

Phoenix Police Department

PUBLIC RECORDS
Released pursuant to
A.R.S. 39-121, Et. Seq.

Professional Standards
Employee Resume
Officer Alexander J Kubes [10954]

Part I - Personal Information

Name: Officer Alexander J Kubes
Network User Name: 10954 Badge No: 10954 Hire Dt: 10/21/2019

Division: Patrol Division
Bureau: Mountain View Precinct
Work Unit: P72

Part II - Incidents

Administrative Inquiry (INQ) IA #: INQ20-0661 Case #: 200000001136696

Received date: Jul 10, 2020 Occurred date: Jul 8, 2020

Classification: Use of Force

Allegation(s):

Undue Force - Against prisoner - Unfounded - Jul 29, 2020

Disciplinary action(s)

<None>

Incident summary:

On 7/10/20, [REDACTED] called PSB to complain about two male officers who took her to the [REDACTED] in Peoria two days ago. [REDACTED] said she asked for a supervisor upon her arrival at the [REDACTED] which they never provided. She also stated one officer picked her up off the ground by neck and choked her. She said she was in handcuffs at the time and they bent her at the waist and held her arms up high behind her back, hurting her shoulder. [REDACTED] said this occurred right outside the entry door to the [REDACTED]. She stated she did not think the officers had the their BWC on at the time.

[REDACTED] did not know the incident number but provided that she called 911 stating she was [REDACTED] at her residence. PSB found incident 20-1136696 that occurred on 7/8/20. Officers Michael Ferreria #10080 and Alexander Kubes #10954 took disposition of the call for service.

PSB reviewed the two officers' cameras starting around the 33 minute mark as they worked with the nursing staff to transition [REDACTED] to the facility. Between the two cameras, PSB observed Officer Ferreria tell Officer Kubes they would take her inside then go around to [REDACTED]. Officer Ferreria reminded Officer Kubes about officer safety and to be prepared for her to [REDACTED]. When Officer Ferreria opened the driver's side rear door, [REDACTED] asked for a supervisor or watch commander. Officer Ferreria told her he would request one but that she needed to leave the vehicle. [REDACTED] said she did not want to go inside because she had been raped at this location prior. Officer Ferreria removed [REDACTED] from the Tahoe and the officers escorted [REDACTED]

to the entry door where [REDACTED] alleged altercation occurred.

The call was dispositioned an "N" for the transport.

This inquiry is being sent to Sergeant Joe Bruno #8880 for fact finding and disposition.

*****AJS 8676 7/10/20*****

On July 8, I received a phone call from Officer Ferreira notifying me of the call he and Officer in Training Kubes had that dealt with [REDACTED] a subject well known to officers based on the numerous calls for service at her residence. This call for service (1136696) was a follow up call stemming from an earlier call (1136440). This call stemmed from [REDACTED] telling 911 operators she will [REDACTED] if officers do not come to her house and resulted in [REDACTED] being transported to [REDACTED] which she agreed to.

Fact Finding Interview with Officer Ferreria

During my phone call with Officer Ferreria, he told me that [REDACTED] had claimed he had grabbed her around the neck while walking her into [REDACTED]. Officer Ferreira said as he and Officer Kubes were escorting [REDACTED] who was cuffed with her hands to the rear, [REDACTED] attempted to head butt him. He said he prevented her from doing this by putting his hand to the back of [REDACTED] head, and with the help of Officer Kubes, push her head and body forward maintain some space and to gain compliance from [REDACTED]. I told him I would review his Body Worn Camera and review the incident.

Fact Finding Interview with Officer Kubes

Officer Kubes stated he was standing to the right of [REDACTED] assisting Officer Ferreira in escorting [REDACTED] in to the facility when [REDACTED] flung her head towards Officer Ferreira. He said he maintained control [REDACTED] with one hand on her right arm and pressed down on the back of her head as they walked her in to the facility.

Body Worn Camera of Officer Ferreira

- At the 35:10 mark, Officer Ferreira and Officer Kubes are trying to get [REDACTED] out of the back seat of the patrol vehicle. [REDACTED] asks for a supervisor, to which Officer Ferreira tells her she will get him one if she gets out of the vehicle.
- [REDACTED] does not get of the vehicle on her own accord and must be removed by officers.
- At the 35:51 mark just as [REDACTED] is at the entrance to the facility, she appears to try and fling her head towards Officer Ferreira. Officer Ferreira, with his left hand, catches [REDACTED] chin or cheek area, then transitions to the back of her head for compliance. He then instructs Officer Kubes on how to control [REDACTED].

