From: Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < SydneyT@ckschools.org>

Sent:Monday, October 23, 2017 1:14 PMTo:C.greene; r.greene@wavecable.comCc:Shoemaker Robin - Capital Projects

Subject: RE: Tree Assessment

Attachments: Neighbor Agreement for Tree Removal.pdf

Carolyn and Ron,

Attached is an Agreement for Tree Removal. Please review and return a signed copy at your earliest convenience. I have tentatively arranged for the logger to perform the tree work next week. The exact day is subject to weather conditions and receipt of the signed agreement.

Thank you, Sydney

Sydney Thiel

Project Manager | Capital Projects Office Central Kitsap School District | 9210 Silverdale Way NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 sydneyt@ckschools.org 360-662-1705 direct 206-960-2417 mobile

From: Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects
Sent: Saturday, October 7, 2017 10:09 AM
To: 'C.greene' <c.greene@wavecable.com>

Subject: RE: Tree Assessment

Thank you, Carolyn,

I will contact you with details regarding timing after I have heard back from other neighbors and coordinated with the logger.

Sydney Thiel

Project Manager | Capital Projects Office
Central Kitsap School District | 9210 Silverdale Way NW, Silverdale, WA 98383
sydneyt@ckschools.org
360-662-1705 direct
206-960-2417 mobile

From: C.greene [mailto:c.greene@wavecable.com]

Sent: Saturday, October 7, 2017 6:24 AM

To: Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < SydneyT@ckschools.org>

Subject: Re: Tree Assessment

Sydney Thiel,

Yes we want to proceed with the tree removal. Let us know when that will be so I can speak with the occupants of the property.

Thank you Carolyn Greene

Sent from my iPad

On Oct 6, 2017, at 2:00 PM, Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < Sydney T@ckschools.org > wrote:

Greetings Caroline and Ron,

We have received the results and recommendations from A.B.C. Consulting Arborist's assessment of the trees along the southern border of your property. I have attached the findings for your reference.

To summarize, your property is noted as "property A" on the attached report. The arborist has recommended the removal of **8 trees** on your property for reasons that are further explained in the document. Please review these recommendations.

If you wish to proceed with removal of the noted trees, please confirm via email on or before **October 13th**. After that date, CKSD will organize a logger to remove the trees in the subsequent weeks at the School District's expense. Please note that this offer pertains to the above-ground portion of trees only. Stumps will remain in the ground. Please also note that this tree removal work will conclude the District's courtesy regarding this matter; future tree assessment or removal, if desired, will be the responsibility of the property owner.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards, Sydney

Sydney Thiel

Project Manager | Capital Projects Office Central Kitsap School District | 9210 Silverdale Way NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 sydneyt@ckschools.org 360-662-1705 direct 206-960-2417 mobile

- Board of Directors -

ERIC K. GREENE ROBERT C. MACDERMID JEANIE SCHULZE SCOTT R. WOEHRMAN

BRUCE J. RICHARDS



Central Kitsap School District

DAVID MCVICKER SUPERINTENDENT

9210 SILVERDALE WAY NW MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 8 SILVERDALE, WASHINGTON 98383 (360) 662-1610 • Fax: (360) 662-1611 www.ckschools.org

NEIGHBOR AGREEMENT FOR TREE REMOVAL

Reference Document: "Northern Property Line Offsite Tree Assessment" prepared by A.B.C. Consulting Arborists LLC dated September 26, 2017

AREAS OF WORK

Property A

Owner/Address: Carolyn & Ron Greene, 10398 Frontier Place NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 Scope: Remove 8 trees identified as #24, 26, 28, 29, 33, 34, 35, 40 in reference document

Property B

Owner/Address: Sandra & Doc Collins, 10392 Frontier Place NW, Silverdale, WA 98383

Scope: Remove 1 tree identified as #50 in reference document

Property C

Owner/Address: Karen Soule, 10388 Frontier Place NW, Silverdale, WA 98383

Scope: CKSD will temporarily remove sections of existing black chain link fence prior to falling trees. Remove 6 trees identified as #59, 62, 63, 68, 69, 72 in reference document. Remove existing fallen tree from CKSD property that fell into back yard of property C. Reinstall black chain link fence and repair section of fence on southern property line that was damaged due to fallen tree.

TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT

- Property Owners grant access to their property for logging equipment and personnel to perform the following work.
- Property owners agree that CKSD is permitted to remove the identified trees.
- CKSD will remove the trees identified at each aforementioned property (A, B, C). The work pertains to the above-ground portion of trees only. Stumps shall remain in the ground.
- Additional tree removal, if desired by the property owner, will be the responsibility and expense of the property owner.
- The location of the identified trees is based upon CKSD's best judgement of property line locations.
 CKSD is not responsible for verifying property line boundaries. Property Owners are responsible for verifying that the identified trees are located within their property boundaries.
- Fallen trees may remove or damage limbs of adjacent trees when they fall down. Reasonable efforts will
 be taken to minimize damage to surrounding vegetation, trees, and fences, however CKSD and its
 logging contractor are not responsible for ensuing damage should it occur.

