

MISSION POLICE DEPARTMENT

Cesar Torres, Chief of Police



February 28, 2024

Anna Carrillo
1201 E. 8th Street
Mission, Texas 78572

Re: Samuel Sinyangwe Open Records Request

The Mission Police Department has reviewed your open records request dated February 23, 2024. The documents in the possession of the Mission Police Department have been provided. In regards to the other items on your public information request the police department does not have any documents responsive to the request.

Please do not hesitate to call me at (956) 584-5120 should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Victor Solis", is written over a horizontal line.

Sgt. Victor Solis
Records Bureau Supervisor

Use of force Incidents
2021-2023

MISSION POLICE DEPARTMENT

Cesar Torres, Chief of Police



December 08, 2023

Reynaldo Perez, Asst. Chief of Police
Mission Police Department
1200 E. 8th St. Mission TX, 78572

Re: Annual Use of Force Review 2023

Asst. Chief Perez:

This analysis report was completed for the purpose of reviewing the Mission Police Department's Use of Force for the year November 2022- October 2023. The data collected for this report was generated manually from the forms currently in use (Response to Resistance) by Mission Police Department. This information is kept in the Office of the Chief of Police. This report will be used to identify any trends in the use of force by agency personnel, training needs, equipment needs, or policy revisions.

Use of Force Reporting:

These statistics include the following:

- The number of verbal commands given by the Officer;
- The number of resistances used by citizens;
- The number of less than lethal used against citizens;
- The number of Pointed Firearm and Discharge of incidents firearm;
- The number of males and females used force on;

The original Use of Force Report form is forwarded by the officer to his supervisor. The supervisor is then tasked with reviewing content of the form for final review by the officers Lieutenant. The Lieutenant will then forward the Response to Resistance reports to the Office for Professional Responsibility, and the reports will then be forwarded to the Chief of Police for executive review to:

1. Determine the reason for the discharge (if it applies).
2. Ensure the officer's Response to Resistance complied with state laws and departmental policy.
3. Determine any need for additional firearms safety training, special counseling or other training.
4. Determine whether the situation requires further action.

The Response to Resistance will be followed by the Mission Police Department General Order: Response to Resistance Section: One Chapter 1.05.

MISSION POLICE DEPARTMENT

Cesar Torres, Chief of Police



Additional Training/Equipment:

If Officers are not trained for phazzers they are not issued out a holster to carry. The Department has issued out to each officer their own phazzers once training has been accomplished.

Patrol Officers were given instructions in various aspects of Response to Resistance including firearms and phazzers. Our supervisors have been given the task to review with our patrol officers on every shift during role call training and what our policy entails.

Our department will be reaching out for any kind of training for verbal de-escalation to prevent further take downs.

All patrol Officers have body cameras and are required to be activated once contact is made with a citizen.

At this point there were no changes done to our existing policy. The policy will be reviewed continuously for any updates.

In February there was an administrative investigation being conducted on an incident (use of force). That incident was cleared from any wrong doing.

There was one use of force that an officer discharges his weapon against a dog and missed that case was review by the Lt. and the Sgt.

MISSION POLICE DEPARTMENT

Cesar Torres, Chief of Police



| USE OF FORCE ANNUAL REPORT | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | March | April | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept | Oct. |
|-------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Verbal | 7 | 13 | 16 | 7 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 12 | 9 | 15 | 16 |
| Resisting | 4 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 16 | 7 |
| OC spray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-Lethal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pointed Firearm | 6 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| Discharged Firearm | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Phazzer | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| USE OF FORCE FORMS | 8 | 15 | 23 | 15 | 23 | 12 | 13 | 8 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 18 |
| USE OF FORCE BY GENDER | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FEMALE | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| MALE | 8 | 14 | 22 | 14 | 20 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 15 | 6 | 17 | 17 |
| NOT SPECIFIED | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Compliance with policy | 8 | 15 | 23 | 14 | 23 | 12 | 13 | 8 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 18 |
| Investigation Needed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Video Reviewed | 8 | 13 | 22 | 13 | 23 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 18 |
| Investigation cleared | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Discipline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Officer Injury | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

