Date of Birth: 07-27-88 Address: P.O. Box 7129 Telephone #: 950-791-8634
Summary: Offices Shawn Swindell (114), Jason
Denney (163). After producing ID at scene, apon armit
My brown water with social security Card, bank card, 50
dolors, and bigth certificate was not returned to me. NOR
Was it pot in my property. While in the acresting officers
I hereby swear and affirm that the above and all attached statements and/or documentation are trained correct to the best of my knowledge. I have fully read and understand the attached Florida Statute § 112.533 regarding the Receipt and Processing of Complaints. Specifically, I acknowledge that until this investigation is completed, I am not to discuss my complaint with anyone other that the Deputy assigned to investigate my complaint or my legal representative.
Complainant's Signature: BADA
Sworn to and subscribed before me this 25 day of 2010. Person Authorized to Administer Oath
Page / of / Initials: Bi

SRSO 03-109

Revised: 09/24/2007

ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION CITIZEN COMPLAINT REPORT (Cont.)

Squad car I, Bath Daywall, stated to Shown Suindell
(114) that I didn't have my wallet. Shawn told me
that he (shown 5. 14) would retrieve my brown Wallet
From One OF the Officers that was at the
Scene. While in intake I told the officer
Shown Swindell (114) where was my brown wallet
he (shown swindell) stated he would get my brown
wallet from the officer who has my brown
weillet. Etill to this day Jan 20, 2017
I (Bret Day wolf) do NOT have my brown
wallet in my property.

Page 2 of 4 Initials: BD

Revised: 09/24/2007

112.533 Receipt and processing of complaints .--

- (1) Every law enforcement agency and correctional agency shall establish and put into operation a system for the receipt, investigation, and determination of complaints received by such agency from any person.
- (2) (a) A complaint filed against a law enforcement deputy or detention deputy with a law enforcement agency or correctional agency and all information obtained pursuant to the investigation by the agency of such complaint shall be confidential and exempt from the provisions of s. 119.07(1) until the investigation ceases to be active, or until the agency head or the agency head's designee provides written notice to the deputy who is the subject of the complaint, either personally or by mail, that the agency has either:
 - 1. Concluded the investigation with a finding not to proceed with disciplinary action or to file charges; or
 - Concluded the investigation with a finding to proceed with disciplinary action or to file charges.

Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions, the deputy who is the subject of the complaint may review the complaint and all statements regardless of form made by the complainant and witnesses immediately prior to the beginning of the investigative interview. If a witness to a complaint is incarcerated in a correctional facility and may be under the supervision of, or have contact with, the deputy under investigation, only the names and written statements of the complainant and no incarcerated witnesses may be reviewed by the deputy under investigation immediately prior to the beginning of the investigative interview.

- (a) This subsection does not apply to any public record which is exempt from public disclosure pursuant to F.S.S. 119.07(3). For the purposes of this subsection, an investigation shall be considered active as long as it is continuing with a reasonable, good faith anticipation that an administrative finding will be made in the foreseeable future. An investigation shall be presumed to be inactive if no finding is made within 45 days after the complaint is filed.
- (a) Notwithstanding other provisions of this section, the complaint and information shall be available to law enforcement agencies, correctional agencies, and state attorneys in the conduct of a lawful criminal investigation.
- (1) A law enforcement deputy or detention deputy has the right to review his or her official personnel file at any reasonable time under the supervision of the designated records custodian. A law enforcement deputy or detention deputy may attach to the file a concise statement in response to any items included in the file identified by the deputy as derogatory, and copies of such items must be made available to the deputy.

Page 3 of 4 Initials: BD

SRSO 03- 109 Revised: 09/24/2007

(2) Any person who is a participant in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator conducting the investigation, and any witnesses in the investigation, who willfully discloses any information obtained pursuant to the agency's investigation, including, but not limited to, the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed, or documents furnished in connection with a confidential internal investigation of an agency, before such complaint, document, action, or proceeding becomes a public record as provided in this section commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. 775.083. However, this subsection does not limit a law enforcement or detention deputy's ability to gain access to information under paragraph (2)(a). Additionally, a sheriff, police chief, or other head of a law enforcement agency, or his or her designee, is not precluded by this section from acknowledging the existence of a complaint and the fact that an investigation is underway.

History.— F.S.S. 3, ch. 74-274; F.S.S. 3, ch. 82-156; F.S.S. 1, ch. 82-405; F.S.S. 1, ch. 83-136; F.S.S. 1, ch. 87-59; F.S.S. 2, ch. 89-223; F.S.S. 1, ch. 90-32; F.S.S. 31, ch. 90-360; F.S.S. 3, ch. 93-19; F.S.S. 722, ch. 95-147; F.S.S. 39, ch. 96-406; F.S.S. 2, ch. 98-249; F.S.S. 2, ch. 2000-184.

Page 4 of 4 Initials: BD

SRSO 03- 109 Revised: 09/24/2007

Revised: 03/09/10



SRSO 03-109

Santa Rosa Sheriff's Office 51 17-003 Sheriff Bob Johnson

CITIZEN COMPLAINT REPORT

Nature of Complaint:	Discommention / Prejudice Date of Incident: 12-21-16
Location of Incident:	arkmore Plaza Milton, FL 32582
Member(s) Involved:	ficer Raminez, Officer D.Miller, Sat. Murphy
Complainant: Deffic	Date of Birth: 8-29-81
Address: 4603 Brid	redale Rd. Persacola, FL 32505 Telephone #: (850) 791-6262
tound on my person take me to purchase The police report floor board of the v	nable /excessive force, while he was a collectional office? yail. Officer Ramivez never wrote a Displinary report sas a collectional officer to indicate T was a problem. vance T feel T have been targeted by this officer. On le I was in a vehicle that was involved in a traffic stop ed out and grested by officer Ramiez. During the traffic
best of my knowledge. It Receipt and Processing of not to discuss my complar representative.	that the above and all attached statements and/or documentation are true and correct to the have fully read and understand the attached Florida State Statute § 112.533 regarding the f Complaints. Specifically, I acknowledge that until this investigation is completed, I am aint with anyone other than the Deputy assigned to investigate my complaint or my legal
Complainant's Signature Printed name:	
seal	Sworn to and subscribed before me this

Page 1 of 3 initials

Revised: 03/09/10

ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION CITIZEN COMPLAINT REPORT (Cont.)

report that he field test or weigh the said crack coraine instead
rushed to file charges against me. I believe the information in this report
substantially proves bigs and prejudice against the Delick Jeionie Arnold
by officer Ramiter, officer D. Miller, Soft Murphy. In the police report officer
Ramirez clearly stated that he searched meland did not locate anything on may persons.
Also I must note that Sat. Murphy was the Sat. in charge and present in
all my accest by officer families. On 1-25-2017 Sat Murphy came to
interview me about my earlier complaint forms. I Reel that Soft. Murphy
Shouldn't be investigated and of my complaints being that he was present
chiring all my arrest and authorized the arrest and the reclience by these
Officers.
110 500 D

112.533 Receipt and processing of complaints.--

- Every law enforcement agency and correctional agency shall establish and put into operation a system for the (1) receipt, investigation, and determination of complaints received by such agency from any person.
- A complaint filed against a law enforcement deputy or detention deputy with a law enforcement (2) (a) agency or correctional agency and all information obtained pursuant to the investigation by the

agency of such complaint shall be confidential and exempt from the provisions of s. 119.07(1) until the investigation ceases to be active, or until the agency head or the agency head's designee provides written notice to the deputy who is the subject of the complaint, either personally or by mail, that the agency has either:

- Concluded the investigation with a finding not to proceed with disciplinary action or to file charges; or
- Concluded the investigation with a finding to proceed with disciplinary action or to file charges.

Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions, the deputy who is the subject of the complaint may review the complaint and all statements regardless of form made by the complainant and witnesses immediately prior to the beginning of the investigative interview. If a witness to a complaint is incarcerated in a correctional facility and may be under the supervision of, or have contact with, the deputy under investigation, only the names and written statements of the complainant and no incarcerated witnesses may be reviewed by the deputy under investigation immediately prior to the beginning of the investigative interview.

- (b) This subsection does not apply to any public record which is exempt from public disclosure pursuant to Fla. Stat. 119.07(3). For the purposes of this subsection, an investigation shall be considered active as long as it is continuing with a reasonable, good faith anticipation that an administrative finding will be made in the foreseeable future. An investigation shall be presumed to be inactive if no finding is made within days after the complaint is filed.
- (c) Notwithstanding other provisions of this section, the complaint and information shall be available to law enforcement agencies, correctional agencies, and state attorneys in the conductof a lawful criminal investigation.
- (3) A law enforcement deputy or detention deputy has the right to review his or her official personnel fileatany reasonable time under the supervision of the designated records custodian. A law enforcement deputy or detention deputy may attach to the file a concise statement in response to any items included in the file identified by the deputy as derogatory, and copies of such items must be made available to the deputy.
- (4) Any person who is a participant in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator conducting the investigation, and any witnesses in the investigation, who willfully discloses any information obtained pursuant to the agency's investigation, including, but not limited to, the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed, or documents furnished in connection with a confidential internal investigation of an agency, before such complaint, document, action, or proceeding becomes a public record as provided in this section commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. 775.083. However, this subsection does not limita law enforcement or detention deputy's ability to gain access to information under paragraph (2)(a). Additionally, a sheriff, police chief, or other head of a law enforcement agency, or his or her designee, is not precluded by this section from acknowledging the existence of a complaint and the fact that an investigation is underway.

History.— F.S.S. 3, ch. 74-274; F.S.S. 3, ch. 82-156; F.S.S. 1, ch. 82-405; F.S.S. 1, ch. 83-136; F.S.S. 1, ch. 87-59; F.S.S. 2, ch. 89-223; F.S.S. 1, ch. 90-32; F.S.S. 31, ch. 90-360; F.S.S. 3, ch. 93-19; F.S.S. 722, ch. 95-147; F.S.S. 39, ch. 96-406; F.S.S. 2, ch. 98-249; F.S.S. 2, ch. 2000-184.

Page 3 of 3 initials



Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office Sheriff Wendell Hall

CITIZEN COMPLAINT REPORT

Nature of Co	omplaint: Harassment	Date of Incident: /2	-21-2016
Location of	Incident: Darkmore Pla	azz Dr Millon Fl 3	?2583
Member(s) I	Involved: Officer Ram	irez Officer D. Mil	ler
Complainan	: Derrice Arnold	Date of Birth: 2-2	9-81
Address:	7603 Bridgedohe Perco colo JAL 12505	Pol Telephone # 850)	791-6262
		was harbested by	
		Miller. Officer Ron	
galled or	ver Andrew which	n is the driver of	the_
vehicke	and owner for a	tas light weing ou	+ in
one hea	I light being our	t. He canno to the	chicle
and correct to Statute § 112. that until this	the best of my knowledge. It 533 regarding the Receipt and investigation is completed, I	nd all attached statements and/or of have fully read and understand the Processing of Complaints. Spec am not to discuss my complaint we aplaint or my legal representative.	e attached Florida State ifically, I acknowledge with anyone other than
Complainant'	s Signature:	A STATE OF THE STA	
:		Sworn to and subscribed before 19 day of 500 Person Authorized to Administration	20 \
		Page of	Initials:

SRSO 03-109

Revised: 09/24/2007

I wave copies of all Eximences

The Andrew was the owner of vetament from seso records unit (AR) DATE 08/20/2003

Noive Knowledge of anything illegal, Why did Officer Kamive's

Arrest we for so Abministrative investigations division

CITIZEN COMPLAINT REPORT (Cont.)

Olidat 50 to mail. I lee officer Kamive's uses harresty.

and seen it was me and called for k-9 unit which was officer D.Miller. I have filed a civil complaint on these officers in Nov. 2016 for unreasonable force, And I also filed a grievance on Officer Ramirez when he worked as a county jail corrections Officer on Sept. 11 2015 for unreasonable force. Punky the search of Andrew vehicle for a hour which they said the K-9 olerted on my side of the yehicle. They didn't find crock coraine that Officer Ramirer and Officer D. Miller a dail let me see but yet they said they found it on my side. I told both officers I didn't have any knowledge of anything illegal in Andrew vehicle. After they said Kay lound it I asked to see it and they refuse to show me. Andrew gave a subject statement that he transported me to purchase could cocaine and that he describe it as A Big crack cocaine for Officers on the scene searched us throwoughly and didni find anything on our person stated by Office Paravez in the police Reports Officer Ramirez have been using unversamble loce and MICESSAM ME Since hes been a confectional Officer quel deput ENGRED BY CONTROL OF SELECTION STOP OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL

112.533 Receipt and processing of complaints .--

- (1) Every law enforcement agency and correctional agency shall establish and put into operation a system for the receipt, investigation, and determination of complaints received by such agency from any person.
- (2)(a) A complaint filed against a law enforcement deputy or detention deputy with a law enforcement agency or correctional agency and all information obtained pursuant to the investigation by the agency of such complaint shall be confidential and exempt from the provisions of s. 119.07(1) until the investigation ceases to be active, or until the agency head or the agency head's designee provides written notice to the deputy who is the subject of the complaint, either personally or by mail, that the agency has either:
 - 1. Concluded the investigation with a finding not to proceed with disciplinary action or to file charges; or
 - 2. Concluded the investigation with a finding to proceed with disciplinary action or to file charges.

Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions, the deputy who is the subject of the complaint may review the complaint and all statements regardless of form made by the complainant and witnesses immediately prior to the beginning of the investigative interview. If a witness to a complaint is incarcerated in a correctional facility and may be under the supervision of, or have contact with, the deputy under investigation, only the names and written statements of the complainant and no incarcerated witnesses may be reviewed by the deputy under investigation immediately prior to the beginning of the investigative interview.

- This subsection does not apply to any public record which is exempt from public (a) disclosure pursuant to F.S.S. 119.07(3). For the purposes of this subsection, an investigation shall be considered active as long as it is continuing with a reasonable, good faith anticipation that an administrative finding will be made in the foreseeable future. An investigation shall be presumed to be inactive if no finding is made within 45 days after the complaint is filed.
- (a) Notwithstanding other provisions of this section, the complaint and information shall be available to law enforcement agencies, correctional agencies, and state attorneys in the conduct of a lawful criminal investigation.
- (1) A law enforcement deputy or detention deputy has the right to review his or her official personnel file at any reasonable time under the supervision of the designated records custodian. A law enforcement deputy or detention deputy may attach to the file a concise statement in response to any items included in the file identified by the deputy as derogatory, and copies of such items must be made available to the deputy.

Page 3 of 4 Initials:

Revised: 09/24/2007

(2) Any person who is a participant in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator conducting the investigation, and any witnesses in the investigation, who willfully discloses any information obtained pursuant to the agency's investigation, including, but not limited to, the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed, or documents furnished in connection with a confidential internal investigation of an agency, before such complaint, document, action, or proceeding becomes a public record as provided in this section commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. 775.083. However, this subsection does not limit a law enforcement or detention deputy's ability to gain access to information under paragraph (2)(a). Additionally, a sheriff, police chief, or other head of a law enforcement agency, or his or her designee, is not precluded by this section from acknowledging the existence of a complaint and the fact that an investigation is underway.

History.— F.S.S. 3, ch. 74-274; F.S.S. 3, ch. 82-156; F.S.S. 1, ch. 82-405; F.S.S. 1, ch. 83-136; F.S.S. 1, ch. 87-59; F.S.S. 2, ch. 89-223; F.S.S. 1, ch. 90-32; F.S.S. 31, ch. 90-360; F.S.S. 3, ch. 93-19; F.S.S. 722, ch. 95-147; F.S.S. 39, ch. 96-406; F.S.S. 2, ch. 98-249; F.S.S. 2, ch. 2000-184.

Page 4 of 1 Initials:

SRSO 03- 109 Revised: 09/24/2007



Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office Sheriff Wendell Hall

CITIZEN COMPLAINT REPORT

Nature of Complaint: Harassment Date of Incident: 12-21-2016
Location of Incident: Darkmore Plaza Dr Milton FC 32583
Member(s) Involved: Officer Ramirez, Officer D. Miller
Complainant: Dellick Arnold Date of Birth: 3-29-81
Address: 4603 Bridgedale Rd Telephone # 850) 791-6262 Densa cola FL 32505
Summary: On 12-21-2016 I was varioused by Officer
Ramirez, And Officer D. Miller. Officer Ramirez
pulled over Andrew which is the driver of the
vehicle and owner for a tag light being out in
one head light being out. He came to the vehicle
I hereby swear and affirm that the above and all attached statements and/or documentation are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I have fully read and understand the attached Florida Statute § 112.533 regarding the Receipt and Processing of Complaints. Specifically, I acknowledge that until this investigation is completed, I am not to discuss my complaint with anyone other that the Deputy assigned to investigate my complaint or my legal representative.
Complainant's Signature:
Sworn to and subscribed before me this Q day of 20 1 Person Authorized to Administer Oath
Page 1 of 4 Initials: DQ

SRSO 03-109

Revised: 09/24/2007

I mare copies of all Stirvances

The Andrew was the owner of veltocher From SRST Records United DATE 1007220023

have knowledge of anything illegal, Why did Officer Kerniver

arrest me for soldministrative investigations division

citizen complaint report (cont.)

Olidat so to sold. I lest officer kerniver was harrossigned.

and seen it was me and called for k-9 unit which was officer D.M. Her. I have filed a civil complaint on these officers in Nov. 2016 for unreasonable force, And I also filed a grievance on Officer Ramirez when he worked as a county jail correctional Officer on Sept. 11 2015 for unreasonable force. During the search of Andrew vehicle for a hour which they said the K-9 alerted on my side of the vehicle. They didn't find anylongs all of sudden they found a small amount of Good cocaine that Officer Ramirer and Officer D. Miller didn't let me see but yet they said they found it on my side. I told both officers I didn't have any knowledge of anything illegal in Andrews vehicle. After they said they found It I asked to see it and thou refuse to show me. Andrew gave a subvir statement that he transported me to princhese chalk excaine and that her describe it as A Rig crack cocaine rock Officers on the scene searched us throwoughly and didn't find anything on our person stated by Office Ramivez in the police Reports Officer Ramirez have been using unreasonable force and Linking a civil ausuit it it doesn't Stop. tes been a Collectional Afficer and deput to the service of the serv

112.533 Receipt and processing of complaints .--

- (1) Every law enforcement agency and correctional agency shall establish and put into operation a system for the receipt, investigation, and determination of complaints received by such agency from any person.
- (a) A complaint filed against a law enforcement deputy or detention deputy with a law (2)enforcement agency or correctional agency and all information obtained pursuant to the investigation by the agency of such complaint shall be confidential and exempt from the provisions of s. 119.07(1) until the investigation ceases to be active, or until the agency head or the agency head's designee provides written notice to the deputy who is the subject of the complaint, either personally or by mail, that the agency has either:
 - 1. Concluded the investigation with a finding not to proceed with disciplinary action or to file charges; or
 - 2. Concluded the investigation with a finding to proceed with disciplinary action or to file charges.

Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions, the deputy who is the subject of the complaint may review the complaint and all statements regardless of form made by the complainant and witnesses immediately prior to the beginning of the investigative interview. If a witness to a complaint is incarcerated in a correctional facility and may be under the supervision of, or have contact with, the deputy under investigation, only the names and written statements of the complainant and no incarcerated witnesses may be reviewed by the deputy under investigation immediately prior to the beginning of the investigative interview.

- (a) This subsection does not apply to any public record which is exempt from public disclosure pursuant to F.S.S. 119.07(3). For the purposes of this subsection, an investigation shall be considered active as long as it is continuing with a reasonable, good faith anticipation that an administrative finding will be made in the foreseeable future. An investigation shall be presumed to be inactive if no finding is made within 45 days after the complaint is filed.
- Notwithstanding other provisions of this section, the complaint and information shall (a) be available to law enforcement agencies, correctional agencies, and state attorneys in the conduct of a lawful criminal investigation.
- (1)A law enforcement deputy or detention deputy has the right to review his or her official personnel file at any reasonable time under the supervision of the designated records custodian. A law enforcement deputy or detention deputy may attach to the file a concise statement in response to any items included in the file identified by the deputy as derogatory, and copies of such items must be made available to the deputy.

Page 3 of 4 Initials:

Revised: 09/24/2007

(2) Any person who is a participant in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator conducting the investigation, and any witnesses in the investigation, who willfully discloses any information obtained pursuant to the agency's investigation, including, but not limited to, the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed, or documents furnished in connection with a confidential internal investigation of an agency, before such complaint, document, action, or proceeding becomes a public record as provided in this section commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. 775.083. However, this subsection does not limit a law enforcement or detention deputy's ability to gain access to information under paragraph (2)(a). Additionally, a sheriff, police chief, or other head of a law enforcement agency, or his or her designee, is not precluded by this section from acknowledging the existence of a complaint and the fact that an investigation is underway.

History.— F.S.S. 3, ch. 74-274; F.S.S. 3, ch. 82-156; F.S.S. 1, ch. 82-405; F.S.S. 1, ch. 83-136; F.S.S. 1, ch. 87-59; F.S.S. 2, ch. 89-223; F.S.S. 1, ch. 90-32; F.S.S. 31, ch. 90-360; F.S.S. 3, ch. 93-19; F.S.S. 722, ch. 95-147; F.S.S. 39, ch. 96-406; F.S.S. 2, ch. 98-249; F.S.S. 2, ch. 2000-184.

Page 4 of 1 Initials: 101

SRSO 03- 109 Revised: 09/24/2007



Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office Sheriff Bob Johnson



CITIZEN COMPLAINT



Please Print 1515Ans 3-15-17 7
Date and time of this complaint: Jer. 3320 Incident #: 170 24 8 17
Reference Complaint #: 1700 2110 Deputy Taking Complaint: LT. ERLEMANN ID #: 389
Complainant: DOSEPHINE C WEBER
First Middle Last
Address: Street City State Zip Code
Home Phone: 936 129 Fork Phone: Cell Phone:
Date and time incident occurred: 1100 Hns 3-01-17
Location/Address of occurrence: 2708 CEEKS Edge to The
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s): DEPUTY SWANTZ, SGT VAVSHN
Witness: Nork.
Name Street Address City/State Home Phone Work Phone
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)
Nature of Allegation(s) MS-NO+NY49 +0 00, W SURIDE!
/ Schrebele W/ARMS Debind Me
I TOLD Them I trad Shoulden
& LYOMERS + DED BLOK & DIAY
Washington and the same of the
BULL BULLE BALLOR -T
BELLED - tokene to BALLIT
1 ODGSCU TOPIST
TO BACKER ACT ME - THE GIT
Where year mice to me - I HE GIRS
THAN WIOTHERS -THAT IS THE
1 without The state of the stat
What I do - Help Others
NO KEASOD TO BAYNE ACT ME IN
NO REASON TO BAKER ACT NEW SRSO(3-19) I DID NO Page Tof 2 NEVED SAVIED NAMED THING A BOUT SUILLIAN NO.
SRSOV3-19 Page of 2 Page of 2
THING ABOUT SUILIDE NO

Findings:	
Actions Taken:	
1.05-25-17-2	
Final Clearance: Exonerated Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found no negligent or at fault.	
Sustained (Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient tacts that the elegation found to be true. Not Sustained Unfounded The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the a Unfounded The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occ Partially Survained The incident has two runter negations, and at least one of the allegations is sust Violation not based on original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the init	allegation. our. tained.
Complaint Notification of Findings:	
Date:By:	
MISTATE NON THE PARTY	
STATES OF STATE OF THE PORT	. 1
THE LO ARMS AS ALVER IS	
Please check here if the complainant refuses to make personal contact by a deputy.	7.
el do hereby aftern that the information provides by the is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief of under also, mistading or untrue statements, accusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) have	rstand That any
complaint, may subject me to civil and/or criminal liability under Florida State Statute 83,006, "Whoever knowingly makes false state	ement in writing
with the intent to mislead couble servem in the periodicance of his difficial duty shall be guilty of a misd meanor of the second degree a definite term of imprisonment not expecting Q days and a fine of \$500.00."	
"Any person who is a participant in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the conducting the investigation and any witnesses in the investigation, who will fully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the age	gency
ryestigation, in judging but not lumited to the identity of the departy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information occurrents farmished in connection with a confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action of	r proceeding
pecomes provided as provided in the section, commits a misdem canor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.08. 75.083." Florida Care Statute 112.533 (Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine).	2 of FaSS.
nereby acknowledge that I have read the preceding and uncerstand its provisions.	B
Trace voice we are to me - I have	lal
From to and subscribed pefore me thisday of	7
(Per F.S.S. 117.10)	
	9
SULTON DESCRIPTION OF MOSKINDS OF	

RSO (3.19) Page 2 of 3 Revised; 10/04/2007

Revised: 10/04/2007

Findings:	
Actions Taken:	
· ·	
Final Clearance:	
Exonerated	Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be
	negligent or at fault.
Sustained	(Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were found to be true.
Not Sustained	The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation.
Unfounded	The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur.
Partially Sustained	The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained.
Violation not based of	on original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint Notification of Findings:	
Data	P
Date	_ By:
Comments:	
Diagna shoot hare if the comm	deinant actives to have a ground contest by a density
Please check here it the comp	plainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
	rmation provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any
false, misleading or untrue statements, ac	exusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this remininal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing
with the intent to mislead a public servan	t in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by
a definite term of imprisonment not exce	eding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00."
	nt in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator nesses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's
	to the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or
documents furnished in connection with	a confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding
	section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. (Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
	e preceding and understand its provisions.
Signed:	
Sworn to and subscribed before me this	day of, 20
\$\$ Tit trans cost	
Witness:(Per F.S.S. 117.10)	



Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office Sheriff Bob Johnson



CITIZEN COMPLAINT

complaint: 3-	110-17 1102	Incident #:_	
#:	Deputy Taking	Complaint:	ID #:
First /	LEWIS Middle		Last
Street	City	State	Zip Code
	Work Phone:	Cell	Phone:
t occurred:			
occurrence: S	MC		
l in allegations(s):_	Deputy Jen	nifer Ton	llor
ntley.	Decymber	(inmate)	
ne	Street Address	City/State	Home Phone Work Phone
sses in narrative.)			
s):			
relievin	a Deputy lo	ylor at-	the hospital
1 Dime	inappropria	te things	in front of
te (inm	ute biruntle	1). Wh	en I walked
or + Delie	May Room 4	Deputy	Taylor looked
e and s	started "So	you deci	ded to show
VK ! I	his was sta	ted in Fr	stample to the
. After	Deputy To	ylor left	the room
Brantle	4 told me	that she	had asked
autor"	where Depl	Ly or M	rs. E. was?)
laylor 1	responded'	"She di	d not show up
- and i	she always	does thi	s' Inmute brantley
he wol	uld fill out	a witnes	s statement
t to the	above state	tements p	rude by Deputy
	Page 1 of 2		Revised: 10/04/2007
	First Street Street	#:	Deputy Taking Complaint: KISTY LEWS First Middle Street City State Work Phone: Cell toccurrence: SEMC In allegations(s): Deputy Jennifer Town They Decymber (nmate) Street Address City/State sees in narrative.) Street Address City/State Street Address City/State Sees in narrative.) Freieving Deputy Taylor at the Control of the Contro



Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office Sheriff Bob Johnson



CITIZEN COMPLAINT

Please Print				
Date and tim	e of this complaint: 3	10-17 1624	Incident #:	
Reference C	omplaint #:	Deputy Taking Com	plaint:	ID#:
Complainant	: Kristy First	Middle	Last	
Address:				
	Street	City	State	Zip Code
Home Phone	:	Work Phone:	Cell Phone:	
Date and tim	e incident occurred:			
Location/Ad	dress of occurrence:	RMC	N	
Employee(s)	involved in allegations(s):_	Deputy Jenni	ter Taylor	
Witness:	Brantley,	Decymber (inmate)	
	Name	Street Address	City/State Hom	e Phone Work Phone
(List addition	nal witnesses in narrative.)			
Nature of Al	legation(s):	rail T	1 11	1
Wh	he rehevir	a Deputy lay	for at the	nospital
she	said some	nappropriate	things in	1 Hort of
ani	mute / Inw	ute brantley). When	1 walked
into	Labor + Deli	every Room 4 1	Deputy Tay	lor looked
wat	t me and	stated "So y	on decided	l to show
ub to	Work?" T	his was state	ed in front	- of Inmate
Brant	ley, After	Deputy Taul	or left th	enom
immo	de Brantle	u told me to	nat she ha	d asked
Deput	ry Taylor"	where Deau-	y or Mrs. E	z. was?)
Denu-	m Taylor	verbonded "	she did r	not show up
FOR IN	ork and	she during 1	hes this!	Inmate Bantley
State	d she wou	Ild Fill out	n witness s	tatement
to a	Hest to the	e above state	ments mid	l by Deputy
Toule	n 10 110		11000	- 10 1 101
SRSO 03, 19	1	Page 1 of 2		Revised: 10/04/2007

TO: PUBLIC FROM: SRSO Records Unit (AR) DATE: 08/22/2023



Santa Rosa Sheriff's Office 5178-046 Sheriff Bob Johnson

CITIZEN COMPLAINT REPORT

Nature of Complaint: Re-open and Investigate Date of Incident: 03/31/2017
Location of Incident: Carse 4401 Bressward Dr Milton, H
Member(s) Involved: Jonathan Moon Michelle for Crystal Wilson Det Ganganey, H. Scott Jones, Deputy Cherf Spender Complainant: Ting Parence Date of Birth: 12/28/1969
Address: 6069 5444 Byrow St. Telephone #: 850-776-133
Summary: It is the police of the sante Rosa Country
Sheriffs Office that all contacts with members of the builde are done with jainess, equity and
deceased at his home Det Bary Baney resider over the case with CSI Bonnie Paker and Medical Examener
Renezigler.
Investigated my sons roommate was not interviewed with a month letter, no questioning of the neighbors
This to be a suited by Barrey and Rone Zigles believing
Stating that the scommate diel not go and
lereby swar and affirm that the above and all attached statements and/or do symentation are true
§ 12.533 regarding the Receipt and Processing of Complaints. Specifically, I acknowledge the investigation is completed, I am not to discuss my complaint with anyone other than
Deputyassigned to investigate my complaint or my legal representative.
mplaint's sign ()
red me: Trag Patane
Sworn to and subscribed before me this
al day of Sept 2018.
Notary Signature
Trotal y Digitatore
Page 1 of 4 initials

CITIZEN COMPLAINT REPORT (Cont.)
heit sent a text message in detail of the chime scene to a Cryptan wilson. Deticary Benies has also received on many occasions from michelle for the roommates were I also have stated to detective Baney Hest Sonathan moon evas extenting money from my son and Crystal wilson starting a go find me page to collect money of of his death. I have sence hered a Printer Indestigator too look over the weedonce and has made contact with people involved with the made
Chaim Cr Communed is speed on I went up the Chaim Cr Communed is speed with Det Gery Boney Westernant. It is scott Jones and voiced my concern that the case was not hern properly investigated and quickly and rudely justed meloty of the speed proper protocol and was sony about my son of them proper protocol and was sony about my son of them neturned a call back to the sharpful critice to speak with chief Deporty in species had also stewed that he would look cult the case which was the stand of the sharp and we led speak to be transpersed the call the next day he stated that Det. Gary Janey and his due the stand we had stand departing from the new and properly and potential could be truth and like a post lock of courtony motorionalism and neglect organize from the new care the truth and repealed. I Determine from the new court the truth and repealed.

Page 2 of 4 initials_

.

SRSO 03-109

_
_
_
_
_
-
_
_
_
_
_
_

112.533 Receipt and processing of complaints.—
(1) Every law enforcement agency and correctional agency shall establish and put into operation a system for the receipt, investigation, and determination of complaints received by such

SRSO 03-109

Page 3 of 4 initials_____

agency from any person.

- (2) (a) A complaint filed against a law enforcement deputy or detention deputy with a law enforcement agency or correctional agency and all information obtained pursuant to the investigation by the agency of such complaint shall be confidential and exempt from the provisions of s. 119.07(1) until the investigation ceases to be active, or until the agency head or the agency head's designee provides written notice to the deputy who is the subject of the complaint, either personally or by mail, that the agency has either:
 - Concluded the investigation with a finding not to proceed with disciplinary action or to file charges; or
 - Concluded the investigation with a finding to proceed with disciplinary action or to file charges.

Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions, the deputy who is the subject of the complaint may review the complaint and all statements regardless of form made by the complainant and witnesses immediately prior to the beginning of the investigative interview. If a witness to a complaint is incarcerated in a correctional facility and may be under the supervision of, or have contact with, the deputy under investigation, only the names and written statements of the complainant and no incarcerated witnesses may be reviewed by the deputy under investigation immediately prior to the beginning of the investigative interview.

- (b) This subsection does not apply to any public record which is exempt from public disclosure pursuant to Fla. Stat. 119.07(3). For the purposes of this subsection, an investigation shall be considered active as long as it is continuing with a reasonable. good faith anticipation that an administrative finding will be made in the foreseeable future. An investigation shall be presumed to be inactive if no finding is made within 45 days after the complaint is filed.
- (c) Notwithstanding other provisions of this section, the complaint and information shall be available to law enforcement agencies, correctional agencies, and state attorneys in the conduct of a lawful criminal investigation.
- (3) A law enforcement deputy or detention deputy has the right to review his or her official personnel file at any reasonable time under the supervision of the designated records custodian. A law enforcement deputy or detention deputy may attach to the file a concise statement in response any items included in the file identified by the deputy as derogatory, and copies of such items must be made available to the deputy.
- (4) Any person who is a participant in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator conducting the investigation, and any witnesses in the investigation, who willfully discloses any information obtained pursuant to the agency's investigation, including, but not limited to, the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed, or documents furnished in connection with a confidential internal investigation of an agency, before such complaint, document, action, or proceeding becomes a public record as provided in this section commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. 775.083. However, this subsection does not limit a law enforcement or detention deputy's ability to gain access to information under paragraph (2)(a). Additionally, a sheriff, police chief, or other head of a law enforcement agency, or his or her designee, is not precluded by this section from acknowledging the existence of a complaint and the fact that an investigation is underway.

History.—F.S.S. 3, ch. 74-274; F.S.S. 3, ch. 82-156; F.S.S. 1, ch. 82-405; F.S.S. 1, ch. 83-136; F.S.S. 1, ch. 87-59; F.S.S. 2, ch. 89-223; F.S.S. 1, ch. 90-32; F.S.S. 31, ch. 90-360; F.S.S. 3, ch. 93-19; F.S.S. 722, ch. 95-147; F.S.S. 39, ch. 96-406; F.S.S. 2, ch. 98-249; F.S.S. 2, ch. 2000-184. Juna Parteme T. P.

SRSO 03-109

Page 4 of 4 initials



Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office Sheriff Bob Johnson

CITIZEN COMPLAINT

Please Print
Date and time of this complaint: 4-30-17 1530 Incident #: #17-035
Reference Complaint #: 17004253 Deputy Taking Complaint: Sqt. Tammy Buzble ID #: 50139
Complainant: Joshua Faul Brown First Middle Last
Address: 1809 El foso Tr1. Gulf Breeze F / 32563 Street City State Zip Code
Home Phone: (850) 525-237 Work Phone: Cell Phone: Sant
Date and time incident occurred: Or The morning of 4/30/17
Location/Address of occurrence: Community Life Center
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s): Deputy J. Schmitt #73
Witness: Jonathan Ruklett 1895 Luffock ed. Gulffreeze F. 982-250 Name Street Address City/State Home Phone Work Phone
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)
Nature of Allegation(s): Jonathan Burkett come To me This morning
chorch when he overheard talking about an
open case invalving my France, myself and
TiFfany Borkett. He heard her say that she was
The officer in charge and that she was going
to have warrants issued For Jenniter and
TIFFang. My France's children also attend Mrs
tiffang. My Franceis children also attend Miss church and we are very offended. Jonathan
Burkett also agrees to restify under outh what
he saw and heard, as well as some trings that
be may not have told us.

Revised: 10/04/2007

Findings: The original	person to discuss the case was deterr	mined to be
the brother, Jonath	an Burkett. He has a learning disability	and is
	al capacity and function of a 15 year old.	the later
	the conversation with Deputy Schmitt.	No wrong
	ion nocessary	determined.
Actions Taken:	1011110(1)044	The second second second second
Final Clearance:		
	oper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found	not to be
Sustained (Im	gligent or at fault. Inproper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation revealed sufficient facts the sufficient facts that the allegation revealed sufficient facts the sufficient fa	ation(s) were
	and to be true. e investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove t	the allegation.
	e investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not e incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is	
	iginal Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the	
Complaint Notification of Findings:		
Date: 5-5-10 B	v: Sat. T. Buzber #527/39	
	understood the situation based on the	no fundinos
. 1 1.		initiating.
11 0 11	s nothing wrong due to his brother	initiating
the conversation. He	Said he was lead to believe that De	p. Schmitt
Please check here if the complaint	And he was kead to locker that De Ann and he was mistaken. He apologize and refuses to have personal contact by a deputy. Time spent on ion provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I	The following
I do hereby affirm that the informati	ion provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I t	understand That any
false, misleading or untrue statements, accusar	tions of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) ninal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false	investigating this
with the intent to mislead a public servant in t	he performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second d	
	an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation	
	is in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to tendentity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information	
documents furnished in connection with a con	fidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, acti	ion or proceeding
	ion, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 77 alty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)	5.082 or F.S.S.
I hereby acknowledge that I have read the pre-	ceding and understand its provisions.	
Signed: 303		
Sworn to and subscribed before me this 30	day of APRIL , 2017	
Witness	051/55	
(Per F.S.S. 117.10)		

Revised: 10/04/2007



Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office Sheriff Bob Johnson

CITIZEN COMPLAINT

Please Print Complaint Local Street
Date and time of this complaint: $\frac{4}{30/17}$ 1530 Incident #: $\frac{4}{17-035}$
Reference Complaint #: 17004253 Deputy Taking Complaint: Sat. Tammy Buzble ID #: 550 39
Complainant: Jennifen Andella Whitaker First Middle Last
Address: 1809 El Poso Gulf Breeze Fl 37563 Street City State Zip Code
Home Phone: 850-525-23 77 Work Phone: Cell Phone:
Date and time incident occurred: 4-30-17 this mounting
Location/Address of occurrence: Community The center
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s):
Witness: Dr. Pathon Burkett 1815 Jubbock Gulf Breeze 306 932-2503 Name Street Address City/State Home Phone Work Phone
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)
Nature of Allegation(s): Son Athon Burkett come to me this maning
while I was working at Subway, he asked to
Speak to me then proceded to tell me that this
morning while he was Attending chunch that
he over hend a convencation going on saying
that she is the oficen in change and she was
going to issue A warrent for Jennifer whitaken
and Tiffany Burlett and some other details
About the open case involving myself, Joshua Brown And Tiffany Bunkett my Children Atlend that same church now they have to go to curch and listen to people talk bad about there mother
Joshua Brown and Tittany Bunkett
my Children Allend that some church
now they have to go to curch and listen
to people talk bad about there mother