•	Unless clarified below, all tree trunks, limbs, and debris will be removed from the site. Stumps will remain in place. If property owners wish to retain fallen trunk sections for their purposes, please state the trunks to remain by referencing the specific tree ID#(s) as follows:
	If trunk sections are retained by the Property Owner, CKSD is not responsible for cutting the logs into sections smaller than 8'.
•	When the aforementioned work is finished, this will conclude the District's agreement and responsibilities regarding this matter. Future tree assessment or removal, if desired by the property

CKSD is not responsible for the condition or longevity of trees that remain on residential property.

owner, will be the responsibility and expense of the property owner.

- If there is a dispute as to whether CKSD removed an incorrect tree, CKSD's liability to the property owner shall only be to remove a tree that the property owner believes was required to be removed per this agreement. CKSD and its contractor shall not be liable for any damages for removing an incorrect tree.
- Except for the costs of performing the work described in this agreement, CKSD is not responsible to the property owner for any other costs or expenses of any kind.

Property Owner (please indicate property A, B, C):	
Signature	Date
Printed Name	
Central Kitsap School District	
Robin Shoemaker, Director of Capital Projects	October 23, 2017

From: Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < SydneyT@ckschools.org>

Sent: Monday, October 23, 2017 1:13 PM

To: Karen Soule

Cc: Shoemaker Robin - Capital Projects

Subject: RE: Tree Assessment

Attachments: Neighbor Agreement for Tree Removal.pdf

Karen,

Attached is an Agreement for Tree Removal. Please review and return a signed copy at your earliest convenience. I have tentatively arranged for the logger to perform the tree work next week. The exact day is subject to weather conditions and receipt of the signed agreement.

Thank you, Sydney

Sydney Thiel

Project Manager | Capital Projects Office Central Kitsap School District | 9210 Silverdale Way NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 sydneyt@ckschools.org 360-662-1705 direct 206-960-2417 mobile

From: Karen Soule [mailto:soulekaren@yahoo.com]

Sent: Monday, October 16, 2017 12:37 PM

To: Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < Sydney T@ckschools.org>

Subject: Re: Tree Assessment

For clarification, I will want some of the wood for myself for personal use. More than anything this process needs to be expedited so you can replace my fence. Remember I have 3 dogs and I must have an enclosed area for them.

Thank you Sydney Karen Soule

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 12, 2017, at 3:11 PM, Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects <SydneyT@ckschools.org> wrote:

Karen,

I will make arrangements to have the arborist-recommended trees removed from your property as well as the tree from CKSD property that fell into your yard.

I am not clear which "other trees" you are referring to in your email below.

Sydney Thiel

Project Manager | Capital Projects Office Central Kitsap School District | 9210 Silverdale Way NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 sydneyt@ckschools.org 360-662-1705 direct

206-960-2417 mobile

From: Karen Soule [mailto:soulekaren@yahoo.com]

Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2017 2:37 PM

To: Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < SydneyT@ckschools.org>

Subject: Re: Tree Assessment

I want CKSD to proceed with the removal of the trees on my property at the expense of CKSD. In addition I want other trees removed as well.

Thank you Karen Soule

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 9, 2017, at 8:44 AM, Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < SydneyT@ckschools.org wrote:

Karen,

I just left a voice message for you. I will come up to take a look at the damage later this morning.. If you have time to discuss options regarding the arborist's assessment (per Friday's email) it would be good to do so at that time as well.

Thank you, Sydney

Sydney Thiel

Project Manager | Capital Projects Office Central Kitsap School District | 9210 Silverdale Way NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 sydneyt@ckschools.org 360-662-1705 direct 206-960-2417 mobile

From: Karen Soule [mailto:soulekaren@yahoo.com]

Sent: Monday, October 9, 2017 8:33 AM

To: Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < Sydney T@ckschools.org >

Subject: Re: Tree Assessment

Sydney - I left you a vm on Friday. A tree from the CK campus fell on my fence and yard on Friday during the wind storm. My understanding was dangerous trees were to be removed? Please reach out to me this week so we can get this remedied and my fence fixed.

Thank you Karen Soule

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 6, 2017, at 2:00 PM, Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < SydneyT@ckschools.org wrote:

Greetings Karen,

We have received the results and recommendations from A.B.C. Consulting Arborist's assessment of the trees along the southern border of your property. I have attached the findings for your reference.

To summarize, your property is noted as "property C" on the attached report. The arborist has recommended the removal of **5-6 trees** on your property for reasons that are further explained in the document. Please review these recommendations.