MISSION POLICE DEPARTMENT

Cesar Torres, Chief of Police



December 30, 2022

Reynaldo Perez, Asst. Chief of Police
Mission Police Department
1200 E. 8th St. Mission TX, 78572

Re: Annual Use of Force Review 2023

Asst. Chief Perez:

This analysis report was completed for the purpose of reviewing the Mission Police Department's Use of Force for the year 2023. The data collected for this report was generated manually from the forms currently in use (Response to Resistance) by Mission Police Department. This information is kept in the Office of the Chief of Police. This report will be used to identify any trends in the use of force by agency personnel, training needs, equipment needs, or policy revisions.

Use of Force Reporting:

These statistics include the following:

- The number of verbal commands given by the Officer;
- The number of resistances used by citizens;
- The number of less than lethal used against citizens;
- The number of Pointed Firearm and Discharge of incidents firearm;
- The number of males and females used force on;

The original Use of Force Report form is forwarded by the officer to his supervisor. The supervisor is then tasked with reviewing content of the form for final review by the officers Lieutenant. The Lieutenant will then forward the Response to Resistance reports to the Office for Professional Responsibility, and the reports will then be forwarded to the Chief of Police for executive review to:

1. Determine the reason for the discharge (if it applies).
2. Ensure the officer's Response to Resistance complied with state laws and departmental policy.
3. Determine any need for additional firearms safety training, special counseling or other training.
4. Determine whether the situation requires further action.

The Response to Resistance will be followed by the Mission Police Department General Order: Response to Resistance Section: One Chapter 1.05.

MISSION POLICE DEPARTMENT

Cesar Torres, Chief of Police



Additional Training/Equipment:

If Officers are not trained for the phazzers they are not issued out a holster to carry. The Department has issued out to each officer their own phazzers once training has been accomplished.

Patrol Officers were given instructions in various aspects of Response to Resistance including firearms and phazzers. Our supervisors have been given the task to review with our patrol officers on every shift during role call training and what our policy entails.

Our department will be reaching out for any kind of training for verbal de-escalation to prevent further take downs.

Body cameras have been on each officer once they fill out a use of force form for any violations or corrective measures that can be addressed by the Lt's or supervisors.

At this point there were no changes done to our existing policy. The policy will be reviewed continuously for any updates.

MISSION POLICE DEPARTMENT

Cesar Torres, Chief of Police



| USE OF FORCE ANNUAL REPORT | Jan | Feb | March | April | May | June | July | Aug | Sept | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
|----------------------------|-----|-----|-------|-------|-----|------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| Verbal | 16 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Resisting | 17 | 10 | | | | | | | | | | |
| OC spray | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-Lethal | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pointed Firearm | 9 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Discharged Firearm | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phazzer | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| USE OF FORCE FORMS | 23 | 13 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| USE OF FORCE BY GENDER | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FEMALE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MALE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NOT SPECIFIED | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Compliance with policy | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investigation Needed | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Video Reviewed | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Investigation cleared | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Discipline | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Officer Injury | | | | | | | | | | | | |

MISSION POLICE DEPARTMENT

Cesar Torres, Chief of Police



September 8, 2022

Jody Tittle, Asst. Chief of Police
Mission Police Department
1200 E. 8th St. Mission TX, 78572

Re: Annual Use of Force Review 2021

Asst. Chief Tittle:

This analysis report was completed for the purpose of reviewing the Mission Police Department's Use of Force for the year 2021. The data collected for this report was generated manually from the forms currently in use (Response to Resistance) by Mission Police Department. This information is kept in the Office of the Chief of Police. This report will be used to identify any trends in the use of force by agency personnel, training needs, equipment needs, or policy revisions.