Body Worn Camera of Officer Kubes

- At approximately the 35:00 mark, Officer Kubes is assisting Officer Ferreira in escorting [REDACTED] into the facility.
- [REDACTED] is seen on his Body Worn Camera flinging her head towards Officer Ferreira. Officer Kubes has both of his hands on [REDACTED] right arm and Officer Ferreira is seen placing his right hand behind [REDACTED] head, forcing her away from them.

Conclusion

Based on the review of each officer's Body Worn Camera and fact-finding interviews:

- [REDACTED] claims that officers did not have Body Worn Cameras was obviously incorrect.
- [REDACTED] also claims on her recorded phone call to PSB that both officers were wearing hats was incorrect.
- A Field Interrogation card was completed under Incident Number 20-1136440
- In reviewing both officers' Body Worn Cameras, I found no evidence that [REDACTED] was picked up by the neck and choked.
- [REDACTED] is clearly seen on both camera's flinging her head towards Officer Ferreira in what appears to be an attempt to head butt him. Officer Ferreira makes contact with [REDACTED] chin and cheek area to push [REDACTED] head away from him in order to stop that attack. His hand is then placed on the back of [REDACTED] head in attempt to control her movements in order to prevent another attack.
- [REDACTED] has been the subject of 40 calls for service since May 15. I responded to her residence the day after she was petitioned because she was worried a business was being ran out of the house she lived in ([REDACTED]). She made no mention of this incident during the contact.

On July 22, I placed a phone call to [REDACTED] from my desk phone to notify her of my findings to both of the listed numbers and there was no answer.

On July 23, I placed a follow up phone call to [REDACTED] from my desk phone to notify her of my findings, and again there was no answer.

I found no evidence of any misconduct or policy violation by either officer. This concludes this inquiry.

Approved JJ 6069

Administrative Inquiry (INQ) IA #: INQ21-0373 Case #: 202100000635549 Role - Back-up Officer

Received date: Apr 28, 2021 Occurred date: Apr 24, 2021

Classification: Service Complaint

Allegation(s):

Improper Police Action - Failed to arrest evicted tenant for trespassing - Unfounded - Apr 29, 2021
4.10.3.F.(1) Ops 10/15 Arrest Without A Warrant

Disciplinary action(s)

<None>

Incident summary:

On April 24, 2021, the Professional Standards Bureau (PSB) received an online complaint from Mr. Gudeta Fufaa. Mr. Fufaa was upset that officers did not remove a constable evicted male subject from the rented property at [REDACTED]. Mr. Fufaa said the evicted male returned to the residence after being evicted and broke into the property. Mr. Fufaa said the police failed to help, therefore contacting the PSB.

The Professional Standards Bureau investigators reviewed the call for service and the body-worn cameras related to the incident. This incident does not rise to the level of a PSB investigation.

Further fact-finding and disposition will rest with the officers' chain of command. This inquiry will be forwarded to Sergeant Erick Selvius #8745 via BlueTeam. Please determine if Officer Gregory Wilson #10351, Officer Lauren Donovan #10995, Officer Brandon Lawhorn #10746, (82K) Officer Alexander Kubes, and Officer Mitchell Glasmann #11084 completed their investigation.

Please contact the complainant to gather any additional facts needed to complete this BlueTeam or potential investigation. Once the BlueTeam/Investigation is completed, please recontact the complainant and advise them of the final disposition. Please forward through the chain of command for review and approval when completed.

From: Complaints Commend PPD
Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2021 17:26
To: Hector Gamez
Subject: Fw: emcommend - Form Submission
From: [REDACTED]@phoenix.gov
Sent: Saturday, April 24, 2021 11:11 AM
To: Complaints Commend PPD
Subject: emcommend - Form Submission

FROM : Gudeta Fufaa

AREA : [REDACTED]

PHONE : [REDACTED]

E-MAIL : [REDACTED]@yhoo.com

ADDRESS : [REDACTED]

CITY : [REDACTED]

STATE : [REDACTED]

ZIP : [REDACTED]

Date of Incident : 4/24/2021

Time of Incident : 9:30am

Location of Incident : [REDACTED]

Officer Name(s) : 21-635549

Summary of Incident : An evicted tenant broke into my property after it was evicted by the county constable and a criminal trespass notice has been posted on the window of the property. I called the police to get the tenant removed and jailed per the provision of the state eviction law and police department failed to help. I would like to appeal for immediate investigation and resolution to this matter.