Findings: Original information provided to complainant was later determined
to be mis-leading. The person providing the info has a learning disability
and is still to function at the mental level of a 15 year old. He admitted he
what's study to function at the mental twee of a rapearord. He admitted he
initialed the conversation with Dep Schmitt.
Actions Taken: No action recessary
Final Clearance:
Exonerated Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be
negligent or at fault. Sustained (Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were found to be true.
Not Sustained The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation. Unfounded The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur. Partially Sustained The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained. Violation not based on original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint Notification of Findings:
Date: 5-5-17 By: 59t T. Buzber #527 39
comments: Complainant agreed to the findings and appreciated the time
spent on following up on her issues. She said she was lead to
believe Doschmitt was initiating the conversation with other members of the church. She agreed no wrong doing was done by Dep. Schmitt in reference. Please check here if the complainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy. To this Complaint.
I do hereby affirm that the information provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any false, misleading or untrue statements, accusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this complaint, may subject me to civil and/or criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing with the intent to mislead a public servant in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by a definite term of imprisonment not exceeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." "Any person who is a participant in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator conducting the investigation and any witnesses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's investigation, including, but not limited to the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or documents furnished in connection with a confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding becomes public record as provided in the section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. 775.083." Florida State Statute 112.533 (Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
I hereby acknowledge that I have read the preceding and understand its provisions.
Signed:
Sworn to and subscribed before me this 30 day of poril, 20 10
Witness: <u>Ogt. Tammy & Burfull 50</u> 39 (Per F.S.S. 1)7.10)



Revised: 10/04/2007





Please Print		a Pm.	
Please Print Date and time of this complaint:	une 12, 2017	Incident #: 5	10048
Reference Complaint #: 1700 4	976 Deputy Taking Co	omplaint: LT. Erren	9~~ ID#: 387
Complainant: Larry	Wayne		ghey
First	Middle	Last	
Address: 6799 DEENA	LN. MANAPOR	FL	32566
Street	City	State	Zip Code
Home Phone:	Work Phone:	Cell Phone:	TT6 5826190
Date and time incident occurred:	7-17-17 0715		
Location/Address of occurrence:	Avenua De GALVEZ	/ ROBUEDAL DA.	- 1 - 2 - 2
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s)	DEP. S. STOVALL	×	
Witness: 547 T- Buzzo	ες διασο θ) (
Name	Street Address	The state of the s	ne Phone Work Phone
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)	/) 0	
Nature of Allegation(s): Off	iven jærked	me from	my edr
Nature of Allegation(s): Off	I had have	& R) AFter	nemoving
	car he kich	,	11
and slamme	0 .//	uffs on x	ny had hand
metal to me	-1- H P/ 1	e s/ammed	me into
the back s	seet of his	Cruser.	, - ,, -
7 12 0 p 130		Cy wy Cy i	
		the.	

Findings:	SEE ATTACHED.	
Actions Taken	1:	
Final Clearance	e:	
	T	
	Exonerated	Proper conduct. An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be negligent or at fault.
	Sustained	(Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were
	22.0	found to be true.
	Not Sustained Unfounded	The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur.
	Partially Sustained	The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained.
	Violation not based of	n original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint No	tification of Findings:	
Date:		DONE.
Comments:		MEI 10 BE
Comments		Door
Please	check here if the comp	lamant reruses to have personal contact by a deputy.
I do h	ereby affirm that the info	rmation provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any
false, misleadin	g or untrue statements. ac	cusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this
		r criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing t in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by
a definite term of	of imprisonment not exce	eding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00."
		nt in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator
		nesses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's of the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or
		a confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding
775.083." Flor	ida State Statute 112.533	section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. (Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and or up to \$1000.00 fine)
	1.1 0.11 1.0	P 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
I hereby acknow	wledge that I have read th	e preceding and understand its provisions.
Signed: A	when the desired the specified before me this	oghey.
Sworn to and si	abscribed before me this	12th day of June 20 17
100000	Anen	10 200
Witness:	(Per F.S.S. 117.10)	1121.1



5RS017 CAD 067462

Please Print 47201
// >64 /
Date and time of this complaint: 4 300 M JUNE 12, 2017 Incident #: 17 -049
Reference Complaint #: 17-004302 Deputy Taking Complaint: White Complaint #: 17-004302 Deputy Taking Complaint: White Complaint #: 1051/55
Complainant: Megan april Take First Middle Last
Address: 1023 Lakua Street Pensacola Pl 3950/ Street City State Zip Code
Home Phone: 619-6160 Work Phone: Cell Phone:
Date and time incident occurred: 1913/17 4pm
Location/Address of occurrence: Shert Office
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s): Front Drsk Clerk 9 Nice Lady IN
Witness: DEE DONALDSON DISTRICT 1 OFFICE
Name Street Address City/State Home Phone Work Phone
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)
Nature of Allegation(s): Officer Kobenson came in aggressive Velling in
a high fone voice Marion fing. I looked over at her
and assaid hun? She said you Alanon king I am thinking how
do she know Marion King I said and her daughter how
may I help up. She said you getting a Licked
but then she asked for
my it and askary Marion is not here, so then I
asked her what was the problem, so then Offices
Repension take off otown the hallway and am
trying to figure out where did she go So I have
to wobble [hobble out the door with a
Cut off finger and a very bad back & weak
feet to she where she took my 10 to we get

a fickelt & Said Mary don't burner in pening public FROM: SASO Records Unit (AR) DATE: \$8/22/2023
a troppet i Said you don't have to be a care suice wall
a fight & I said you don't have to belaggressive with me sindings. Call my mother marronking on the phone white
whit she sound Still getting agaressive as ith me
and I told her I feel very un comportable and started
La fuel light head e' at angueter panic attack
Actions Taken: & Very un Conformable at danger for Mu
life hu
revx
This officer Roberson Angery aggressive to female.
Final Clearance:
Exonerated Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be negligent or at fault.
Sustained (Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were
found to be true. Not Sustained The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation.
Unfounded The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur. Partially Sustained The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained.
Violation not based on original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint Notification of Findings:
Date: 6/18/2017 By: SGT RYAN COUNTS # 55
Comments: AT 1600 HRS I CONTACTED MS. TATE IN REGARDS TO MY
FINDINGS, SHE TOLD ME BEFORE I COULD EVEN TELL HER ANSTHING
ABOUT THE INCIDENT, SHE TOLD ME TO CONTACT HER LAWYER,
Please check here if the complainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
I do hereby affirm that the information provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any false, misleading or untrue statements, accusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this
complaint, may subject me to civil and/or criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing with the intent to mislead a public servant in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by
a definite term of imprisonment not exceeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." "Any person who is a participant in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator
conducting the investigation and any witnesses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's
investigation, including, but not limited to the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or documents furnished in connection with a confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding
becomes public record as provided in the section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. 775.083." Florida State Statute 112.533 (Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
I hereby acknowledge that I have read the preceding and understand its provisions.
Signed:
Sworn to and subscribed before me this 12th day of JUNE, 20 17
Witness: 7031/55
Witness: (Per F.S.S. 117.10)





Revised: 10/04/2007

Please Print								
Date and time of this con	plaint: July 17	, 2017	Incid	ent #:	N/A			
Reference Complaint #:_	SI #17-078	Deputy Taki	ng Complaint	Captain	Stearns	(B)	_ ID #:_	537
Complainant:					Gibson			
	First	Mide	ile		Last			
Address:	Street	City		State		Zip Cod	e	
Home Phone: N/A	_ Work Phone:_	N/A	Cell Phone:	850-723	3-0142			
Date and time incident oc	curred: April, N	May, June 2017						
Location/Address of occu	arrence: Unknow	vn						
Employee(s) involved in	allegations(s):	Deputy Russell Scott						
Witness:Name								
Name		Street Address	City/S	tate	Home P	hone	Work Pl	none
(List additional witnesses	in narrative.)							
Nature of Allegation(s):_	Complainant ren	orted that Denuty Russe	II Scott owed back t	ent and le	off the pro	nerty dirt	v and in	disarray
			ii boote owed back i	viit, and it	nt the pro	porty dir	y and in	disarray
when he and his family n	noved out of the re	esidence.						
<u></u>								
	r	parbson @	bellsout	h. ne	t			
		3.7						
-								

Findings:	Investigation discle	osed insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation (s).
•		
Actions Taker	n: No action required	
Final Clearance	ce:	
	422	
	Exonerated	Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be negligent or at fault.
19220-27-27	Sustained	(Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were
	N C 1	found to be true.
X	Not Sustained Unfounded	The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur.
	Partially Sustained	The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained.
	Violation not based o	n original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint No	tification of Findings:	
Date:		Ву:
Comments:		
Please	check here if the comp	lainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
I do h	ereby affirm that the information or untrue statements, according to the statements of the statement of the statement of the statements of the statement of the sta	rmation provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any cusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this
complaint, may	subject me to civil and/or	criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing
		t in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by eding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00."
"Any	person who is a participar	nt in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator
		nesses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's to the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or
documents furn	ished in connection with a	confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding
		section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
		preceding and understand its provisions.
Signed:		
-		day of, 20
Witness:		
	(Per F.S.S. 117.10)	





Please Print	17-062
Date and time of this complaint: 7 20 17 Incident #:	
Reference Complaint #: Tickel # ASEFSHE Deputy Taking Complaint: Copt Brigonia	us ID#: 513/06
Complainant: Stephane Michelle Apr	/
Address: 4228 West avenida de golf pace FL Street City Pace FL	3257) Zip Code
Home Phone: 850-201-0097 Work Phone: 850-261-0087 Cell Phone:	850-241-0087
Date and time incident occurred: 0/30/11 4:10am	
Location/Address of occurrence: HWY 9D, WST SPENCER FIELD Y D	part FL 3257
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s):	
Witness:	Phone Work Phone
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)	
Nature of Allegation(s): On my vay to work pulled over over 15 what I was told officer didn't	speak on
reason for pulling me over in detail, in	1stead
drive i should arrest you need to I	for child
neglect." officer I felt pushed for a re	action
out of me constantly and was ver	y rude
and unprofessional when i didn't a	for the
reaction he seemed to want I feltu	that If I
Was brooking any law he felt nece	ssary to
Stop me for that should have been	Mat
he expressed concern with white	doingso
In a professional manner.	J

Findings:		
Actions Taken:		
//=		
Final Clearance:		
X	Sustained Not Sustained Unfounded Partially Sustained	Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be negligent or at fault. (Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were found to be true. The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur. The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained. The original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint Notif	fication of Findings:	
Date:		By:
Comments:		
Please ch	neck here if the compl	ainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
false, misleading of complaint, may so with the intent to a definite term of "Any pe conducting the inv investigation, incl documents furnish becomes public re	or untrue statements, according to the tock of the control of the	mation provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any resistions of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06. "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by ding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." at in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator esses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
I hereby acknowle	edge that I have read the	repreceding and understand its provisions.
X	scribed before me this	Lo day of July , 2017.





17-060

Please Print	
Date and time of this complaint: 8-17-17 1:53 Incident #: 17	093213
Reference Complaint #: 1700 7372 Deputy Taking Complaint: LT. ERLEMAN	~ ID#:_389
Complainant: Terra - Tresc	h
First Middle Last	~ / /
Address: 2322 Frontera Street Navarre FL 32 Street City State	Zip Code
Home Phone: Cell Phone:	313-2769
Date and time incident occurred: 08-15-17	
Location/Address of occurrence: 2322 Frontera Street Nava	arre
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s):	
Witness: Kathy Upchurch 2322 Frontera Street Navas	
Name Street Address City/State Home F	Phone Work Phone
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)	La de Dul.
Nature of Allegation(s): I Terra Tresch answered the door	
Quick and he grabbed me by my arm I asked !	aim what I
was being arrested for and he said a warrent	he then pulled
me outside with force and Slammed me up ac	leasn't the
porch ravil and was soying give me your other à	irm I had
it down and he was trying to say I refused to	give it to him
and that was a lie I did ask him a few differen	f times why
he was being so shifty to me and I had even	Said toobiged
I wasn't trying to hide run or anything and i	when we where
out in the street he made a comment about yo	ur lucky
I didn't slam you down in this pavement he was's	very rucke and
disrespectful also on the way to the jail he i	was bragging
about coming from pensacola be and he wasn't pla	ying bullshif
games and brigging about how he's been sho	Fapoletc
SRSO 03- 191 Page 1 of 2	Revised: 10/04/2007

Findings: NoT	INSTAINED - LT. ERLEMANN 8-23-17
10 NO NORTH TO THE RESERVE TO THE RE	
-	
Final Clearance:	•
Exoner	
Sustain	(1 1)
Not Sus	found to be true. The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation.
Unfoun	하게 하고 ::
	Sustained The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained. In not based on original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint Notification	of Findings:
Date:	By:
comments	
Please check her	e if the complainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
false, misleading or untrue complaint, may subject me with the intent to mislead a a definite term of imprison	m that the information provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any statements, accusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this to civil and/or criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing a public servant in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by ment not exceeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00."
conducting the investigation	o is a participant in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator in and any witnesses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's at not limited to the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or nection with a confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding
becomes public record as p	provided in the section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. atute 112.533 (Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
I hereby acknowledge that	I have read the preceding and understand its provisions.
Signed:	Tresch
Sworn to and subscribed be	efore me this 18th day of August , 2011
Witness:(Per F	SS. 117.10)