If you wish to proceed with removal of the noted trees, please confirm via email on or before **October 13th**. After that date, CKSD will organize a logger to remove the trees in the subsequent weeks at the School District's expense. Please note that this offer pertains to the aboveground portion of trees only. Stumps will remain in the ground. Please also note that this tree removal work will conclude the District's courtesy regarding this matter; future tree assessment or removal, if desired, will be the responsibility of the property owner.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you, Sydney

Sydney Thiel

Project Manager | Capital Projects Office
Central Kitsap School District | 9210 Silverdale Way NW, Silverdale, WA
98383
sydneyt@ckschools.org
360-662-1705 direct
206-960-2417 mobile

- Board of Directors -

ERIC K. GREENE ROBERT C. MACDERMID

JEANIE SCHULZE SCOTT R WOFHRMAN

BRUCE J. RICHARDS

DAVID MCVICKER SUPERINTENDENT



9210 SILVERDALE WAY NW MAILING ADDRESS: PO BOX 8 SILVERDALE, WASHINGTON 98383 (360) 662-1610 • Fax: (360) 662-1611 www.ckschools.org

NEIGHBOR AGREEMENT FOR TREE REMOVAL

Reference Document: "Northern Property Line Offsite Tree Assessment" prepared by A.B.C. Consulting Arborists LLC dated September 26, 2017

AREAS OF WORK

Property A

Owner/Address: Carolyn & Ron Greene, 10398 Frontier Place NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 Scope: Remove 8 trees identified as #24, 26, 28, 29, 33, 34, 35, 40 in reference document

Property B

Owner/Address: Sandra & Doc Collins, 10392 Frontier Place NW, Silverdale, WA 98383

Scope: Remove 1 tree identified as #50 in reference document

Property C

Owner/Address: Karen Soule, 10388 Frontier Place NW, Silverdale, WA 98383

Scope: CKSD will temporarily remove sections of existing black chain link fence prior to falling trees. Remove 6 trees identified as #59, 62, 63, 68, 69, 72 in reference document. Remove existing fallen tree from CKSD property that fell into back yard of property C. Reinstall black chain link fence and repair section of fence on southern property line that was damaged due to fallen tree.

TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT

- Property Owners grant access to their property for logging equipment and personnel to perform the following work.
- Property owners agree that CKSD is permitted to remove the identified trees.
- CKSD will remove the trees identified at each aforementioned property (A, B, C). The work pertains to the above-ground portion of trees only. Stumps shall remain in the ground.
- Additional tree removal, if desired by the property owner, will be the responsibility and expense of the property owner.
- The location of the identified trees is based upon CKSD's best judgement of property line locations. CKSD is not responsible for verifying property line boundaries. Property Owners are responsible for verifying that the identified trees are located within their property boundaries.
- Fallen trees may remove or damage limbs of adjacent trees when they fall down. Reasonable efforts will be taken to minimize damage to surrounding vegetation, trees, and fences, however CKSD and its logging contractor are not responsible for ensuing damage should it occur.

- Unless clarified below, all tree trunks, limbs, and debris will be removed from the site. Stumps will
 remain in place. If property owners wish to retain fallen trunk sections for their purposes, please state
 the trunks to remain by referencing the specific tree ID#(s) as follows:
 - If trunk sections are retained by the Property Owner, CKSD is not responsible for cutting the logs into sections smaller than 8'.
- When the aforementioned work is finished, this will conclude the District's agreement and
 responsibilities regarding this matter. Future tree assessment or removal, if desired by the property
 owner, will be the responsibility and expense of the property owner.
- CKSD is not responsible for the condition or longevity of trees that remain on residential property.
- If there is a dispute as to whether CKSD removed an incorrect tree, CKSD's liability to the property owner shall only be to remove a tree that the property owner believes was required to be removed per this agreement. CKSD and its contractor shall not be liable for any damages for removing an incorrect tree.
- Except for the costs of performing the work described in this agreement, CKSD is not responsible to the property owner for any other costs or expenses of any kind.

Property Owner (please indicate property A, B, C):	
Signature	Date
Printed Name	
Central Kitsap School District	Ostobou 22, 2017
Robin Shoemaker, Director of Capital Projects	October 23, 2017

From:

Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < SydneyT@ckschools.org>

Sent:

Thursday, October 19, 2017 3:32 PM

To:

Wallace, Graehm C. (Perkins Coie); Shoemaker Robin - Capital Projects

Subject:

Tree Removal Agreement

Attachments:

Draft of terms for Neighbor Agreement for Tree Removal 171019.docx; North Property

Line Offsite Tree Assessment.pdf

Graehm,

Per our discussion on Tuesday, I have prepared the attached draft of scope and terms for the tree removal agreement with abutting neighbors to the north of the CK campus.

Also attached is the arborist document that I reference in the first attachment.

Robin,

Please let me know if there are any additional terms that you recommend to be incorporated.