With regards,

Gudeta Fufaa

Submission ID: [REDACTED]

Form Submission On : 4/24/2021 11:11:29 AM

Referer: <https://phoenix.gov/police/commendation-complaint-form>

*****Brown 8035/ 4-28-2021*****

*****Attempt contact with Gudeta Fufaa*****

On 04/29/2021 at 0806 hours I attempted telephone contact with Mr. Gudeta Fufaa at [REDACTED]. There was no answer and the phone went directly to voicemail. I identified myself as Sgt. Selvius #8745 and left a message leaving my duty cell phone number for him to call me at his earliest convenience.

*****Follow up with Officer Wilson*****

On 04/29/2021 at approximately 0815 hours I followed up with Officer Wilson who was the primary Officer on scene. On 04/24/2021 Officer Wilson called me and advised me of the call he was on. I advised Officer Wilson we would not be forcing entry into the house to arrest a trespasser. Officer Wilson stated Mr. Gudeta Fufaa told them that the subject, Mr. Leon Bryant was evicted 3 days prior to 04/24/2021. Mr. Gudeta Fufaa stated he, himself changed the locks on the property while Mr. Bryant's property was still inside the residence. Mr. Bryant returned and cut the lock, forcing entry into the residence to remove his property. Officer Wilson advised, Mr. Gudeta Fufaa told him the constable had placed a notice on the door stating no trespassing. I advised Officer Wilson there is a 14 day grace period for the tenant to get his stuff out of the house and recommended telling Mr. Gudeta Fufaa to obtain an order or protection or injunction against harassment as well to assist with getting Mr. Bryant out of the house. Officer Wilson relayed the information to Mr. Gudeta Fufaa and advised him we would not be forcing Mr. Bryant out of the house to arrest him for trespassing.

I reviewed the body worn camera from the Officers assigned to this call and did not observe any policy violations.

*****Conversation with Mr. Gudeta Fufaa*****

On 04/29/2021 at approximately 1015 hours Mr. Gudeta Fufaa arrived at the Maryvale Precinct to have an injunction against harassment served against Mr. Leon Bryant. I arrived at the station to contact Mr. Gudeta Fufaa. My body worn camera was activated during my conversation with Mr. Gudeta Fufaa. He was very cooperative and receptive from our conversation. Mr. Gudeta Fufaa advised he contacted his attorney and his attorney stated the 14 day grace period for Mr. Bryant is over on 05/05/2021. I advised Mr. Gudeta Fufaa if Mr. Bryant arrives at the house he needs to contact police in order to get any remaining items out of the house. If Mr. Bryant forces entry into the home then he is trespassing and Officers will attempt to arrest him. Mr. Gudeta Fufaa said he initially filed a complaint because he was upset at Officers for not arresting Mr. Bryant. After our conversation Mr. Gudeta Fufaa understood landlord/tenant act more clearly and was appreciative of our service. I advised him there was no policy violations from any of the Officers and that I would document our contact.

*****Conclusion*****

There were no policy violations during this incident and Mr. Gudeta Fufaa was informed of the final disposition.

Administrative Inquiry (INQ) IA #: INQ22-0158 Case #:

Received date: Feb 22, 2022 Occurred date: Feb 19, 2022

Classification: Service Complaint

Allegation(s):

<None>

Disciplinary action(s)

<None>

Incident summary:

On February 21, 2022, the Professional Standards Bureau (PSB) received an email through the Complaints and Commendation portal from Ms. Annda R. Claytor. Ms. Claytor complained Phoenix Police officers wanted her to pick up her runaway daughter [REDACTED] after she explained to officers that her daughter was [REDACTED] and had a warrant for her arrest. Ms. Claytor did not feel safe around [REDACTED] due to being assaulted by her in the past. Ms. Claytor also complained that "officers did not know what [REDACTED] is." Ms. Claytor further complained that officers involved the [REDACTED] when she was only trying to protect [REDACTED]

PSB investigators researched and found incident number 202200000272491, Found Juvenile. PSB investigators reviewed the body-worn cameras (BWC) of Officers Christopher Sidwa #10270, Fernando Olivares #10870, Russell Roe #10884, Alexander Kubes #10954, and Aldo Nunez #10984.