Revised: 10/04/2007

CITIZEN COMPLAINT

17-066

Please Print					
Date and time of this con	mplaint:		Incident #:		
Reference Complaint #:_		Deputy Taking (Complaint:		ID #:
Complainant:				2 707	
	First	Middle		Last	
Address:	Street	City	State	Zip Co	ode
Home Phone:		Work Phone:	Cell I	Phone:	
Date and time incident or	ccurred:				
Location/Address of occu	nrrence:				
Employee(s) involved in	allegations(s):				
Witness:					
Name		Street Address	City/State	Home Phone	Work Phone
(List additional witnesses		1 4	-11	1 - 1 - 1	- 1.1
Nature of Allegation(s):_	I +010 r	nim I don't re	ally care a	100Ut 1000	DA All
that and -	that Il	have Kids to	worn abou	+ that]	- wordt
truing to 1	nake an	umore probl	ems. When	we when	e in the
road and	he was o	utting me in	the car he	out the	windows
up and then	opt on	the phone u	ith someo	ne laugh	ning
about the !	whole so	motter. And	ma Kno	och)

Findings:		
Actions Taken:_		
Final Clearance:		•
1	Exonerated	Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be
 -		negligent or at fault.
\$	Sustained	(Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were found to be true.
1	Not Sustained	The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation.
	Unfounded	The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur.
	Partially Sustained Violation not based or	The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained. a original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
5	ication of Findings:	
Date:		By:
Comments:		
Please che	eck here if the compla	ainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
I do here	by affirm that the inform	nation provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any
alse, misleading or	r untrue statements, acc	usations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this
		criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by
		the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by ling 60 days and a fine of \$500.00."
"Any per	son who is a participant	in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator
		sses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or
ocuments furnishe	ed in connection with a	confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding
		ection, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. enalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
hereby acknowled	ige that I have read the p	preceding and understand its provisions.
igned.	Mar Maria	
worn to and subsc	ribed before me this	day of August, 2017
/itness:	Joss-	17 387
	(Per F.S.S. 117.10)	





Please Print
Date and time of this complaint: Incident #:
Reference Complaint #: SI#17-072 Deputy Taking Complaint: CAPTIO day IS ID #:
Complainant: AUS+10 lee Williams First Middle Last
Address: 407 geiger Rd. Milton FL 33583 Street Street City State When Zip Code
Home Phone: 850-362-4197Work Phone: Cell Phone: \$50-516-3017
Date and time incident occurred:
Location/Address of occurrence: Location/Address of occurrence: Sheriff's office/5755 east miton Rd
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s):
Witness:
Name Street Address City/State Home Phone Work Phone
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)
Nature of Allegation(s):Qot to Santa Rosa
Jail sally door I known door B3 during being
Unhand Cuffed I had my lef hand on the wall
I explained to the officer that I had boke
my night arm - Wha bone) 3 times for him
to be carefull while unhandcuffing me.
as soon as I said that he intentionally
forced my wrist upward and I heard a
snap. It hurt so bad I teared up
because I was inso much pain, when being
finger Printed he was rough when taking
my finger prints on my right Wist. I have
nator heen in trachle and Time falsoly acroused
of Something I did not do I'm disabled and
of Something I did not do. I'm disabled and when coming to this place has made me have nightnown coming to this place has made me have nightnoon control believe I was theated like a Chimin and
SRSO 03-191 not believe I was treated like a Chiminaling
I bock

I thought being arrested you were innocent until proven guilty. I will never trust an officer uver again. I'm not a criminal of any sort and I suggest that your detention officers take a class for treating people right instead of trying make themselves look bad. I've already went to the hospital 3 times as soon as I was released confined my wrist was probably brone but due to a Contusion on the bone it was to swollen to tell. I have to follow up with an orthopedic surgeon in b weeks to get a coast. I will be taking action against this officer!

Findings: Slew	iemo attached
Actions Taken: Unforma	tion reviewed no action taken.
1	
Final Clearance:	
Final Clearance.	
	Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be negligent or at fault.
Sustained	(Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were
	found to be true.
	The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur.
	The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained.
Violation not based on	n original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint Notification of Findings:	
Date:	By:
Comments:	
Please check here if the comple	ainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
	mation provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any
	susations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing
with the intent to mislead a public servant	in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by
a definite term of imprisonment not exceed	
	t in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator esses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's
investigation, including, but not limited to	the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or
	confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S.
	Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
I hereby acknowledge that I have read the	preceding and understand its provisions.
Signed: Whatly	
	24 1
Sworn to and subscribed before me this _	day of Hus , 20 /
Witness: Non FSS 11710)	5/94





Please Pr			7 0000				
Date and	time of this complaint:	08/29/201	7 0800		Incident #:		
Reference Complaint #:			Deputy T	aking Complaint			ID #:
Complainant: Randall			Alan			Martin	
	First		M	liddle		Last	
Address:		nill Rd.	Milton		FL	325	
	Street		City		State	Zip C	Code
Home Ph	one:		Work Phone: (85)	0) 292-2367	Cell F	Phone:	
Date and	time incident occurred:	08/29/17	0500				
l.ocation/	Address of occurrence:	Santa Ro	sa Medical Cente	er - ICU - 6002	Berryhill Rd.		
Employee	e(s) involved in allegation	ns(s):_Sec	<mark>uri</mark> ty, Nursing sta	ff, and House S	Supervisor		
Witness:	Felix Toussaint	6002	Berryhill Rd.	Milt	on / FL		(850) 365- 0502
	Name		Street Address	C	city/State	Home Phone	Work Phone
prisoner	at the hospital, I was in and she had been slowy investigation the st	eeping o	n duty. I then inve	estigated the ma	atter and cor	for a recliner of	duty supervisor. hair and a blanket
	sing staff denied this r						
to view	the sleeping guard an	d to wake	e her up and spea	ak with her abo	ut sleeping.	Attached are w	itness statements
passdo	wn reports and house	supervis	or reports.				

Findings: See attached Supe	rvisor Inquiry		
Actions Taken: Staff member rece	vived a Written Reprimand	I	
Final Clearance:			
Exonerated X Sustained Not Sustained Unfounded Partially Sustained Violation not based of	negligent or at fault. (Improper Conduct): The found to be true. The investigation disclorate investigation reveal. The incident has two or	he investigation reveale ses insufficient evidence ed sufficient facts to in more allegations, and a	bed, but the member was found not to be ed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were ce to clearly prove or disprove the allegation. adicate that the incident did not occur. at least one of the allegations is sustained. iolation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint Notification of Findings:			
Date:	By:		
Comments:			
Please check here if the comp	plainant refuses to have pe	ersonal contact by a dep	outy.
false, misleading or untrue statements, accomplaint, may subject me to civil and/o with the intent to mislead a public servar a definite term of imprisonment not exce "Any person who is a participal conducting the investigation and any with investigation, including, but not limited a documents furnished in connection with	occusations of allegations, here or criminal liability under Flor in the performance of his of edding 60 days and a fine of \$\mathbb{S} ant in an internal investigation theses in the investigation, we to the identity of the deputy of a confidential internal investi- e section, commits a misdement	ein made by me, either or rida State Statute 837.06, official duty shall be guilty \$500.00." in, including the complaination who willfully disclose any under investigation, the na igation or any agency befeanor of the first degree, p	est of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any rally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by ant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator information obtained pursuant to the agency's ature of the questions asked, information revealed or fore such complaint, document, action or proceeding punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S.
I hereby acknowledge that I have read th	e preceding and understand i	its provisions.	
Signed:			
Sworn to and subscribed before me this	day of	, 20	
Witness:(Per F.S.S. 117.10)			



Please Print

Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office Sheriff Bob Johnson



CITIZEN COMPLAINT

Reference Comp	olaint #:	Deputy Taking Complaint:_	WEX. 18	ID #:	
Complainant: ANN		M.		VKYK	
	Pirst	Middle	La		
Address: 848	3 INDIAN FORD RD	MICTON	FL	32570	
V 0.00000.000 0.100 0.10	Street	City	State	Zip Code	
Home Phone:	NONE	Work Phone: 850-595-8845	Cell Phone	850-281-7411	
Date and time in	cident occurred: 06	21/2016			
		5755 E. MILTON RD, NILTON F	£ 32583		
Employee(s) inv	volved in allegations(s):	DEE SUBIPO			
Witness: OFF	ICER TINGLE	CRESTVIEW POLICE DEPARTMENT	-		
CONTROL CONTROL	Name	Street Address Cit	ty/State Ho	me Phone Work Phone	

(List additional witnesses in narrative.)

Nature of Allegation(s): IT HAS COME TO MY ATTENTION THAT ON 06/21/2016/MS SUBIDO SPOKE TO OFFICER TINGLE FROM THE CRESIVEW POLICE DEPT IN REFERENCE TO A POLICE OFFICER POSITION THAT I APPLIED FOR ACCORDING TO THE REPORT I RECEIVED FROM THE DEPT, MS SUBIDO STATED SHE WOULD NOT RECOMMEND ME FOR THE POSITION OF A POLICE OFFICER AND THAT I WAS 'NOT CAPABLE OF MORKING IN THE POSITION' AND MAY GET HERCELF OR OTHERS HUET.' IT ALCO INDICATED IN THE REPORT THAT AT THE END OF THE CONVERSATION, MS SUBIDO REPEATED HER PERSONAL OPINION BY STRESSING THAT SHE WOULD' NOT' RECOMMEND ME FOR A POSITION IN LAW ENFORCEMENT. MS CUBIDO IS NOT A CERTIFIED LAW ENTOLEMENT OFFICER AND HAD NEVER WORKED AS A PATROL OFFICER THEREFORE; SHE IS NOT QUALIFIED TO OFFICE HER PERSONAL OPINION TO FITURE ENVIRONMENT POLICY BY TALKING DIRECTLY TO THE AGENCY INSTEAD OF DIRECTING THAT SHE HAD VIOLATED THE DEPARTMENT POLICY BY TALKING DIRECTLY TO THE AGENCY INSTEAD OF DIRECTING THAN TO THE HUMAN RESOURCE DEPARTMENT. BECAUSE I HAVE APPLIED TO MANY LAW ENFORCEMENT ACENCIES AS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OVER A PERSON OF TIME, THERE IS NO TELLING HOW MANY AGENCIES MS SUBJOO HAD LOWE IN CONTACT WITH AND HOW IT AFFECTED MY CHANCES FROM BEING HIRED BY THAT PRETICULAR.

MENOLES AS A LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER OVER A PERSON OF TIME, THERE IS NO TELLING HOW MANY AGENCIES MS SUBJOO HAD LOWE IN CONTACT WITH AND HOW IT AFFECTED MY CHANCES FROM BEING HIRED BY THAT PRETICULAR.

MENOLY. MISREPRESENTATIONS BY MS SUBJOO CAN HARM MY CAREER IN THE LAW ENFORCEMENT FIELD AS A POLICE OFFICER AND SHOULD BE REMEDIATED AS SOON ARE POSSIBLE.

Findings:	
Actions Taken	
And Company of the Co	
	William Control of the Control of th
Final Clearance:	
Exonerated	Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be negligent or at fault.
Sustained	(Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were
Not Sustained	found to be true. The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation.
Unfounded Partially Sustained	The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur. The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained.
	on original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint Notification of Findings	:
Date:	By:
Please check here if the com	plainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
false, misleading or untrue statements, a complaint, may subject me to civil and/with the intent to mislead a public serva a definite term of imprisonment not exe "Any person who is a particip conducting the investigation and any wi investigation, including, but not limited	formation provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any accusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this or criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing and in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by seeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." The performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by seeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." The performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by seeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." The performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by seeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." The performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by seeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." The performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by seeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." The performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by seeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." The performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by seeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." The performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by seeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." The performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by seeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00. The performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by seeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00. The performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by
becomes public record as provided in th	ne section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. 3 (Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
I hereby acknowledge that I have read to	he preceding and understand its provisions.
Signed:	
Swom to and subscribed before me this	St day of Deytunber 20 17
Witness: (Per F.S.S. 117.10)	
	WN C GLARK
MY CO	MMISSION #FF071337
EXPIRI	ES November 19, 2017
SRSO 03- 191 (407) 398-0153 Flo	ridaNotaryService.compage 12 of 2 Revised: 10/04/2007