Thank you, Sydney

Sydney Thiel

Project Manager | Capital Projects Office Central Kitsap School District | 9210 Silverdale Way NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 sydneyt@ckschools.org 360-662-1705 direct 206-960-2417 mobile

Draft of terms for Neighbor Agreement for Tree Removal

Reference Document: "Northern Property Line Offsite Tree Assessment" prepared by A.B.C. Consulting Arborists LLC dated September 26, 2017

Areas of Work:

Property A

Owner/Address: Carolyn & Ron Greene, 10398 Frontier Place NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 Scope: Remove 8 trees identified as #24, 26, 28, 29, 33, 34, 35, 40 in reference document

Property B

Owner/Address: Sandra & Doc Collins, 10392 Frontier Place NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 Scope: Remove 1 tree identified as #50 in reference document

Property C

Owner/Address: Karen Soule, 10388 Frontier Place NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 Scope: CKSD will temporarily remove sections of existing black chain link fence prior to falling trees. Remove 6 trees identified as #59, 62, 63, 68, 69, 72 in reference document. Remove existing fallen tree from CKSD property that fell into back yard of property C. Reinstall black chain link fence and repair section of fence on southern property line that was damaged due to fallen tree.

Terms of the Agreement (Graehm, please rephrase language as you see fit):

- Property Owners grant access to their property for logging equipment and personnel to perform the following work.
- CKSD will remove the trees identified at each aforementioned property (A, B, C). The work pertains to the above-ground portion of trees only. Stumps shall remain in the ground.
- Additional tree removal, if desired by the property owner, will be the responsibility of the property owner.
- The location of the identified trees is based upon CKSD's best judgement of property line locations. CKSD is not responsible for verifying property line boundaries. Property Owners are responsible for verifying that the identified trees are located within their property boundaries.
- Fallen trees may remove limbs of adjacent trees when they fall down. Reasonable efforts will be taken to minimize damage to surrounding vegetation, trees, and fences, however CKSD and its logging contractor are not responsible for ensuing damage should it occur.
- Unless clarified below, all tree trunks, limbs, and debris will be removed from the site. If
 property owners wish to retain fallen trunk sections for their purposes, please state the trunks
 to remain by referencing the specific tree ID#(s) as follows:
 - ______. If trunk sections are retained by the Owner, CKSD is not responsible for cutting the logs into sections smaller than 10'.
- When the aforementioned work is finished, this will conclude the District's agreement regarding this matter. Future tree assessment or removal, if desired by the property owner, will be the responsibility of the property owner.
- CKSD is not responsible for the condition or longevity of trees that remain on residential property.

A.B.C. Consulting Arborists LLC.

Certified Arborists

Forestry Management from the Ground Up

Tree Risk Qualified

DATE: 09/26/2017

Prepared for:

Sydney Thiel Project Manager RE: 9210 Silverdale WY. NW Silverdale WA, 98383

Assignment:

Assess the trees on 4 properties adjoining the north property-line and a strip of trees on the north end of the school property. I am to assess the trees general health and viability over the next 5 years. I will test the trees for internal decay as I deem prudent. A written summary report of my observations and findings is requested.

Site:

The site was recently cleared and graded, exposing trees 56-85 to sun and the prevailing winds. Soils are well drained, moderate in depth and compaction. Decay pathogens were noted, primarily on-site A, minor decay noted on site C. No other relevant site conditions were noted.

Purpose:

1) This report is intended to identify tree(s) and/or defects that pose a risk to the users of the above property, the neighboring homes, the owners and their guests. 2) provide reasonable mitigation options to reduce risk to acceptable levels. 3) To document tree hazards for obtaining A tree cutting permit if one is required. (additional ISA risk assessment forms are available if needed)

Methodology:

To evaluate the trees for risk and prepare this report I drew upon my 30+ years of experience in the field of arboriculture and my formal education. Following the protocol established by the International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) Best Management Practices (BMP) for Construction Management and for Risk Assessment ANSI A300 parts 5 and 9. The site was assessed for any noted condition that may have a negative impact on the local forest including but not limited to: 1) History of tree failure (wind throw) 2) Change in wind patterns 3) Soil depth 4) Soil hydrology 5) Grade changes 6) Fungal fruiting bodies/decay pathogens.

On date Referenced above, I performed a level 1 risk assessment and as I deemed prudent, decay testing on the subject trees and:

- 1. The crown of the trees were examined for current vigor. Inspecting the crown (foliage, buds and branches) for color, density, form, and annual shoot growth, limb dieback and disease. Branches were inspected for cracks and other defects, as well as needs for remedial pruning.
- 2. The bole or main stem of the tree was inspected for decay, which includes cavities, wounds, fruiting bodies of decay (conks or mushrooms), seams, insects, bleeding, callus development, broken or dead tops, structural defects and unnatural leans. Structural defects include crooks, forks with V-shaped crotches, multiple attachments, and excessive sweep.
- 3. The root collar and roots were inspected for the presence of decay, insects and/or damage, as well as if they had been injured, undermined or exposed, or original grade has been changed.

Inspection tools include: binoculars, hypsometer, magnifying glasses, mallet, trowel, & probe.