Use of Force Reporting:

These statistics include the following:

- The number of verbal commands given by the Officer;
- The number of resistance used by citizens;
- The number of less than lethal used against citizens;
- The number of Pointed Firearm and Discharge of incidents firearm;
- The number of males and females used force on;

The original Use of Force Report form is forwarded by the officer to his supervisor. The supervisor is then tasked with reviewing content of the form for final review by the officers Lieutenant. The Lieutenant will then forward the Response to Resistance reports to the Office for Professional Responsibility, and the reports will then be forwarded to the Chief of Police for executive review to:

1. Determine the reason for the discharge (if it applies).
2. Ensure the officer's Response to Resistance complied with state laws and departmental policy.
3. Determine any need for additional firearms safety training, special counseling or other training.
4. Determine whether the situation requires further action.

The Response to Resistance will be followed by the Mission Police Department General Order: Response to Resistance Section: One Chapter 1.05.

MISSION POLICE DEPARTMENT

Cesar Torres, Chief of Police



Additional Training/Equipment:

If Officers are not trained for the phazzer they are not issued out a holster to carry. The Department currently has a limited number of phazzers and Officers must switch them out with other officers at shift change.

Patrol Officers were given instructions in various aspects of Response to Resistance including firearms and phazzer. Our supervisors have been given the task to review with our patrol officers on every shift during role call training and what our policy entails.

Videos have been seen for any violations or corrective measures and have been addressed by the Lt's.

At this point there were no changes done to our existing policy. The policy will be reviewed continuously for any updates.

MISSION POLICE DEPARTMENT

Cesar Torres, Chief of Police



| USE OF FORCE ANNUAL REPORT | Jan | Feb | March | April | May | June | July | Aug | Sept | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. |
|-------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Verbal | 8 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 16 | 13 | 12 | 19 | 17 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Resisting | 6 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| OC spray | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-Lethal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pointed Firearm | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| Discharged Firearm | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Phazzer | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| USE OF FORCE FORMS | 9 | 12 | 10 | 5 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 21 | 20 | 7 | 4 | 9 |
| USE OF FORCE BY GENDER | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FEMALE | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| MALE | 5 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 10 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| NOT SPECIFIED | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Compliance with policy | 9 | 11 | 10 | 5 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 21 | 21 | 7 | 4 | 9 |
| Investigation Needed | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Video Reviewed | 8 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 21 | 21 | 7 | 3 | 9 |
| Investigation cleared | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Discipline | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Officer Injury | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Civilian Complaints
2015-2024

Mission Police Department
Office of Professional Responsibility
FY 2015-2024 Civilian Comp.

| <u>Dates</u> | <u>complaints</u> | <u>sustained</u> | <u>unfounded</u> |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1. 3-25-2015 | 1 | 1 | |
| 2. 8-1-2015 | 1 | 1 | |
| 3. 11-5-2015 | 1 | 1 | |
| 4. 5-9-2016 | 1 | 1 | |
| 5. 5-18-2016 | 1 | 1 | |
| 6. 7-28-2016 | 1 | 1 | |
| 7. 10-7-2016 | 1 | 1 | |
| 8. 10-24-2016 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 9. 11-11-2016 | 1 | 1 | |
| 10. 12-5-2016 | 1 | 1 | |
| 11. 5-2-2017 | 1 | 1 | |
| 12. 5-12-2017 | 1 | 1 | |
| 13. 3-22-2018 | 1 | 1 | |
| 14. 5-22-2018 | 1 | 1 | |
| 15. 11-30-2018 | 1 | 1 | |
| 16. 4-25-2019 | 1 | 1 | |
| 17. 5-20-2019 | 1 | 1 | |
| 18. 7-23-2019 | 1 | 1 | |
| 19. 10-1-2019 | 1 | 1 | |
| 20. 3-13-2020 | 1 | 1 | |
| 21. 5-27-2020 | 1 | 1 | |
| 22. 6-15-2020 | 1 | 1 | |
| 23. 7-2-2020 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 24. 3-8-2021 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 25. 7-22-2021 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 26. 7-26-2021 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 27. 1-4-2022 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 28. 1-14-2022 | 1 | 1 | |
| 29. 1-19-2022 | 1 | 1 | |