O DISCRIMINATION (2) MISUSE
Nature of Complaint: OF POLICE RESOURCES Date of Incident: 9 17 17
Location of Incident: 5648 WOODBINE RD. PACE, FL 32571
Member(s) Involved: OFFICER DAVID HUHN, SGT REEVES, LT GUNN, CHARLES BAISDEN,
Complainant: CHARLES BAISDEN Date of Birth: 12/24/80 E4 2486 HURN
Address: 3363 GLADEWOOD LN PACE FL 32571 Telephone #: 766-3847
Summary: The Summary of THIS COMPLAINT IS DISCRIMINATION AGAINST MYSELF CHARLES BAISDEN, AND AGAINST ELIZABETH HUMN, ADDITIONALLY, PLEASE CONSIDER THIS A FORMAL COMPLAINT DOCUMENTING MISUSE OF POLKE RESOURCES. THE INCIDENT OCCURED ON 9 17 17 BETWEEN 5:32 PM CST AND 8:35 PM CST. AT 6:22 PM CST NOTHER 5 RSD. ABOUT A SAFETY CONCERN, OFFICER DAMP HUMN WAS EXPECTED TO PICK UP HIS CHILDREN AT 6:00 PM CST AT THE FIVE POINTS WHAT BURGER (PICKET) POORES LITTED ABOVE), WHEN OFFICER HUMN HAD NOT ARRIVED FOR 23 MINUTES NOTIFICATION WAS GIVEN TO THE DISPATCHER THAT THEME WAS A CONCERN FOR OFFICER HUMN'S SAFETY, AS HE HAD NOT ARRIVED AND ADDITIONALLY WE SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED THE LOCATION WHERE THE DISPATCHER WAS TO OCCUR. AT 6:47 PM CST, 6:52 PM CST, AND 6:55 PM CST, ERECUSED OF THIS DUTIES AND ADVISED US THAT HE HAD NO VIDATES FOR US CARRIED OUT HIS DUTIES AND ADVISED US THAT HE HAD NO VIDATES FOR US ON OFFICER HUNN WHERE ABOUTS. ON THE SECOND AND THIRD CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55, SOT REEVES NOWATION THE POLICY OF THE SANTA TOSA COUNTY SHERRIFFS DEPARTMENT TO NOT GET INVOLVED IN A CIVIL MATTER OFFICER HUMN PROOR TO THE CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER TWAN IN HIS CARLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN IN HIS CARLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN IN HIS CARLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN IN HIS CARLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN IN HIS CONCURRENCE OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN IN HIS CONCURRENCE OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN IN HIS CONCURRENCE OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN IN HIS CONCURRENCE OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN IN HIS COMPONION OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN IN HIS COMPONION OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN IN HIS COMPONION OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN IN HIS COMPONION OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN IN HIS COMPONION OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN IN HIS COMPONION OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN IN HIS COMPONION OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55. RATHER THAN IN HIS COMPONION OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 AND 6:55.
Printed name: CHARLES B BAISDEN
Sworn to and subscribed before me this 19 day of 5 eptem beca 2017.
seal () / / /

Page 1 of 4 initials CB

MY CC

RENE' JOHN LA ROSE JŘ MY COMMISSION # GG078123;



SRSO 03 109

Santa Rosa Sheriff's Office Sheriff Bob Johnson

1) DISCRIMINATION (2) MISUSE	
Nature of Complaint: OF POLICE RESOURCES	Date of Incident: 9 17 17
Location of Incident: 5648 WOODBINE RD. PA	
Member(s) Involved: OFFICER DAVID HUHN, SGT RE	EEVES, LT GUNN, CHARLES BAISDEN,
Complainant: CHARLES BAISDEN	Date of Birth: 12/24/80 ELIZABET
Address: 3363 GLADEWOOD LN PACE FL 325	(GCO)
Summary: THE SUMMARY OF THIS COMPLAIN MYSELF, CHARLES BAISDEN, AND AGAINST PLEASE CONSIDER THIS A FORMAL COMPLAIN OF POLKE RESOURCES. THE INCIDENT OCC 5:32 PM CST. AND 8:35 PM CST. AT 6:22 ABOUT A SAFETY CONCERN, OFFICER DAND HIS CHILDREN AT 6:00PM CST AT THE FIVE ADDRESS LISTED ABONE), WHEN OFFICER HUHN NOTIFICATION WAS GIVEN TO THE DISPATCHED FOR OFFICER HUHN'S SAFETY, AS HE HAD NO WE SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED THE LOCATION OF OCCUR. AT 6:47 PM CST, 6:52 PM CST, CALLS FROM SET REEVES, DURING THE FIRST CARRIED OUT HIS DUTIES AND ADVISED US THE ON OFFICER HUNN WHEREABOUTS. ON THE SOUTH SHERRIFFS DEPARTMENT TO NOT GE WITHOUT A COURT OFFICER HUNN PROOR TO THE CALLS AT 6:51 I hereby swear and affirm that the above and all attached so and correct to the best of my knowledge. I have fully read a Statute § 112.533 regarding the Receipt and Processing of Complainant's Signature: OFFICEN HUHN PROOR TO THE CALLS AT 6:51 that until this investigation is completed, I am not to discuss the Deputy assigned to investigate my complaint or my legal Complainant's Signature: OFFICEN BY SIGNATURE OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 PM COMPLAINANCE OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 PM COMPLAINANCE OF THE CALLS AT 6:53 I hereby swear and affirm that the above and all attached so and correct to the best of my knowledge. I have fully read a Statute § 112.533 regarding the Receipt and Processing of Complainant's Signature: OFFICEN BY SIGNATURE OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 PM COMPLAINANCE OF THE CALLS AT 6:53 PM COMPLAINANCE OF THE COMPLAINANCE OF THE CALLS AT 6:52 PM COMPLAINANCE OF THE CALLS AT 6:5	ELIZABETH HUMN. ADDITIONALLY, NT DOCUMENTING MISUSE URED ON 9 17 17 BETWEEN PM CST I NOTIFIED S RSO HUMN WAS EXPECTED TO PICK UP E POINTS WHATABURGER (INCIDENT) HAD NOT ARRIVED FOR 23 MINUTES RETHAT THERE WAS A GIVERN T ARRIVED AND ADDITIONALLY WHERE THE DROP OFF WAS AND 6:55 PM CST I RECEIVED ST CALL, SGT REEVES EMFIENTLY AT HE HAD NO UPDATES FOR US SECOND AND THIRD CALLS AT POLICY OF THE SANTA ROSA T INVOLVED IN A CIVIL MATTER D BEEN IN COMMUNICATION WITH SZ AND 6:55. TEATHER THAN tatements and/or documentation are true and understand the attached Florida State Complaints. Specifically, I acknowledge ss my complaint with anyone other than
seal Rone f	septem bea 2017.
Notary Signatu	TE DENE JOHN LA POSE IS

Page 1 of 4 initials CB

ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION CITIZEN COMPLAINT REPORT (Cont.)

REMAIN IMPARTIAL AND EQUALLY ASSIST ALL PARTIES INVOLVED, SOT REEVES BEGAN QUESTIONING MYSELF AND ELIZABETH HUNN ON A CIVIL MAFTER WITHOUT A COURT ORDER, HE ASKED NO QUESTIONS ABOUT THE FINAL DWORCE DECREE OR PARENTING PLAN. IF HE HAD WE COULD HAVE ADVISED HIM THAT THE COURT OTEDERS DO NOT DESIGNATE A DROP OFF LOUTION. MOREOVER, SUT REEVES BECAME PERSONALLY INVOLVED AND BEGAN ADVOCATING FOR OFFICER HUND. HE RELAYED PERSONAL MESSAGES FOR OFFICER HUNN TO US. THIS IS INTIMIDATION, SOT REEVES HAD NO ANTHORITY TO BE INVOLVED IN THE CHIL MAFTER, AND HIS PARTICIPATION AS A REPRESENTATIVE OF OFFICER HUNN DISPLAYS A CLEAR BIOS ON THE PART OF SRSO. WHEN ELIZABETH AND I HAD REQUESTED A STAND AND ASSIST REGARDING A CIVIL MATTER FROM SRSD DUE TO OFFICER HUHN THREATINING MY LIFE (SOT SNOW TOOK REPORT ON 4/29/17) WE WELL HOWSED IT IS THE POLICY OF SRSD NOT TO GET INVOLVED IN CIMIL MATRICES WITHOUT A COURT ORDER. IT IS CLEAR THAT SET REEVES VIDLATED THIS POLICY AND HARBORED JAIDED OFFICER HUMA. AT 6:02 PM CST OFFICER HUHN SONT A THREATENING TEXT TO ELIZABETH STATING THAT IF WE DID NOT MOVE TO AN ALTERNATE LOCATION, HE WOULD BE COMING TO PICK UP "HIS CHILDREN" WITH A SHERRIFF DEPUTY, THIS LEADS TO AN ADDITIONAL COMPLAINT. SINCE IT IS THE POLICY OF SRSD NOT TO TAKE ACTION ON A CIVIL ISSUE WITHOUT A COURT ORDER PLEASE CONSIDER THIS A FORMAL COMPLAINT AGAINST THE DEPARTMENT FOR DISCRIMINATION, MISUKE OF POLICE RESOURCES AND INTIMIDATION. THERE WAS A DEPUTY AT THE DROP OFF, AT WHATABURGER, WITH OFFICER HUHN. I'M CERTAIN THAT YOUR GPS EQUIPMENT AND PHONE RECORDS WILL CONFIRM THIS. IF THERE IS A PHONE RECORDING OF OFFICER HUMN REQUESTING A DEPUTY BE AT THE WHATABURGER FIVE POINTS AT 7:05 PM THEN YOU HAVE A SERIOUS ISSUE, THE WORST PART OF THIS IS THAT WHEN I CALLED TO REPORT THE COMPLAINT, MY CALL WAS "DELETED FROM THE CALL BOARD" ACCORDING TO YOUR DISPATCHER, I HAD TO CALL A SECOND TIME, ONE HOUR LATER, TO DISCUSS THE ISSUE WITH LT, GUNN. WHEN I DID, HE TOOK A VERY COMBATIVE TONE WITH ME ON THE PHONE, AND PROCESOED TO MAKE EXCUSES FOR DFFICER HUHN, AND ATTEMPTED TO EXPLAIN TO ME WHY OFFICER HUHN WAS MORE THAN ONE HOUR LATE FOR DROP OFF. AGAIN, IF IT IS THE PULLY OF SRSD NOT TO GET INVOLVED IN CIMIL ISSUES WITHOUT A COURT ORDER, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY A LT IS GIVING EXCUSES ON A CIVIL ISSUE. (ADDITIONALLY, LT GUNN CALLED ME AN "ASSHOLE" WHILE I WAS ON THE PHONE ATTEMPTING TO FILE A COMPLAINT. YOUR TEAM IS BACKING THE WRONG PERSON. OFFICER HUHN IS USING HIS STANDING AS A PENSACOLA POLICE DEPT EMPLOYEE TO ABUSE THE LAW, AND INTIMIDATE CNILIANS. YOUR ENTIRE DEPARTMENT IS PARTICIPATING. PLEASE REVIEW THE REPORT HISTORY AGAINST OFFICER HUHN. HE HAS PHYSICALLY THREATENED ME, HE HAS THREATENED MY LIFE IN WRITING, AND HE IS USING THE SPSD TO INTIMIDATE OUR FAMILY AND THE WORST PART? EACH TIME WE CALL FOR HELP, YOUR OFFICERS TELL US THAT THESE INCIDENTS THREATS AND MENTAL ABUSE ARE NOT CONNECTED. THEY DENY ANY MALICE EXISTS. WHAT DO WE HAVE TO LIVE THROUGH

FOR SOMEONE IN YOUR DEPARTMENT TO TAKE THIS SERIOUSLY?
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE DOES NOT HAVE TO BE A PHYSICAL CONTACT
ISSUE, OFFICER HUHN IS USING THESE THREWTENING TACTICS TO
INTIMIDATE AND ABUSE US MENTALLY. AND SRSD IS PARTICIPATING.
WITHOUT A COURT ORDER. PLEASE HELP US.
WITHOUT FI CHARLE OFFICE HELF US.

112.533 Receipt and processing of complaints.—
 (1) Every law enforcement agency and correctional agency shall establish and put into operation a system for the receipt, investigation, and determination of complaints received by such

Page 3 of 4 initials CAB

agency from any person.

- (2) (a) A complaint filed against a law enforcement deputy or detention deputy with a law enforcement agency or correctional agency and all information obtained pursuant to the investigation by the agency of such complaint shall be confidential and exempt from the provisions of s. 119.07(1) until the investigation ceases to be active, or until the agency head or the agency head's designee provides written notice to the deputy who is the subject of the complaint, either personally or by mail, that the agency has either:
 - Concluded the investigation with a finding not to proceed with disciplinary action or to file charges; or
 - Concluded the investigation with a finding to proceed with disciplinary action or to file charges.

Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions, the deputy who is the subject of the complaint may review the complaint and all statements regardless of form made by the complainant and witnesses immediately prior to the beginning of the investigative interview. If a witness to a complaint is incarcerated in a correctional facility and may be under the supervision of, or have contact with, the deputy under investigation, only the names and written statements of the complainant and no incarcerated witnesses may be reviewed by the deputy under investigation immediately prior to the beginning of the investigative interview.

- (b) This subsection does not apply to any public record which is exempt from public disclosure pursuant to Fla. Stat. 119.07(3). For the purposes of this subsection, an investigation shall be considered active as long as it is continuing with a reasonable, good faith anticipation that an administrative finding will be made in the foreseeable future. An investigation shall be presumed to be inactive if no finding is made within 45 days after the complaint is filed.
- (c) Notwithstanding other provisions of this section, the complaint and information shall be available to law enforcement agencies, correctional agencies, and state attorneys in the conduct of a lawful criminal investigation.
- (3) A law enforcement deputy or detention deputy has the right to review his or her official personnel file at any reasonable time under the supervision of the designated records custodian. A law enforcement deputy or detention deputy may attach to the file a concise statement in response to any items included in the file identified by the deputy as derogatory, and copies of such items must be made available to the deputy.
- (4) Any person who is a participant in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator conducting the investigation, and any witnesses in the investigation, who willfully discloses any information obtained pursuant to the agency's investigation, including, but not limited to, the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed, or documents furnished in connection with a confidential internal investigation of an agency, before such complaint, document, action, or proceeding becomes a public record as provided in this section commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. 775.083. However, this subsection does not limit a law enforcement or detention deputy's ability to gain access to information under paragraph (2)(a). Additionally, a sheriff, police chief, or other head of a law enforcement agency, or his or her designee, is not precluded by this section from acknowledging the existence of a complaint and the fact that an investigation is underway.