Trees:

There are 78 Douglas firs "Pseudotsuga menziesii", 7 cedars "Thuja plicata", 4 Madrones "Arbutus menziesii" and 2 Pines "Pinus. ssp." included in this report. The trees are tagged with an aluminum tags and are numbered 1-91 Trees 1-20 are onsite. Trees 21-40 are on property A. Trees 41-58 are on property B. Trees 59-74 are on property C. Trees 75-91 are on property D. Trees 86-91 were given a one sided, level 1 visual assessment.

Location:

A site map (not to scale) with approximate tree and site locations is attached to help locate the trees and sites.

<u>Daniel@AbcArborists.com</u>
Web AbcArborist.com

509-953-0293 12402 N. Division #294 Spokane WA 9921 ISA PN-7970BM 1 | P a g e

Tree Condition Onsite Summary Table

#	Specie	DBH	Height	Spread	Test	Result	Defect/Decay/LCR/PT/Other	Risk	Proposed
1	Madrone	3x18	40	40	Y	G	N. 1/3 dead/center ½ dead. Decay cavity root crown	Low	R. Dead 12-24
2	Pine	26	65	28	No	N/A	Co-dominate crown/Sparse/Stressed crown	Low	Monitor
3	Fir	12	25	12	No	N/A	Topped failed	Low	Retain
4	Fir	9	25	12	No	N/A	Broke hung top. Cankers/Bark missing	Mod	R. Broke Top 0-6
5	Fir	15	70	20	Yes	Good	Bark loss. Tree is like 3,4 and 6, top likely to fail	Low	R. top 12-24
6	Fir	10	30	15	No	N/A	Canker westside	Low	Retain
7	Madrone	17	40	25	No	N/A	None noted	Low	Retain
8	Fir	14	70	20	Yes	Good	Slightly chlorotic	Low	Monitor
9	Fir	10	67	9	No	N/A	None Noted	Low	Retain
10	Fir	12	75	12	No	N/A	None Noted	Low	Retain
11	Pine	20	85	25	No	N/A	None Noted	Low	Retain
12	Fir	18	80	23	No	N/A	Thinning crown. Poor annual twig growth	Low	Monitor
13	Fir	17	80	20	No	N/A	None Noted	Low	Retain
14	Fir	20	85	28	Yes	Good	None Noted	Low	Retain
15	Cedar	19	70	24	Yes	Minor	Loss bark W. side. Minor decay from test.	Low	Monitor
16	Fir	22	85	21	Yes	Good	None Noted	Low	Retain
17	Fir	24	85	25	No	N/A	None Noted	Low	Retain
18	Fir	20	80	27	No	N/A	None Noted	Low	Retain
19	Fir	20	80	24	No	N/A	None Noted	Low	Retain
20	Cedar	18	70	30	No	N/A	None Noted	Low	Retain

Test=decay test Risk: L= Low/ M=Moderate/H=High Proposed: Rm=Remove 0-6= Remove within 0-6 months etc. RT= Retain M=Monitor for changes.

Tree Condition Site A Summary Table

#	Species	DBH	Height	Spread	Test	Result	Defect/Decay/LCR/PT/Other	Risk	Proposed
21	Fir	19	82	28	Yes	Good	None Noted	Low	Retain
22	Cedar	12	47	20	No	N/A	Bark. deadwood S.W.	Low	Retain
23	Madrone	15	40	25	No	N/A	None Noted	Low	Retain
24	Fir	21	88	30	Yes	Hollow	Decay test shows advanced decay/Hollow center	M-H	Rm. 6-12
25	Fir	12	40	15	Yes	Good	Phototrophic lean/ suppressed	Low	Retain
26	Fir	19	88	30	Yes	Hollow	Decay test shows advanced decay/Hollow center/PT/ LCR	М-Н	Rm. 6-12
27	Cedar	19	70	24	Yes	Good	None Noted	Low	Retain
28	Fir	16	50	15	Yes	Hollow	Decay test shows advanced decay/Hollow center	M-H	Rm. 6-12
29	Fir	17	70	28	Yes	Decay	Moderate decay of root crown. LCR	L-M	Rm 24-36
30	Fir	17	78	29	Yes	Good	None Noted	Low	Retain
31	Fir	18	80	28	Yes	Good	None Noted	Low	Retain
32	Fir	14	65	24	Yes	Good	PT	Low	Retain
33	Fir	20	75	28	Yes	Hollow	Decay test shows advanced decay/Hollow center/Crack-seam on SE&SW	High	Rm. 0-6
34	Cedar	14	60	22	Yes	Hollow	Decay test shows advanced decay/Hollow center	M-H	Rm. 6-12
35	Cedar	20-15	65	30	Yes	Hollow	Decay test shows decay/Hollow center/ tree viable for few years	L-M	Rm. 12-24
36	Fir	20	75	28	Yes	Good	LCR/Cankers/ Tree in good health and vigor	Low	Retain
37	Fir	15	60	21	Yes	Good	PT/LCR/Flagging	Low	Retain
38	Fir	19	75	23	Yes	Good	None Noted	Low	Retain
39	Fir	18	70	26	Yes	Good	Minor lean to the north	Low	Retain
40	Fir	11	55	20	Yes	Hollow	Decay test shows advanced decay/Hollow center	М-Н	Rm. 6-24

Test=decay test Risk: L= Low M=Moderate H=High Proposed: Rm=Remove 0-6= Remove within 0-6 months. RT= Retain M=Monitor for changes.