Officers Sidwa, Olivares, Roe, Kubes, and Nunez responded to an unwanted guess call at [REDACTED]. Officers contacted Mr. James Lechuga and [REDACTED] at the residence. Ms. Guzman was a runaway juvenile from [REDACTED], but had no warrant. Officer Kubes contacted [REDACTED] Ms. Claytor, by telephone to take custody. Ms. Claytor explained to Officer Kubes that [REDACTED] was [REDACTED] and would not take custody of [REDACTED]. Ms. Claytor said [REDACTED] had assaulted her in the past. Ms. Claytor informed Officer Kubes that [REDACTED] had a [REDACTED] and he should take her in. Officer Kubes did not understand the acronym for [REDACTED]. Officer Kubes contacted the [REDACTED] for help and to possibly take custody of [REDACTED]. After Officer Kubes spoke with DCS, Officer Kubes verified with the [REDACTED] if [REDACTED] had a warrant. [REDACTED] told Officer Kubes a warrant was valid for [REDACTED] but was not currently in the system. Officer Guzman transported [REDACTED] where [REDACTED] was exchanged.

PSB investigators contacted Ms. Claytor by phone. Ms. Claytor's complaints were the same but emphasized her

"disgust" Officer Kubes told [REDACTED] that she did not want her anymore. PSB investigators advised Ms. Claytor that Officer Kubes's supervisor would be made aware of the incident and possibly seek [REDACTED] training for Officer Kubes and his squad. Ms. Claytor said that would be great. Ms. Claytor appreciated the contact from PSB investigators to discuss her issues and concerns.

PSB investigators contacted Sgt. Eric Moser #9299 by email and informed him of the incident and complaint. PSB investigators advised Sgt. Moser on seeking [REDACTED] for Officer Kubes and his squad.

Based on the available information, this incident does not rise to the level of a PSB investigation. PSB investigators found Ms. Claytor's complaints to be true. Officer Kubes did not know what [REDACTED] meant and called [REDACTED] Both are not misconduct or policy violations.

This Administrative Inquiry will be closed and retained in accordance with policy.

Sgt. Brown/PSB/03022022

Administrative Inquiry (INQ) IA #: INQ22-0603 Case #: 202200000765593 Role - Primary Officer

Received date: Jul 6, 2022 Occurred date: May 21, 2022

Classification: Service Complaint

Allegation(s):

Improper Police Action - Exonerated - Sep 12, 2022

Disciplinary action(s)

No Further Action Required Sep 12, 2022 Days/hrs suspended: 0

Incident summary:

Citizen Complaint |

Allegation 1: Improper Police Action - Citizen alleged an officer improperly impounded his vehicle from private property following his arrest

Findings: Exonerated

Action Taken: No further action required

Complaint received by Sgt. Jackson, Professional Standards Bureau:

On July 6, 2022, Mr. Marlo Stanek walked into the Professional Standards Bureau (PSB) and met with PSB investigators. Mr. Stanek explained he was arrested on May 21, 2022, at [REDACTED] for an outstanding warrant. Mr. Stanek said when he was arrested, he was working on his vehicle in a private parking lot, and the officers who arrested him did not allow him to make arrangements to have someone pick up his vehicle even though he told the officers he could have someone pick up his vehicle. Mr. Stanek said when he was arrested, he was in jail for forty-one (41) days, and when he got out of jail, Western Towing wanted \$2000.00 to release his vehicle. Mr. Stanek also said he believed Western Towing may have contacted the loan company of the vehicle when he was in jail, but he was not sure. Mr. Stanek said his vehicle was parked in a private parking lot, and his vehicle should not have been towed.

PSB investigators reviewed Officer Alexander Kubes' #10954 supplemental report from IR202200000765590, and the Phoenix Police Department Vehicle Removal Form Officer Kubes completed in reference to Mr. Stanek's vehicle. Officer Kubes noted in his report that on May 23, 2022, he had Mr. Stanek's red Chevrolet impala towed by Western Towing for safekeeping.

Based on the available information, this incident does not rise to the level of a PSB investigation. Further fact finding and disposition will rest with the officers' chain of command. This inquiry was forwarded to Sergeant Billy Beauchamp #8834 via Blue Team. Please contact the complainant to gather any additional facts you may need to complete this Blue Team or potential investigation. Please determine if Mr. Stanek's vehicle was towed appropriately. Once you have completed the Blue Team/investigation, please re-contact the complainant and advise them of the final disposition. When completed, please forward through your chain of command for review and approval.