History.— F.S.S. 3, ch. 74-274; F.S.S. 3, ch. 82-156; F.S.S. 1, ch. 82-405; F.S.S. 1, ch. 83-136; F.S.S. 1, ch. 87-59; F.S.S. 2, ch. 89-223; F.S.S. 1, ch. 90-32; F.S.S. 31, ch. 90-360; F.S.S. 3, ch. 93-19; F.S.S. 722, ch. 95-147; F.S.S. 39, ch. 96-406; F.S.S. 2, ch. 98-249; F.S.S. 2, ch. 2000-184.





Please Print						
Date and time of this com	plaint: Octobe	r 10, 2017	Incide	ent #:	N/A	
Reference Complaint #:_	SI #17-091	Deputy Taking	g Complaint	Captain	Stearns	ID #:537
Complainant:	Kelvin First	Middle	e	I	Espada Last	
Address:	Street	City		State	Zip C	ode
Home Phone: N/A	_ Work Phone:_	N/A	Cell Phone:	850-461	1-2126	
Date and time incident oc	curred: July 10	, 2017				
Location/Address of occu	rrence: 5755 E.	Milton Rd. Milton, Florid	da 32572			
Employee(s) involved in	allegations(s):	Deputy Joshua Toole				
Witness:						
Name		Street Address	City/St	tate	Home Phone	Work Phone
	Companiantro	orted that while processin			es, me sem was	

rindings. II	ivestigation disclos	sed insufficient evidence to clearly prove of disprove the anegation (s).
•		
Actions Taken: N	o action required.	
rictions runon.	o donon required.	
Final Clearance:		
Exo		Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be
Sust	tained	negligent or at fault. (Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were found to be true.
	Sustained	The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation.
Part	ially Sustained	The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur. The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained.
Viol	lation not based on	n original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint Notificat	tion of Findings:	
Date:		Ву:
Comments:		
Please check	here if the compl	ainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
false, misleading or un complaint, may subject with the intent to misl a definite term of impo "Any person conducting the investi- investigation, including documents furnished in becomes public record	ntrue statements, accept me to civil and/or ead a public servant risonment not exceed who is a participant gation and any with a gation to the state of the connection with a das provided in the state of the state	mation provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any susations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by ding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." It in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator esses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
		preceding and understand its provisions.
Signed:		
Sworn to and subscrib	ed before me this _	day of
Witness:	Per F.S.S. 117.10)	

SRSO 03- 191 Page 2 of 2 Revised: 10/04/2007



Please Print

Santa Rosa County Sheriff's Office Sheriff Bob Johnson



Date and time of the	is complaint: Octobe	r 23, 2017	Inc	eident #: N/A	
Reference Complain	nt #: SI #17-093	Deputy Takin	g Complaint	Captain Steam	rns ID #: 537
Complainant:	Leah First	Meche Middl		Lange Last	
Address:	Street	City		State	Zip Code
Home Phone: N		N/A	Cell Phone:		
Date and time incid	ent occurred: Septem	ber 13, 2017			
Location/Address o	f occurrence: 5755 E	. Milton Rd. Milton, Flori	da 32572		
Employee(s) involv	ed in allegations(s):	Sergeant Joshua Toole			
Witness:	ame	Street Address	City	/State Hom	e Phone Work Phone
Nature of Allegation		ported that while housed in			

Findings:	Investigation discl	osed insufficient evidence to clearly prove or This problem EANN Seconds Unit (AR) DATE: 08/22/20
Actions Taker	n:No action required	
Final Clearance	ce:	
	Unfounded Partially Sustained	Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be negligent or at fault. (Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were found to be true. The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur. The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained. In original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint No	tification of Findings:	
Date:		_ By:
Comments:		
	check here if the comp	lainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
false, misleadin complaint, may with the intent t a definite term of "Any conducting the investigation, in documents furn becomes public	ag or untrue statements, ac subject me to civil and/o to mislead a public servar of imprisonment not exce person who is a participal investigation and any with acluding, but not limited to sished in connection with the record as provided in the	rmation provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any cusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this reminial liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing to the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by eding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." In the internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator nesses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's to the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or a confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. (Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
I hereby acknow	wledge that I have read th	e preceding and understand its provisions.
Signed:		
Sworn to and su	ubscribed before me this	day of
Witness:	(Per F.S.S. 117.10)	





Please Print
Date and time of this complaint: D/27/17 12-4 AM Incident #:
Reference Complaint #: Deputy Taking Complaint: Deputy Turner ID#: 1758
complainant: Christopher hee Hinson
First Middle Last
Address: 4249 Spindlewick or Pace FL 32571 Street City State Zip Code
Home Phone: 384-3342 Work Phone: Cell Phone: 855-384-3342
Date and time incident occurred:
Location/Address of occurrence: Santa Rosa County Jan
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s): Deputy Mark Scott and Deputy Hills
Witness: Their Sergeant And CAMERA'S and Adorm B dorm
Name Street Address City/State Home Phone Work Phone
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)
Nature of Allegation(s) 00 10/27/17 between 12 an 4 A.M.
I felt uncomfortable in the Dorm I was in (B Dorm)
So I decided to check in meaning go to confinement
or wherever I had to go to get out of
the born I was in. When I got on the door
Deputy Scott pulled me out and asked me what
was going on. I told him that I was uncomfortable
and didn't warma be in the dorm. Deputy Scott
then told me to give him names that he couldn't
move me without them majors orders, when I
told him I don't have any names he placed me
In a interview room, and came back with his
Sergeant and Deputy Hills they continued to ask

Continued:

me for names, and when I want them I didn't have any names that I was just Uncomfortable they said they were going put me back in B dorm. On the way back to the Dorm Deputy Scott told them to open the door and his was about to put me in the dorm and Said "Get Back in the dorm pussy" made the comment " eat me like a pusy" Deputy Scott and Deputy Hills slammed me Up against the glass outside of leguty Hills was Holding me and Deputy Scott Punched me in the chest in Front of their Sergeant, A dorm and B dorm, AND CAMERA'S they then placed me back in the interview room and later came back for me and put me back in B dorm. 30 minutes to a hour went by and their Sergeant pulled me out of the dorm and took me to medical. They took my Vitals and I told them I wasn't goin to sign any papers and I didn't want them to Check me any further they took it as I refused medical attention and When I told the nurse what happened Nurse Dixon She stated that that probably didn't happen I felt uncomfortable about the whole situation and feared for my safty because I was funched by Deputy Scott and nobody did anything about it.

I would like to obtain camera footage

Findings:	
Actions Taken:	
-	
Final Clearance:	
Exonerated Sustained Not Sustained Unfounded Partially Sustained Violation not based of	Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be negligent or at fault. (Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were found to be true. The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation. The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur. The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained. on original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint Notification of Findings:	
Date:	Ву:
Comments:	
Please check here if the comp	plainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
false, misleading or untrue statements, accomplaint, may subject me to civil and/or with the intent to mislead a public servan a definite term of imprisonment not excee "Any person who is a participa conducting the investigation and any with investigation, including, but not limited to documents furnished in connection with a becomes public record as provided in the	rmation provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any ecusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this reciminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing it in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by eding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." In the investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator nesses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's to the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or a confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. (Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
I hereby acknowledge that I have read the	e preceding and understand its provisions.
Signed:	
Sworn to and subscribed before me this	31 day of October, 2017
Witness: (Per F.S.S. 117.10)	Turnel 258/679

* * a . •





Revised: 10/04/2007

Please Print
Date and time of this complaint: 11-01-17 Incident #:
Reference Complaint #: 17-011139 Deputy Taking Complaint: SET. JACKSON ID #: 460
Complainant: Shanvon Kay Beasu
Address: 417 Covan Reserved Pace IC3257/
Home Phone: (S6-34/-22 Work Phone: Cell Phone:
Date and time incident occurred:
Location/Address of occurrence: 695 Trailed South
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s):
Witness: Name Street Address City/State Home Phone Work Phone
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)
Nature of Allegation(s): I went to bor; fortus nous to pett up
my son to tale to docter and for work tomorrow!
toPalar: I was commitor; did not tell me to come
Col do lor: herseanflor, copen door and Screen
dondo hous to cem Trota / Klomy son is deal
Whiten News Matthe Start to yell next thing
Coon Mon Come stome short me at door not
gran metonoto get out of house, then I She pulled
Con Harris Come and Sex la Astron Min Vinto
an Hunglio Come and siele silvo non Vinto
Cauld be core:

Findings:	SEE ATTATO	HED MEMO
Actions Taker	1:	
×		
Final Clearand	ce:	
	Exonerated	Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be
-	Sustained	negligent or at fault. (Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were found to be true.
-	Not Sustained	The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation.
	Unfounded Partially Sustained	The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur. The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained.
		on original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint No	tification of Findings:	
Date:		By:
Comments:_		
Please	check here if the comp	plainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
false, misleadin complaint, may with the intent a definite term "Any conducting the investigation, in documents furn becomes public	ng or untrue statements, as subject me to civil and/or to mislead a public servation of imprisonment not except person who is a participal investigation and any with reluding, but not limited aished in connection with the record as provided in the	consistion provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any occusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this or criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by seeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." ant in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator thresses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's to the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or a confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding e section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S. (Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
I hereby acknow	wledge that I have road th	ne preceding and understand its provisions.
Signed	mer K 150	isty Mom
Sworn to and si	ubscribed before me this	01 day of Nov , 20 17
Witness:	(Per F.S.S. 117.10)	



WITNESS STATEMENT

Form SRSO 03-178

Revised: 01/06/2017

WITNESS STATEMENT CONTINUATION

Date	:		_/	Tim	e (of state	ment): _		Con	nplaint#			_
Nam	e:											
												_
			====									-
												_
					t							_
												=
												_
												_
												_
												_
												_
												_
							Б					_
												_
						Affia	ınt:					
	Sworr	to a	nd subs	scribed bef	ore me th	is	_ Day of				20	
		1	Notary I	Public / La	w Enforce My	ment Of Commi	ficer ssion Exp	ires:		_/	FSS117.	10

Form SRSO 03-178 Revised: 01/06/2017



Please Print			
Date and time of this complaint: \\-\'	5-17	Incident #:/7(CAD 130310
Reference Complaint #: /70FF008	Deputy Taking Compla	int: BARNES	ID#: 86/109
Complainant: Thomas First	Alan	Coui Last	٧
Address: 11168 Noble Lo	Salses City	FC. State	32531 Zip Code
Home Phone: <u>\$50</u> -	Work Phone:	Cell Phone:	850-889-2555
Date and time incident occurred:	2-3 pm		
Location/Address of occurrence: HW	ly 90 West bound po	ussed	
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s):_	Seth Smead		
Witness:			
Name	Street Address	City/State Hom	e Phone Work Phone
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)			
Nature of Allegation(s): Noticed	Harosment B	y Chasingin	9
Excessive force, Lied	about lane change	& seeing an	ything.
then acused me of			2
Striking my chin, open	ed door tried pulling	me out by t	throat and shoulder
without letting me o	the state of the s	,	
him to pull me ou			
back of my legs let			
and he released pres			
as backup arrived			
hand as He ca			
in my pain, shock, a			
He said was it a mor			
and told the nurse I -	think he ain't someth.	ing I need yo	ou to pump his
Stomach, she said no I was then traspor-	because he admitted	to not see ina	anything. com
I was then traspor-	ted to Santa Rosa Hospi	tal toi X-Ray	1 By another officer
SRSO 03- 191	Page 1 of 2		Revised: 10/04/2007

Findings:	
Actions Taken:	
Final Clearance:	
Exonerated	Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be
	negligent or at fault.
Sustained	(Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were found to be true.
Not Sustained	The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation.
Unfounded Partially Sustained	The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur. The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained.
	on original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint Notification of Findings	
Date:	By:
Comments:	
Please check here if the con	aplainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
I do hereby affirm that the int	formation provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any
false, misleading or untrue statements,	accusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this
	or criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing and in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by
a definite term of imprisonment not exc	ceeding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00."
	cant in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator itnesses in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's
investigation, including, but not limited	to the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or
	ha confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding the section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S.
	3 (Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
I hereby acknowledge that I have read t	the preceding and understand its provisions.
Signed Thomas Pai	
	15 th day of Novembea 20 17
Sworn to and subscribed before me this	day of Novemben, 20 17
Witness: (Per F.S.S. 117.10)	



CITIZEN COMPLAINT

Witness (in ortholo)

Please Print	a 0.16		
	7 9:15am		7CAD 130310
Reference Complaint #: 1905F008	979 Deputy Taking Comp	laint: <u>Baures</u>	ID#: 82/109
Complainant: Lynn First	Middle	Covie	3r
Address: 1781 Duhos Lo	Jay City	State	32565 Zip Code
Home Phone:	Work Phone:	Cell Phone	:850-619-8485
Date and time incident occurred:			
Location/Address of occurrence:			
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s):			0-0-1-0-1-0
Witness: Linn Covie 178) Name	Street Address	Chy/State Ho	me Phone Work Phone
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)	. 0		
Nature of Allegation(s): OFFICES US Thomas from his	ed excessive to	orce in re ledrug The	moving of of
Put his hope in his	s back and har	idcuffed hi	m.Thomas
did not resist	officer. I w	as sitting	in the
passenger seat and	thought he hit	Thomas thr	ew the window
and then diapped,	nim. Thomas	CATCA IT	ve no drugs
that I was awa	re of I have	ver had my	medications
in My packet when	they sourched n	K. LBy now	other officers
had arrived at th	e grene. They	officers disc	cussed what
me what had be an	nd then 2 of th	em (latati	me) questioned
arresting officer ha	d used excessive	force. For	ce that I saw.
	اماما	ravonatized T	nomas as it would
any one treated like this	Page 1 of 2		Revised: 10/04/2007

