

**SANFORD POLICE DEPARTMENT  
2017 CITIZEN COMPLAINT ALLEGATIONS**

COMPLAINT CATEGORY	2017					
	ALLEGATION TOTAL	SUSTAINED TOTAL	ALLEGATION TOTAL	SUSTAINED TOTAL	ALLEGATION TOTAL	SUSTAINED TOTAL
IMPROPER PROCEDURE	<b>35</b>	<b>2</b>				
DISCOURTESY	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>				
UNNECESSARY USE OF FORCE	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>				
HARASSMENT	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>				
IMPROPER MEMBER CONDUCT	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>				
BIASED BASED POLICING	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>				

<b>Complaint Category Definitions</b>	
CATEGORY	DEFINITION
<b>Improper Procedure</b>	Circumstances where an administrative or procedural requirement was not met. This includes, but is not limited to improper search and seizure, omission of the Miranda Warning where required, etc.
<b>Discourtesy)</b>	Circumstances where the actions or statements of a Department member were in violation of the Code of Ethics or Rules of Conduct of the Department based upon the context of the contact with the complainant. For example, the use of ethnic slurs would be classified as discourtesy.
<b>Excessive Use of Force</b>	Circumstances where a member of the Department used more force than reasonably necessary to arrest a suspect, take a suspect into custody, stop a suspect for investigation, control a situation, restore order, or maintain discipline.
<b>Harassment</b>	Circumstances where a member of the Department has had repeated or continued contact with a person without lawful police justification.
<b>Improper Member Conduct</b>	Circumstances where the behavior of a member was unprofessional, unjustified, beyond the scope of the authority of the member, unauthorized by Department procedures, or constituted an unreasonable lack of police service.
<b>Bias-Based Policing</b>	Circumstances where the police actions of a member were substantially based on the race, ethnicity, gender, age, sexual orientation, religious beliefs, disabilities, or national origin of a person, rather than upon lawful and appropriate police procedures.