| <u>Dates</u> | <u>complaints</u> | <u>sustained</u> | <u>unfounded</u> |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 30. 7-11-2022 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 31. 7-14-2022 | 1 | 1 | |
| 32. 12-12-2022 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 33. 2-6-2023 | 1 | 1 | |
| 34. 2-6-2023 | 1 | 1 | |
| 35.3-25-2023 | 1 | 1 | |
| 36. 3-25-20233 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Total cases 36

total sustained 27

Unfounded 9

Racial Profiling Analysis

2017-2018

&

2019-2020

Note: I was able to provide the above listed following data. Hopefully, it will help your research.

The Administrative Chief who recently retired had the access to TCLEDDS. Our 2023, data is in review pending the online reports submissions.

Racial Profiling Report | Tier one

| | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Agency Name: | Mission Police Department |
| Reporting Date: | 02/07/2017 |
| TCOLE Agency Number: | 215211 |
| Chief Administrator: | Roberto Dominguez |
| Agency Contact Information: | |
| Phone: | 956-584-5144 |
| Email: | missionpoliceadmin@gmail.com |
| Mailing Address: | 1200 E. 8th Mission Texas 78572 |

This Agency claims partial racial profiling report exemption because:

Our vehicles that conduct motor vehicle stops are equipped with video and audio equipment and we maintain videos for 90 days.

Certification to This Report 2.132 (Tier 1), Partial Exemption

Article 2.132(b) CCP Law Enforcement Policy on Racial Profiling

Mission Police Department has adopted a detailed written policy on racial profiling. Our policy:

- 1.) clearly defines acts constituting racial profiling;
- 2.) strictly prohibits peace officers employed by the Mission Police Department from engaging in racial profiling;
- 3.) implements a process by which an individual may file a complaint with the Mission Police Department if the individual believes that a peace officer employed by the Mission Police Department has engaged in racial profiling with respect to the individual;
- 4.) provides public education relating to the agency's complaint process;
- 5.) requires appropriate corrective action to be taken against a peace officer employed by the Mission Police Department who, after an investigation, is shown to have engaged in racial profiling in violation of the Mission Police Department's policy adopted under this article;
- 6.) require collection of information relating to motor vehicle stops in which a citation is issued and to arrests made as a result of those stops, including information relating to:

- a.) the race or ethnicity of the individual detained;
- b.) whether a search was conducted and, if so, whether the individual detained consented to the search; and
- c.) whether the peace officer knew the race or ethnicity of the individual detained before detaining that individual; and

7.) require the chief administrator of the agency, regardless of whether the administrator is elected, employed, or appointed, to submit an annual report of the information collected under Subdivision(6) to:

- a.) the Commission on Law Enforcement; and
- b.) the governing body of each county or municipality served by the agency, if the agency is an agency of a county, municipality, or other political subdivision of the state.

Executed by: Roberto Dominguez

Chief Administrator

Mission Police Department

Date: 02/07/2017

Mission Police Department Motor Vehicle Racial Profiling Information

Total stops: 12676

Number of motor vehicle stops

Citation only: 11731

Arrest only: 945

Both: 0

Race or ethnicity

African: 54

Asian: 35

Caucasian: 2307

Hispanic: 10273

Middle eastern: 0

Native american: 7

Was race known ethnicity known prior to stop?

Yes: 0

No: 12676

Was a search conducted

Yes: 111

No: 12565

Was search consented?

Yes: 111

No: 0

Submitted electronically to the



The Texas Commission on Law Enforcement

Racial Profiling Report | Tier one

| | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Agency Name: | Mission Police Department |
| Reporting Date: | 02/21/2018 |
| TCOLE Agency Number: | 215211 |
| Chief Administrator: | Robert Dominguez |
| Agency Contact Information: | |
| Phone: | 956-584-5000 |
| Email: | chiefrdominguez@missionpolice.org |
| Mailing Address: | 1200 E. 8th Mission Tx. 78572 |

This Agency claims partial racial profiling report exemption because:

Our vehicles that conduct motor vehicle stops are equipped with video and audio equipment and we maintain videos for 90 days.