Findings:	
Final Clearance:	
Exonerated	Proper conduct, An incident occurred as described, but the member was found not to be
Sustained	negligent or at fault. (Improper Conduct): The investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were
Not Sustained	found to be true. The investigation discloses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation.
Unfounded	The investigation revealed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur.
Partially Sustained Violation not based of	The incident has two or more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained. on original Complaint: Investigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation.
Complaint Notification of Findings:	
Date:	_ By:
Comments:	
Please check here if the comp	plainant refuses to have personal contact by a deputy.
false, misleading or untrue statements, accomplaint, may subject me to civil and/o with the intent to mislead a public servar a definite term of imprisonment not exce "Any person who is a participa conducting the investigation and any wit investigation, including, but not limited to documents furnished in connection with becomes public record as provided in the	ormation provided by me is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand That any occusations of allegations, herein made by me, either orally or in writing, to any person(s) investigating this or criminal liability under Florida State Statute 837.06, "Whoever knowingly makes false statement in writing it in the performance of his official duty shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the second degree punishable by edding 60 days and a fine of \$500.00." ant in an internal investigation, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator messes in the investigation, who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's to the identity of the deputy under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or a confidential internal investigation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding e section, commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S.'775.082 or F.S.S. (Penalty: up to 1 year in jail and/or up to \$1000.00 fine)
I hereby acknowledge that I have read th	e preceding and understand its provisions.
Signed: You and	
Sworn to and subscribed before me this	15 day of November 2017
Witness: (Per F.S.S. 117.10)	



Please Print			
Date and time of this complaint:	2/17/2017	Incident #:	
Reference Complaint #: SI# 104	Deputy Taking Compla	int: SGT. SEREMY SI	10V ID#: 24/177
Complainant: Karen	V.I	Drymmor	
First		Last	2 ~ ~ 1
Address: 406 6 May	nchester Court	Pace, F1	3257 Zip Code
Home Phone:	Work Phone:		4-554-6164
Date and time incident occurred: 12/1	7/17		
Location/Address of occurrence:	0.0 (110 01/2)	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Employee(s) involved in allegations(s):	DEPUTY CHRISTOPHER	. WALLACE	
Witness:Name	Street Address	City/State Home P	hone Work Phone
(List additional witnesses in narrative.)	Street Address	Chy/state Hone I	NOIR I HOILE
■ The second second control of the control of Australia Austra	inna and institute in the in	real to the cut	and an indicate from the
Nature of Allegation(s): I Kann Dru	100		
at noticed my time very flat. I			
I'm a home hearth purse and require	i my car towerk. My trie	was driveable, and:	I knew cowelget to tom
thunb I mile away, So Very	slowly left my home going to	get air right then, so	auld then make it toget
tire plugged. I was pervous and	only was thinking of jetting	air inmytire. I can	to Dosem and going about
5 miles an hour turned right (at	2 intersections) and we	ent very Slowley. Was s	cared to Fully stop as my
time was losing gir fast. I was ,	inst trying to get to Tom	thurb. I saw blue ligh	+Sand pulled ource immadiat
in some up, was rude and Said I wa			
was loud and I started to cry. He	e world license and ra	gistration and us I	was looking I was shaking
I was warred about the and being p	wheel over. I had given him	my license of Forgot	I was so scered. He bark
"I have it already, don't y	ou remember? LEE 40	udrunk lady"?	I buist into thes again an
Eplaned I was nervous. Again he			
the went to car, more ticket d	warning, the vary back	and by then (du to	drunk connent I was
balling. He then told me "c	I could have killed a	a child at the Sta	psign with driving like
backing. He then told me "co that". I be minded him is no louses but I didn't sig th	p was going 5 mpH, (a	nd of colorse it w	Thin he Said
SRSO 03-191	Page 1 of 2	e william and	Revised: 10/04/2007

"I was only gong to give you a warning but now your getting a ticket". I asked him to please give me a warning, a was only tring to get to Tom Thumb For air in ring the. He said "Too lake lady I've already within H". I reminded him he just Said "he was only giving me a warning." (clearly that was a be because he had belet we hand). He also beened to Enjoy taking a long time in his car, between I was losing air in my time, and very worried about it. As I drove off, after trying to make him she when I was revous and not drunk, I Explained to him "I don't drink Ever, I never have and didn't even drink on News year Eve."

I never have and didn't even drink on News year Eve."

I was very hum by this cops action and lack of empathing to while I was driving I was driving Slowely of trying first to do what if thought was beat, for my car. He was condescending to the his knownends to me.

PS. * he also rudely questioned me when I Explained about my tire. I "don't you have hoodside or something, and why don't you know how to change a tire".

1 Laren Drumond 12.17.17

Findings:	566	MEMORANDUM	
Actions Taken:	56	E MEMORAID WIM	
Final Clearance	:		
	Exonerated	Proper conduct, An incidence negligent or at fault.	dent occurred as described, but the member was found not to be
	Sustained		ne investigation revealed sufficient facts that the allegation(s) were
	Not Sustained Unfounded Partially Sustaine Violation not bas	The investigation revealed The incident has two or a	ses insufficient evidence to clearly prove or disprove the allegation. ed sufficient facts to indicate that the incident did not occur. more allegations, and at least one of the allegations is sustained. vestigation discloses violation(s) not mentioned in the initial allegation
Complaint Noti	fication of Findin	gs:	
Date:		By:	
Comments:			
Please c	heck here if the c	omplainant refuses to have pe	rsonal contact by a deputy.
false, misleading complaint, may s with the intent to a definite term of "Any p conducting the in investigation, inc documents furnis becomes public r	or untrue statement ubject me to civil an mislead a public se imprisonment not erson who is a parti- vestigation and any luding, but not limi hed in connection vecord as provided in	ts, accusations of allegations, here nd/or criminal liability under Flor ervant in the performance of his or exceeding 60 days and a fine of \$ icipant in an internal investigation witnesses in the investigation, we ted to the identity of the deputy unith a confidential internal investi-	n, including the complainant, the subject of the investigation, the investigator who willfully disclose any information obtained pursuant to the agency's under investigation, the nature of the questions asked, information revealed or igation or any agency before such complaint, document, action or proceeding eanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in F.S.S. 775.082 or F.S.S.
7/2/2	857	ad the preceding and understand is	ts provisions.
Signed:			
Sworn to and sub	scribed before me t	his day of	, 20
Witness:	(Per F.S.S. 117.	10)	

To: Lt. Smith and or Sgt. Snow

From: Deputy Christopher Wallace

Reference: Complaint from Karen Drummond

Date: 12-17-2017

On 12-17-2017, I was conducting traffic enforcement in my patrol vehicle facing a southern direction on West Cambridge Way, in Pace, Florida. I was positioned at 6001 West Cambridge approximately forty yards from, in plain view of the intersection at West Cambridge and Manchester Court. I observed a gray Nissan S.U.V. fail to stop at the intersection. I followed the vehicle traveling south to the intersection of West Cambridge and Dundee Crossing where the vehicle failed to stop at the stop sign at that intersection as well.

I initiated a traffic stop on the above mentioned vehicle bearing the tag of 894QDY facing a western direction. I observed the vehicle's driver's side rear tire to be flat to the point where the rim was almost on the ground. I approached the vehicle, introduced myself and asked the driver (Karen Drummond) if she knew why I had stopped her. Karen advised she did not. I informed Karen I stopped her vehicle due to her running two stop signs and driving on a flat tire. Karen informed me she was trying to get the tire fixed and that was the reason she was driving the way she was. I asked Karen if she knew her tire was flat, why she was driving on it. Karen informed me she did not know how to change a tire. I asked her if she had AAA or any kind of roadside assistance to which she did not.

I asked Karen if she had a valid driver's license. Karen said she did and gave it to me. I asked Karen if she had proof of insurance and registration for the vehicle. Karen reached into her glove box and pulled out an envelope with a great deal of paperwork in it. Karen began searching through the paperwork for the required documents, pulled out her registration and placed it on her lap. Karen provided the insurance information, but did not provide the registration which again, was in plain view on her lap. Karen began fumbling through paperwork and her wallet for a few moments. Karen then stated, "I'm trying to find my license." and kept searching frantically through her wallet. I informed Karen I already had her license; I needed the vehicle registration. Karen continued to search for her registration through a stack of papers she had in the envelope. I informed Karen that I believed her registration was on her lap and that it was labeled registration at the top of the page. Karen

gave me her registration as instructed. Karen appeared very nervous and was very fidgety throughout the process. She looked at the registration at least twice before she gave it to me. Due to Karen's driving pattern, the fact that she was driving on a flat tire, her nervousness and because she had trouble focusing on simple tasks like providing me with her credentials (which are all signs of being impaired) I asked her if she had anything to drink this morning. Karen advised me she had not, and that she did not drink. Karen became very defensive and agitated, asking me if I thought she was drunk. I advised her, "No mam. I was just wondering if you had anything to drink today." I did not smell any odor of alcohol emitting from the vehicle or Karen, so I continued with the traffic stop.

I asked Karen where she was headed. Karen informed me she was on her way to work, that she was a nurse. I asked Karen where she worked at to which she said, "Home healthcare". I informed Karen that my wife was a nurse as well. I noticed Karen was wearing what appeared to be gym type clothing which included a tank top and gym shorts. I politely asked Karen if she normally had this type clothing when she went to work, because my wife had to wear scrubs. Karen changed her story, admitting she was going to the gas station to fill up her tire so she could go to work. Karen went on to say she was preparing to go to work when she noticed her tire was flat; that's why she was going to the store. I informed her that I understood and walked back to my patrol car.

After determining Karen's credentials were valid and up to date, I issued her a citation for running one of the stop signs and a written warning for driving with faulty/unsafe equipment. I approached the vehicle again and explained to Karen that I had given her a written warning for driving a vehicle with faulty equipment and only one citation for running two stop signs. Karen immediately started crying asking me if I could just give her a warning. I advised Karen that was not possible due to the nature of the violation. I explained to Karen that stop signs are very important especially in residential neighborhoods due to children awaiting busses in the morning and the general safety of the public, including residents within the neighborhood. I informed Karen I would not feel right letting this kind of violation go because if a child was struck by a vehicle in the area; I would feel horrible. I asked Karen if she had children to which she advised me she did. I asked her if the intersection where she initially committed the traffic offense was where her children got on the bus. Karen admitted it was, but there were no children present at the time and she wouldn't have hit them anyway because she was only traveling at around four miles an hour when the offense was committed. I informed her it was irrelevant how fast she was going at the time of the offense and that all drivers are to stop at posted signs.

Karen then advised me that she was close personal friends with Roman Jackson and asked if I could call him in reference to changing the citation from a ticket to a warning to which I informed her I would not. I explained the ticket was already written, informing Roman Jackson of her traffic offense had nothing to do with the violation and would not change the fact that she committed the violation. Karen wanted to argue with me advising the ticket could be changed to a warning and that I should write her a warning for her running the stop sign and write her a ticket for the tire so it would not affect her insurance premiums. I explained to Karen that I paid insurance as well and understood how she felt. Karen continued to cry and acted very distraught.

I informed Karen that I did not think it was safe to drive with her tire the way it was and if she needed me to, I was more than willing to assist her to which she declined. Karen informed me she was going to drive to the store with the tire the way it was. I started walking to my car and Karen (still crying and distraught) yelled, "Well, God bless you and have a Merry Christmas!" Karen left the scene driving the vehicle with the flat tire. I concluded the traffic stop.

As I turned my vehicle around to continue traffic enforcement, the resident (white male) at the corner of Dundee Crossing and Jameson Circle (6034 Jameson Circle) flagged me down thanking me for enforcing the traffic laws in the area because none of the residents that pass by seem to stop at the stop signs and speed throughout the neighborhood as if it was a freeway. He informed me this type of driving occurs on a regular basis and he was grateful someone was doing something about it. I informed him that I knew this was a cut through to other neighborhoods and anticipated there would be numerous traffic violations in the area. I agreed to continue enforcing traffic laws in the area as much as I could.

After the incident, I had an alarm call in the north end of the district, but returned to conduct traffic enforcement in the area as requested. I parked in front of the same residence as I was earlier in an effort to enforce traffic laws. After several minutes, the resident at 6001 West Cambridge Way exited his home and walked past my patrol car. I rolled down my window and asked him if he minded that I was parked in front of his home to which he stated, "No. I appreciate you being in the area." I advised him I was just trying to slow drivers and make sure they were stopping at posted signs. The man advised me I could sit in front of his home any time and actually offered for me to utilize his driveway if I wished when conducting traffic

enforcement. The resident went on to say people in the area come "flying down this road" and again thanked me for enforcing the traffic laws.

This statement is in reference to citation number A978J3E if you need to reference the violation. Thank you for your continued support.

Deputy Wallace Unit# 170/955