Tree Condition Site B Summary Table

#	Specie	DBH	Height	Spread	Test	Result	Defect/Decay/LCR/PT/Other	Risk	Proposed
41	Fir	16	70	18	Yes	Good	None Noted	Low	Retain
42	Fir	12	65	15	Yes	Good	Pt/LCR	Low	Retain
43	Fir	16	75	20	Yes	Good	LCR	Low	Retain
44	Fir	10	50	18	Yes	Good	Minor Lean	Low	Retain
45	Fir	17	75	28	Yes	Good	None Noted	Low	Retain
46	Fir	15	65	20	Yes	Good	PT	Low	Retain
47	Fir	12	65	20	Yes	Good	PT	Low	Retain
48	Fir	16	70	24	Yes	Good	PT	Low	Retain
49	Fir	14	70	22	Yes	Good	None Noted	Low	Retain
50	Fir	13	65	21	Yes	Decay	Moderate root decay. Tree unlikely to impact a target if it falls	Low	RM.12-24
51	Cedar	19	60	28	No	N/A	Co-Dominate Crown	Low	Retain
52	Fir	15	75	24	Yes	Good	PT/LCR	Low	Retain
53	Fir	26	88	34	Yes	Good	None Noted	Low	Retain
54	Fir	16	75	25	No	N/A	None Noted	Low	Retain
55	Cedar	24	70	30	No	N/A	None Noted	Low	Retain
56	Fir	29	90	34	Yes	Good	None Noted	Low	Retain
57	Fir	15	70	22	Yes	Good	Stressed and declining	Low	Monitor
58	Fir	14	70	20	Yes	Good	None Noted	Low	Retain

Test=decay test Risk: L= Low M=Moderate H=High Proposed: Rm=Remove 0-6= Remove within 0-6 months. RT= Retain M=Monitor for changes.

Daniel@AbcArborists.com

509-953-0293

ISA PN-7970BM

2 | Page

Web AbcArborist.com

12402 N. Division #294 Spokane WA 9921

Tree Condition Site C Summary Table

#	Specie	DBH	Height	Spread	Test	Result	Defect/Decay/LCR/PT/Other	Risk	Proposed
59	Fir	12	48	13	Yes	Hollow	Recently exposed to winds/Hollow root crown/Declining	Mod	RM. 6-12
60	Fir	17	96	21	Yes	Good	LCR. Tree has good root formation	Low	RT-Monitor
61	Fir	12	58	21	Yes	Good	PT/LCR/Exposed/Cleared inside the TPZ could impact health	Low	Monitor
62	Fir	6	48	N/A	No	N/A	Tree is dead / NOT caused by recent clearing to the south	Low	RM. 6-12
63	Fir	10	55	12	Yes	Good	PT/LCR. Tree leggy and could snap in 1/2	Low	RM-RT??
64	Fir	18	90	21	Yes	Good	LCR/ Tree has good roots, is in good health	Low	Retain
65	Fir	12	80	10	Yes	Good	LCR/PT/Exposed/ Sparse thinning crown	Low	Monitor
66	Fir	25	100	26	Yes	Good	Minor decay in S root.	Low	Monitor
67	Fir	12	60	18	Yes	Good	Protected from winds. Good root formation	Low	Retain
68	Fir	14	70	21	Yes	Hollow	Hollow Root crown. decay in N root, LCR/PT/Cankers	M-H	RM. 0-6
69	Madrone	10-12	54	20	No	N/A	N Fork dead south fork ½ dead. NOT caused by clearing to the south	Low	RM. Dead 12
70	Fir	6	45	7	Yes	Good	Stressed and in decline	Low	Monitor
71	Fir	7	45	8	Yes	Good	Stressed and in decline	Low	Monitor
72	Fir	15	84	15	Yes	Decay	Exposed/Clearing inside the TPZ/Decay roots.	Mod	RM. 6-12
73	Fir	9	52	11	Yes	Good	Suppressed	Low	Retain
74	Fir	11	70	13	Yes	Good	Exposed/ failure unlikely	Low	Retain

Test=decay test Risk: L= Low M=Moderate H=High Proposed: Rm=Remove 0-6= Remove within 0-6 months. RT= Retain M=Monitor for changes.