Certification to This Report 2.132 (Tier 1), Partial Exemption

Article 2.132(b) CCP Law Enforcement Policy on Racial Profiling

Mission Police Department has adopted a detailed written policy on racial profiling. Our policy:

- 1.) clearly defines acts constituting racial profiling;
- 2.) strictly prohibits peace officers employed by the Mission Police Department from engaging in racial profiling;
- 3.) implements a process by which an individual may file a complaint with the Mission Police Department if the individual believes that a peace officer employed by the Mission Police Department has engaged in racial profiling with respect to the individual;
- 4.) provides public education relating to the agency's complaint process;
- 5.) requires appropriate corrective action to be taken against a peace officer employed by the Mission Police Department who, after an investigation, is shown to have engaged in racial profiling in violation of the Mission Police Department's policy adopted under this article;

2. Race or Ethnicity (Must equal total number of stops) Total: 12155

| | | |
|--------------------|------|--|
| a) African | 41 | |
| b) Asian | 56 | |
| c.) Caucasian | 2262 | |
| d) Hispanic | 9781 | |
| e) Middle Eastern | 0 | |
| f) Native American | 15 | |

3. Race or Ethnicity known prior to stop? (Must equal total number of stops) Total: 12155

| | | |
|--------|-------|--|
| a) Yes | 0 | |
| b) No | 12155 | |

4. Search conducted? (Must equal total number of stops) Total: 12155

| | | |
|--------|-------|--|
| a) Yes | 101 | |
| b) No | 12054 | |

5. Was search consented? (Must equal searches conducted - Line 4a) Total: 101

| | |
|--------|-----|
| a) Yes | 101 |
| b) No | 0 |

Upload data source
on racial profiling

Browse... No file selected.

Electronic signature - I consent that the info provided is true and accurate.

Back

Submit racial profiling form

Back

Racial Profiling Analysis Report

MISSION POLICE DEPARTMENT

| | | |
|------------------------------------|------|---------|
| 01. Total Traffic Stops: | 9813 | |
| 02. Location of Stop: | | |
| a. City Street | 7235 | 73.73% |
| b. US Highway | 455 | 4.64% |
| c. County Road | 275 | 2.80% |
| d. State Highway | 1588 | 16.18% |
| e. Private Property or Other | 260 | 2.65% |
| 03. Was Race known prior to Stop: | | |
| a. NO | 9813 | 100.00% |
| b. YES | 0 | 0.00% |
| 04. Race or Ethnicity: | | |
| a. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 18 | 0.18% |
| b. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 15 | 0.15% |
| c. Black | 36 | 0.37% |
| d. White | 7294 | 74.33% |
| e. Hispanic/ Latino | 2450 | 24.97% |
| 05. Gender: | | |
| a. Female | 4085 | 41.63% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| b. Male | 5728 | 58.37% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| 06. Reason for Stop: | | |
| a. Violation of Law | 381 | 3.88% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |

Racial Profiling Analysis Report

| | | |
|------------------------------------|------|--------|
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| b. Pre-Existing Knowledge | 102 | 1.04% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| c. Moving Traffic Violation | 8459 | 86.20% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| d. Vehicle Traffic Violation | 871 | 8.88% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| 07. Was a Search Conducted: | | |
| a. NO | 9784 | 99.70% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| b. YES | 29 | 0.30% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| 08. Reason for Search: | | |
| a. Consent | 14 | 0.14% |

Racial Profiling Analysis Report

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|-------|
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| b. Contraband in Plain View | 2 | 0.02% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| c. Probable Cause | 6 | 0.06% |
| ii. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| i. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| d. Inventory | 3 | 0.03% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| e. Incident to Arrest | 4 | 0.04% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| 09. Was Contraband Discovered: | | |
| YES | 13 | 0.13% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| Finding resulted in arrest - YES | | |
| Finding resulted in arrest - NO | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| Finding resulted in arrest - YES | | |
| Finding resulted in arrest - NO | | |
| iii. Black | | |