*****JJ #9247 07/06/2022*****

On July 15, 2022, Mr. Stanek called PSB and spoke to investigators. Mr. Stanek wanted an update on this inquiry. Mr. Stanek was told the complaint was documented and was sent out to the supervisor of the officer who towed the car.

On August 15, 2022, at approximately 1 p.m., Mr. Stanek visited the Professional Standards Bureau in person and requested to speak with Sgt. Jackson. Sgt. Jackson was not available and Mr. Stanek politely discussed his high level of frustration that he has not heard anything from the supervisor at the precinct where this incident occurred. Mr. Stanek said he wanted to discuss the status of his complaint with Sgt. Jackson and what additional options might be available to him to resolve his concerns. - Det. Cosner 8/15/2022

Field investigation by Sgt. Beauchamp, Mountain View Precinct:

On 09/02/2022 at approximately 2010 hours, I called Mr. Stanek with no answer. I left a message with my telephone number and stated I would be doing follow up and give him a call the next morning.

09/03/2022 at 0555 hours, I called Mr. Stanek by telephone. I received no answer and left another message. I will be calling again later this evening.

09/12/2022 at 0511 hours, I called Mr. Stanek by telephone. I received no answer and left another message. I explained in the message to call me back and left my desk number [REDACTED]. I explained to Mr. Stanek via recorded voice message I would be closing out his complaint if I did not hear from him today.

09/12/2022 at 2249 hours, I have not heard from Mr. Stanek and could not get in touch with him to explain my completion of this investigation.

After review of Body Worn Camera from Officer Kubes of the day of this incident, I was able to determine Officer Kubes to act in a very kind, courteous, and professional manner during his contact. Officer Kubes explained to Mr. Stanek why he was being arrested and they would have to tow his vehicle from the property. Mr. Stanek never complained of this and in fact stated he was going to have his vehicle towed from the property anyway. During the officers call and investigation it was apparent the driver side of Mr. Stanek's vehicle could not be rolled up or secured properly. Mr. Stanek had a plethora of personal belongings with him scattered throughout the vehicle and outside on the floor as it appeared Mr. Stanek may have been living out of his vehicle. To keep Mr. Stanek's vehicle, personal belongings inside the vehicle safe and in the best interest of Mr. Stanek, the officers impounded the vehicle for safe keeping to avoid theft or vandalism. The area the vehicle was in was a high crime neighborhood and was very visible in the public view. The Officers had to make an arrest of Mr. Stanek due to his outstanding felony warrants.

After review of report, further fact finding, and interview of Officer Kubes, and review of Body Worn Camera it is found Officer Kubes was in policy during the incident involving this call. The complaint is unfounded and there is no further action taken or needed at this time.

9/12/2022

PSB administrative note:

Per Lt. Gonzalez (Mountain View Precinct) on 9/13/2022 via BlueTeam routing notes -

"Mr. Stanek contacted PSB alleging his vehicle was improperly towed which resulted in a storage fee of approximately 2000.00 dollars. He expressed frustration he had no police contact from the immediate supervisor of officers involved.

Sgt Beauchamp contacted Mr Stanek on two different dates to inform Mr. Stanek of the findings and to gather any further information from the complainant and he received no response after leaving voice messages for contact.

I reviewed all BWC and attachments; after doing so I'm in agreement there is no policy violations on the part of officers involved and the complaint be exonerated with no further action - please forward through the chain of command for review and approval of findings."

Per Commander Fields (Mountain View Precinct) on 9/13/2022 via BlueTeam routing notes -

"I agree with the assessment of both Sgt. Beauchamp and Lt. Gonzalez. Officers were professional and conducted themselves with respect to Mr. Stanek. Furthermore, both Officers acted in the volition of their duties, and impounded the car to ensure the contents were safe in accordance to, "safe-keeping" operational protocol.

No employee misconduct. Forward to PSB for review and closure."