Tree Condition Site D Summary Table

#	Specie	DBH	Height	Spread	Test	Result	Defect/Decay/LCR/PT/Other	Risk	Proposed
75	Fir	12	68	12	Yes	Good	PT/LCR/	Low	Retain
76	Fir	11	68	15	Yes	Good	PT/LCR/	Low	Retain
77	Fir	10	60	15	No	N/A	PT/LCR/	Low	Retain
78	Fir	13	70	18	Yes	Good	PT	Low	Retain
79	Fir	18	80	26	Yes	Good	PT	Low	Retain
80	Fir	15	70	28	Yes	Good	PT	Low	Retain
81	Fir	13	68	15	No	N/A	PT/Canker low on SE trunk	Low	Retain
82	Fir	11	70	15	Yes	Good	PT	Low	Retain
83	Fir	15	80	24	Yes	Good	PT/LCR/Canker. Good root formation	Low	Retain
84	Fir	16	80	24	No	N/A	PT/LCR/Stressed Crown	Low	Monitor
85	Fir	10	60	12	No	N/A	Chlorotic	Low	Monitor
86	Fir	24-30	100	30	No	N/A	Tree appears in good health and vigor. Failure unlikely	Low	Retain
87	Fir	24-30	100	30	No	N/A	Tree appears in good health and vigor. Failure unlikely	Low	Retain
88	Fir	24-30	100	30	No	N/A	Tree appears in good health and vigor. Failure unlikely	Low	Retain
89	Fir	24-30	100	30	No	N/A	Tree appears in good health and vigor. Failure unlikely	Low	Retain
90	Fir	24-30	100	30	No	N/A	Tree appears in good health and vigor. Failure unlikely	Low	Retain
91	Fir	24-30	100	30	No	N/A	Tree appears in good health and vigor. Failure unlikely	Low	Retain

Test=decay test Risk: L= Low M=Moderate H=High Proposed: Rm=Remove 0-6= Remove within 0-6 months. RT= Retain M=Monitor for changes.

SUMMARY:

The assessment area involves 5 properties they are referred as, N onsite, and sites A, B, C, and D. The following is a brief summary of each site.

N. Onsite

There are 20 trees in this area tagged and numbered 1-20. Random decay testing was done on this population of trees, no significant pathogens was noted. 3 trees have defects that should be mitigated over the next 24 months. 3 should be monitored for changes in their health. The recent clearing to the Southwest has little to no impact on the trees in this area. The trees in this area should be long-term viable. Reassess in 5-7 years or after a significant weather event. Trees 55-78 exposed to increased sun and/or winds.

Area A:

There are 20 trees in this area tagged and numbered 21-40. As decay was found in this area most trees were tested for decay in the root crowns. 5 trees should be monitored for changes in their health, 8 trees had decay in the root crowns and likely the roots. The decay pathogens are likely *Phaeolus schweinitizii and Phellinus sulphurascens*. *Phaeolus schweinitizii* spreads by spores and root to root contact, *Phellinus sulphurascens* spreads by root to root contact at a rate of 1-2 feet per year, it is likely that other trees in this area have root decay that hadn't advanced far enough to be detectable at this time. The recent clearing to the Southwest should have little to no impact on the trees long-term health and viability. The trees are more likely to fail as a result of the root decay noted then by and changes in site conditions as a result of the clearing. Reassess in 3-5 years or after a significant weather event.

Area B:

There are 18 trees in this area, they are tagged and numbered 41-58. As decay was found in area A, most of the trees in this area were decay tested. 1 tree was found to have root decay. 1 tree was stressed and in decline, likely as a result of the recent clearing. The impacts to this area from the recent clearing to the south/southwest shouldn't have an impact on the trees longterm health or viability. Reassess in 5-7 years or after a significant weather event.

Area C:

There are 15 trees in this area they are tagged and numbered 59-74. 6 of the trees have decay or defects of not and should be removed. 6 of the trees should be monitored for changes in their health. Recent clearing to the south has exposed the to increased sunlight and the prevailing south/southwest winds. Due to the recent exposure most of the trees were decay tested. The full impacts of the clearing to this site wont be fully known for a couple of years, however, the trees are more likely to fail as a result of the root decay and defects noted then by and changes in site conditions as a result of the clearing. After a couple of years the trees will adapt to the new conditions and they should be reassessed in 3 years or after a significant weather event.

Area D:

There are 15 trees in this area, they are tagged and numbered 75-91. As no decay was noted close, 7 of the trees were decay tested and no decay was noted. Trees 86-91 were given a one sided level 1 visual assessment. 13 of the trees appear to be in good health and vigor, and 2 trees are stressed and should be monitored for changes in their health. The recent clearing to the south should have little to no impact on the trees long-term health and viability. Reassess in 5-7 years or after a significant weather event.

Thank you for contacting A.B.C. Consulting Arborists LLC for your arboricultural needs.