Racial Profiling Analysis Report

Finding resulted in arrest - YES

Finding resulted in arrest - NO

iv. White

Finding resulted in arrest - YES

Finding resulted in arrest - NO

v. Hispanic/ Latino

Finding resulted in arrest - YES

Finding resulted in arrest - NO

| | | |
|-------|----|-------|
| b. NO | 16 | 0.16% |
|-------|----|-------|

i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian

i. Asian/ Pacific Islander

iii. Black

iv. White

v. Hispanic/ Latino

10. Description of Contraband:

| | | |
|----------|----|-------|
| a. Drugs | 11 | 0.11% |
|----------|----|-------|

i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian

ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander

iii. Black

iv. White

v. Hispanic/ Latino

| | | |
|-------------|---|-------|
| b. Currency | 0 | 0.00% |
|-------------|---|-------|

i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian

ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander

iii. Black

iv. White

v. Hispanic/ Latino

| | | |
|------------|---|-------|
| c. Weapons | 1 | 0.01% |
|------------|---|-------|

i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian

ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander

iii. Black

iv. White

v. Hispanic/ Latino

| | | |
|------------|---|-------|
| d. Alcohol | 1 | 0.01% |
|------------|---|-------|

i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian

ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander

iii. Black

iv. White

Racial Profiling Analysis Report

| | | |
|------------------------------------|------|--------|
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| e. Stolen Property | 0 | 0.00% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| f. Other | 0 | 0.00% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| 11. Result of Stop: | | |
| a. Verbal Warning | 136 | 1.39% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| b. Written Warning | 1007 | 10.26% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| c. Citation | 7038 | 71.72% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| d. Written Warning and Arrest | 75 | 0.76% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |

Racial Profiling Analysis Report

| | | |
|------------------------------------|------|--------|
| e. Citation and Arrest | 154 | 1.57% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| f. Arrest | 1403 | 14.30% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| 12. Arrest Based On: | | |
| a. Violation of Penal Code | 2 | 0.02% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| b. Violation of Traffic Law | 283 | 2.88% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| c. Violation of City Ordinance | 0 | 0.00% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| d. Outstanding Warrant | 1118 | 11.39% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |

Racial Profiling Analysis Report

13. Was Physical Force Used:

| | | |
|--|------|--------|
| a. NO | 9779 | 99.65% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| b. YES | 34 | 0.35% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | | |
| iii. Black | | |
| iv. White | | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | | |
| b 1. YES: Physical Force Resulting in Bodily Injury to Suspect | | |
| b 2. YES: Physical Force Resulting in Bodily Injury to Officer | | |
| b 3. YES: Physical Force Resulting in Bodily Injury to Both | | |

14. Total Number of Racial Profiling Complaints Received:

REPORT DATE COMPILED 03/10/2020

Racial Profiling Analysis Report

MISSION POLICE DEPARTMENT

| | | |
|------------------------------------|------|--------|
| 01. Total Traffic Stops: | 8964 | |
| 02. Location of Stop: | | |
| a. City Street | 7200 | 80.32% |
| b. US Highway | 858 | 9.57% |
| c. County Road | 423 | 4.72% |
| d. State Highway | 411 | 4.59% |
| e. Private Property or Other | 71 | 0.79% |
| 03. Was Race known prior to Stop: | | |
| a. NO | 8893 | 99.21% |
| b. YES | 71 | 0.79% |
| 04. Race or Ethnicity: | | |
| a. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 5 | 0.06% |
| b. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 15 | 0.17% |
| c. Black | 38 | 0.42% |
| d. White | 1195 | 13.33% |
| e. Hispanic/ Latino | 7711 | 86.02% |
| 05. Gender: | | |
| a. Female | 3811 | 42.51% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 1 | 0.01% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 6 | 0.07% |
| iii. Black | 9 | 0.10% |
| iv. White | 491 | 5.48% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 3304 | 36.86% |
| b. Male | 5153 | 57.49% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 4 | 0.04% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 9 | 0.10% |
| iii. Black | 29 | 0.32% |
| iv. White | 704 | 7.85% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 4407 | 49.16% |
| 06. Reason for Stop: | | |
| a. Violation of Law | 501 | 5.59% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 1 | 0.20% |