KC8929/PSB - 9/13/2022

Police Vehicle Crash (PVC) IA #: PVC22-0184 Case #: 202200001354010 Role - Driver

Received date: Oct 5, 2022 Occurred date: Sep 8, 2022

Classification: Other Investigation

Allegation(s):

Police Vehicle Collision - Non-Reportable - Oct 10, 2022

Disciplinary action(s)

NOI Served Sep 9, 2022 Days/hrs suspended: 0

Draft Completed Sep 21, 2022 Days/hrs suspended: 0

Draft to Employee Sep 21, 2022 Days/hrs suspended: 0

IRP Waived Sep 22, 2022 Days/hrs suspended: 0

Draft Returned Sep 22, 2022 Days/hrs suspended: 0

VCU Sgt Review - Non-Reportable Oct 10, 2022 Days/hrs suspended: 0

NOF Signed Nov 3, 2022 Days/hrs suspended: 0

Incident summary:

On September 8, 2022, at approximately 0908 hours, while on-duty, Officer Kubes #10954 was westbound in the area of North 36th Street and East McDowell Road looking for a subject passed out in a vehicle [REDACTED] Mrs. Turner was stopped in the left hand turn lane for eastbound traffic on East McDowell Road to make a right turn onto North 36th Street. As Officer Kubes passed her, his drivers side view mirror struck the drivers side view mirror of Mrs. Turner's Kia Sorento. The damage to the Police Tahoe required the housing to be snapped back on, while the damage to Mrs. Turner's vehicle was a broken drivers side view mirror. There was no further damage to either vehicle. Officer Kubes advised Sergeant Wesley #5372 who responded to the scene.

Officer Welch #9374 completed the accident report and took photographs of the scene as well as both vehicles involved in the collision. The marked Police Tahoe, Vehicle 011770, required the drivers side view mirror housing to be snapped back on, and Mrs. Turners Kia Sorento had damage to the drivers side view mirror.

VCU Review: 10/10/2022
VCU Determination: In Policy
~SEG A6009-----11/1/2022~

Administrative Inquiry (INQ) IA #: INQ22-1108 Case #: 202200001520119

Received date: Dec 8, 2022 Occurred date: Oct 9, 2022

Classification: Attention to Duty

Allegation(s):

Missing Property - Unfounded - Jan 12, 2023

Disciplinary action(s)

No Further Action Required Jan 12, 2023 Days/hrs suspended: 0

Incident summary:

Citizen Complaint |

Allegation: Missing Property - Citizen alleged his personal property was not properly impounded or secured following his arrest, and is now lost

Findings: Unfounded

Action Taken: No further action required

Complaint received by Sgt. Rincon, Professional Standards Bureau:

On December 6, 2022, Mr. Christopher Jones Yewcic called the Professional Standards Bureau (PSB) and said he wanted to make a complaint. After his release from jail, Mr. Yewcic could not locate his wallet and cell phone from his prisoner property or at any police facility. He could GPS his cell phone and noticed it was tracking to an unknown residence, not a police station. Mr. Yewcic did not provide the cell phone's location during the phone call. Mr. Yewcic claimed that during the arrest, Officer Alexander Kubes #10954 told him his personal property, which included cigarettes and a wallet, would be placed inside his apartment.

PSB investigators found his arrest on October 09, 2022, at 0436 hours and reviewed the associated body-worn camera (BWC) footage. The BWC video footage showed Officer Kubes, along with Officer Ryan Schrank #10994, taking Mr. Yewcic into custody for Misconduct Involving Weapons. During the encounter, Officer Kubes placed Mr.

Yewcic's cell phone on top of the police Tahoe. Mr. Yewcic did not possess an actual wallet but had loose cash and some cards, which included a debit/credit card and Arizona ID. PSB investigators could see the items taken from Mr. Yewcic's back right pocket on Officer Kubes and Officer Schrank's BWC. Officer Kubes then walked to Mr. Yewcic's apartment and placed all items on the kitchen counter at the request of Mr. Yewcic. Officer Xavier Parra Jimenez #10805 occupied the apartment as an official crime scene.

PSB investigators observed Officer Parra Jimenez inside the apartment, gathering evidence after Mr. Yewcic admitted to firing his handgun inside his apartment. Officer Parra Jimenez's BWC shows Officer Kubes enter the apartment, where he placed the loose items on the kitchen counter. PSB investigators observed Mr. Yewcic's property on the counter by the front door as Officer Parra Jimenez walked out of the apartment, closing the door behind him. PSB investigators could not determine if officers had the front door secured adequately. Officer Kubes handed Officer Parra Jimenez keys to the apartment and explained to "grab a sweatshirt" for Mr. Yewcic and to "lock up as you leave," indicating to secure the apartment. PSB investigators could not locate any BWC activation from Officer Parra Jimenez showing him entering the apartment and locking it with the key.