Racial Profiling Analysis Report

| | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|---------|
| iii. Black | 5 | 1.00% |
| iv. White | 95 | 18.96% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 974 | 194.41% |
| b. Pre-Existing Knowledge | 65 | 0.73% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 13 | 20.00% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 52 | 80.00% |
| c. Moving Traffic Violation | 7243 | 80.80% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 5 | 0.07% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 10 | 0.14% |
| iii. Black | 29 | 0.40% |
| iv. White | 914 | 12.62% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 6285 | 86.77% |
| d. Vehicle Traffic Violation | 1154 | 12.87% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 4 | 0.35% |
| iii. Black | 4 | 0.35% |
| iv. White | 172 | 14.90% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 974 | 84.40% |
| 07. Was a Search Conducted: | | |
| a. NO | 8924 | 99.55% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 5 | 0.06% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 15 | 0.17% |
| iii. Black | 38 | 0.43% |
| iv. White | 1,188 | 13.31% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 7678 | 86.04% |
| b. YES | 40 | 0.45% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 7 | 17.50% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 33 | 82.50% |
| 08. Reason for Search: | | |
| a. Consent | 23 | 0.26% |

Racial Profiling Analysis Report

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|---------|
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 2 | 8.70% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 21 | 91.30% |
| b. Contraband in Plain View | 0 | 0.00% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | |
| iii. Black | 0 | |
| iv. White | 0 | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 0 | |
| c. Probable Cause | 10 | 0.11% |
| ii. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| i. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 3 | 30.00% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 7 | 70.00% |
| d. Inventory | 6 | 0.07% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 2 | 33.33% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 4 | 66.67% |
| e. Incident to Arrest | 1 | 0.01% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 0 | 0.00% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 1 | 100.00% |
| 09. Was Contraband Discovered: | | |
| YES | 19 | 0.21% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| Finding resulted in arrest - YES | 0 | |
| Finding resulted in arrest - NO | 0 | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| Finding resulted in arrest - YES | 0 | |
| Finding resulted in arrest - NO | 0 | |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |

Racial Profiling Analysis Report

| | | |
|------------------------------------|----|---------|
| Finding resulted in arrest - YES | 0 | |
| Finding resulted in arrest - NO | 0 | |
| iv. White | 3 | 15.79% |
| Finding resulted in arrest - YES | 0 | |
| Finding resulted in arrest - NO | 0 | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 16 | 84.21% |
| Finding resulted in arrest - YES | 0 | |
| Finding resulted in arrest - NO | 0 | |
| b. NO | 21 | 0.23% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| i. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 4 | 19.05% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 17 | 80.95% |
| 10. Description of Contraband: | | |
| a. Drugs | 11 | 0.12% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 2 | 18.18% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 9 | 81.82% |
| b. Currency | 2 | 0.02% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 0 | 0.00% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 2 | 100.00% |
| c. Weapons | 2 | 0.02% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 1 | 50.00% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 1 | 50.00% |
| d. Alcohol | 3 | 0.03% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 0 | 0.00% |

Racial Profiling Analysis Report

| | | |
|------------------------------------|------|---------|
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 3 | 100.00% |
| e. Stolen Property | 1 | 0.01% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 0 | 0.00% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 1 | 100.00% |
| f. Other | 1 | 0.01% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| i. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 0 | 0.00% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 1 | 100.00% |
| 11. Result of Stop: | | |
| a. Verbal Warning | 0 | 0.00% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | |
| iii. Black | 0 | |
| iv. White | 0 | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 0 | |
| b. Written Warning | 3176 | 35.43% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 1 | 0.03% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 5 | 0.16% |
| iii. Black | 20 | 0.63% |
| iv. White | 444 | 13.98% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 2706 | 85.20% |
| c. Citation | 5786 | 64.55% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 4 | 0.07% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 10 | 0.17% |
| iii. Black | 18 | 0.31% |
| iv. White | 751 | 12.98% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 5003 | 86.47% |
| d. Written Warning and Arrest | 0 | 0.00% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | |
| iii. Black | 0 | |
| iv. White | 0 | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 0 | |

Racial Profiling Analysis Report

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---------|
| e. Citation and Arrest | 2 | 0.02% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 0 | 0.00% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 2 | 100.00% |
| f. Arrest | 0 | 0.00% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | |
| iii. Black | 0 | |
| iv. White | 0 | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 0 | |
| 12. Arrest Based On: | | |
| a. Violation of Penal Code | 0 | 0.00% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | |
| iii. Black | 0 | |
| iv. White | 0 | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 0 | |
| b. Violation of Traffic Law | 2 | 0.02% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 0 | 0.00% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 2 | 100.00% |
| c. Violation of City Ordinance | 0 | 0.00% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | |
| iii. Black | 0 | |
| iv. White | 0 | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 0 | |
| d. Outstanding Warrant | 0 | 0.00% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | |
| iii. Black | 0 | |
| iv. White | 0 | |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 0 | |

Racial Profiling Analysis Report

13. Was Physical Force Used:

| | | |
|--|------|--------|
| a. NO | 8945 | 99.79% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 5 | 0.06% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 15 | 0.17% |
| iii. Black | 38 | 0.42% |
| iv. White | 1118 | 12.50% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 7699 | 86.07% |
| b. YES | 19 | 0.21% |
| i. Alaska/ Native American/ Indian | 0 | 0.00% |
| ii. Asian/ Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00% |
| iii. Black | 0 | 0.00% |
| iv. White | 7 | 36.84% |
| v. Hispanic/ Latino | 12 | 63.16% |
| b 1. YES: Physical Force Resulting in Bodily Injury to Suspect | | |
| b 2. YES: Physical Force Resulting in Bodily Injury to Officer | | |
| b 3. YES: Physical Force Resulting in Bodily Injury to Both | | |

14. Total Number of Racial Profiling Complaints Received: 0

REPORT DATE COMPILED 02/26/2021

FBI National Use-of-Force
2013-2020



LEOKA by ORI Report

Beginning Date: 01/01/2013

Ending Date: 12/31/2020

Agency: MISSION PD

[Handwritten mark]

| Month-Year | Agency | ORI | Officers Killed Feloniously | Officers Killed Accidentally | Officers Assaulted |
|------------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| 2013 - February | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2013 - September | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2014 - February | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2014 - April | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2014 - September | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 2014 - November | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2015 - February | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2015 - April | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2016 - January | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2016 - February | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 2016 - September | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2019 - January | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2019 - February | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2019 - May | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2019 - June | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2019 - July | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2019 - September | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2019 - November | MISSION PD | TX1081000 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | Grand Total | 1 | 0 | 26 |

This report reflects incidents submitted to the Texas Department of Public Safety's Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) system as applied to your request. UCR is a voluntary program, wherein, participating agencies submit their monthly data by the 10th of the following month or next business day, if the 10th falls on a weekend or holiday. The availability of this data is dependent on local agency timely and accurate submissions, which can be impacted by local agency resource constraints, system updates, and technical issues. As data is submitted, routine data validations are applied to ensure compliance with FBI and state-level reporting guidelines. The UCR data is a 'live' collection; meaning agencies can continue to update their incident data per their investigation findings, when arrests occur, for any corrections needed, and in response to data quality checks. As such, this report is a reflection of all the data currently contained within the TXDPS UCR System at the time of inquiry for the timeframe specified. Due to the active nature of this data, this report may not match data retrieved from the system at a different time of inquiry or data produced in yearly publications. UCR data may not match crime data gathered for other purposes and/or according to different guidelines/criteria.