PSB investigators reviewed the original report and did not locate the cell phone listed as property or evidence.

Officer Kubes and Officer Parra Jimenez drove away to complete the booking process. There were no BWC activations from either officer from this point forward while Mr. Yewcic was in police custody. PSB investigators could not locate any BWCs showing the cell phone being recovered. PSB investigators reviewed the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office (MCSO) prisoner property report and did not find a cell phone listed.

PSB investigators spoke to Mr. Yewcic on December 19, 2022, via telephone. Mr. Yewcic said he was released from jail 48 hours later (10/11/2022), and when he returned to his apartment, he noticed that his "wallet," which consisted of loose cash with some cards secured by a rubber band, was found by the front door of his apartment. Mr. Yewcic said it appeared he was missing money because the amount seemed lower than he remembered, but he was not 100 percent positive.

Mr. Yewcic explained that his cell phone was still missing at the time of the arrest, but he could track it to an unknown address. Mr. Yewcic explained that the address was "a couple of miles southwest" of Mountain View Precinct. The GPS track shows a house with a pool in the backyard.

Based on the available information, this incident does not rise to the level of a PSB investigation. This inquiry was forwarded to Sergeant L' Tresea Aberra #10111 via Blue Team. Please gather any additional facts regarding the cellphone to complete the Blue Team or potential investigation. Please forward this through your chain of command for review and approval when finished.

SR 8639 12062022

Field investigation by Sgt. Aberra, Mountain View Precinct:

Mr. Yewcic stated his property was not left on the kitchen counter or an additional counter where he would normally leave his items. Mr. Yewcic was taken into custody for a Shannon's Law violation. He advises the officers to get a house key from his vehicle to secure his apartment and his property. After speaking to the officers on scene, they state they removed all of his property and properly placed it his home, locked and secured it. I watched all body worn camera regarding this incident and it shows Officer Kubes placing items belonging to Mr, Yewcic on his kitchen counter. Officer Jimenez reminds Officer Kubes in the video to lock the apartment and both officers exit his residence. Based on the information provided and speaking to both arresting officers, no violations from officers were discovered.

On 1/7/2023 I attempted to contact Mr. Yewcic via the number he has listed to see if he could provide any additional information or had any additional concerns. The number he has provided is no longer in service.

1/12/2023

PSB administrative note:

Per Commander Fields (Mountain View Precinct) on 1/30/2023 via BlueTeam routing notes -

"All indicia associated to the listed incident was reviewed. I agree with Sgt. Aberra and Lt. Gonzalez assessment. The totality of review, to include review of Body-Worn Camera video, from Officers presents, clearly depicts a chain-of-custody of Mr. Christopher Yewcic's property being placed, and secured, inside his listed residence at the time of contact. In addition, Body-Worn Camera video depicts Mr. Yewcic advising Officers that his residential keys are inside his vehicle, which would be used to secure his personal items inside his residence.

No employee misconduct. Forward to PSB for review and closure."

KC8929/DOJIU - 2/10/2023

Printed: Aug 11, 2023 11:11 By: Administrative Aide Rhonda Billingslea

PUBLIC RECORD REQUEST
(Public)
Phoenix Police Department
Professional Standards Bureau Records

On April 5, 2023, PSB received a public record request (**PR2023490566**) from Laura Moise.

Regarding Employee(s):

- Alexander Kubes #10954

Information Requested:

1. PSB History

Investigation number(s):

- No Specific Investigation Requested

Records have been redacted: Yes No No Redaction Necessary .

If redacted, the following information has been omitted:

Any Protected personal identifying, medical and/or criminal history information.

Documents Released and/or Information:

1. Complete and redacted PSB History for above listed employee(s), current as of August 11, 2023

PSB21-0002-Open/
Unavailable

Rhonda D. Billingslea A6857 08/11/2023

Redaction check/review done by:
(Signature/Date)

Jessica Foltz A6214 09/01/2023

Administrative Assistant II
(Signature/Date)

Emailed Picked Up Hand Delivered: Received By _____ Date: _____

* PSB only maintains records five years from the date of completion

** Arizona Revised Statute 38-1109, "An employer shall not include in that portion of the personnel file of a law enforcement officer or probation officer that is available for public inspection and copying any information about an investigation until the investigation is complete or the employer has discontinued the investigation. If the law enforcement officer or probation officer has timely appealed a disciplinary action, the investigation is not complete until the conclusion of the appeal process."