Sincerely,

Daniel Maple, Consulting Arborist

Registered Consulting Arborist #627 ISA Board Certified Master Arborist #PN-7970BM ISA Municipal Specialist #PN-7970AM ISA Tree Risk Assessment Qualified (TRAQ)

Assumptions & Limiting Conditions

- A field examination of the site was made for this report (date referenced in report.) Care has been taken to obtain all information from reliable sources in a timely fashion. Therefor all data has been verified to the best of my knowledge, the certified/consulting arborist can neither guarantee or be held responsible for the accuracy of information provided by any outside sources.
- Any and all information provided in this report covers only the tree's that were examined and reflects the condition of those tree's at the time of inspection. This inspection is limited to a visual method of the trees in question, excluding any core sampling, probing, dissection, or excavation. There is no guarantee nor warranty, expressed or implied that any deficiencies or problems of the mentioned trees may not arise in the future.
- All drawings, sketches, and photographs submitted with this report, are intended as visual aids only, and are not exact to scale. They should not be construed as engineering or architectural report of surveys unless noted and specified.
- The certified arborist/consulting arborist is not required to give any testimony or to attend court for any reason considering this report unless subsequent contractual agreements are made.
- Any alterations made to this report or loss automatically invalidates this report.
- Unless required by law otherwise, possession of this report or a copy of this report does not imply right of publication or use for any purpose by anyone other than the person for whom it was created for, without prior expressed written permission and verbal consent of the certified/consulting arborist.
- The report and values/opinions expressed, represent the opinion of the certified/consulting arborist, and the arborist fees are in no way contingent upon reporting any specified values, stipulated results, the occurrence of a subsequent event, nor upon finding to be reported.

Daniel@AbcArborists.com Web AbcArborist.com

509-953-0293

ISA PN-7970BM 4 | Page



From:

Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < SydneyT@ckschools.org>

Sent:

Thursday, October 12, 2017 3:12 PM

To:

Karen Soule

Cc:

Shoemaker Robin - Capital Projects

Subject:

RE: Tree Assessment

Karen,

I will make arrangements to have the arborist-recommended trees removed from your property as well as the tree from CKSD property that fell into your yard.

I am not clear which "other trees" you are referring to in your email below.

Sydney Thiel

Project Manager | Capital Projects Office Central Kitsap School District | 9210 Silverdale Way NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 sydneyt@ckschools.org 360-662-1705 direct 206-960-2417 mobile

From: Karen Soule [mailto:soulekaren@yahoo.com]

Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2017 2:37 PM

To: Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < SydneyT@ckschools.org>

Subject: Re: Tree Assessment

I want CKSD to proceed with the removal of the trees on my property at the expense of CKSD. In addition I want other trees removed as well.

Thank you Karen Soule

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 9, 2017, at 8:44 AM, Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < SydneyT@ckschools.org > wrote:

Karen,

I just left a voice message for you. I will come up to take a look at the damage later this morning. If you have time to discuss options regarding the arborist's assessment (per Friday's email) it would be good to do so at that time as well.

Thank you, Sydney

Sydney Thiel

Project Manager | Capital Projects Office Central Kitsap School District | 9210 Silverdale Way NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 sydneyt@ckschools.org 360-662-1705 direct 206-960-2417 mobile From: Karen Soule [mailto:soulekaren@yahoo.com]

Sent: Monday, October 9, 2017 8:33 AM

To: Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < SydneyT@ckschools.org>

Subject: Re: Tree Assessment

Sydney - I left you a vm on Friday. A tree from the CK campus fell on my fence and yard on Friday during the wind storm. My understanding was dangerous trees were to be removed? Please reach out to me this week so we can get this remedied and my fence fixed.

Thank you Karen Soule

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 6, 2017, at 2:00 PM, Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < SydneyT@ckschools.org wrote:

Greetings Karen,

We have received the results and recommendations from A.B.C. Consulting Arborist's assessment of the trees along the southern border of your property. I have attached the findings for your reference.

To summarize, your property is noted as "property C" on the attached report. The arborist has recommended the removal of **5-6 trees** on your property for reasons that are further explained in the document. Please review these recommendations.

If you wish to proceed with removal of the noted trees, please confirm via email on or before **October 13th**. After that date, CKSD will organize a logger to remove the trees in the subsequent weeks at the School District's expense. Please note that this offer pertains to the above-ground portion of trees only. Stumps will remain in the ground. Please also note that this tree removal work will conclude the District's courtesy regarding this matter; future tree assessment or removal, if desired, will be the responsibility of the property owner.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you, Sydney

Sydney Thiel

Project Manager | Capital Projects Office Central Kitsap School District | 9210 Silverdale Way NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 sydneyt@ckschools.org 360-662-1705 direct 206-960-2417 mobile

From:

Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < SydneyT@ckschools.org>

Sent:

Monday, October 9, 2017 8:44 AM

To:

Karen Soule

Subject:

RE: Tree Assessment

Karen,

I just left a voice message for you. I will come up to take a look at the damage later this morning. If you have time to discuss options regarding the arborist's assessment (per Friday's email) it would be good to do so at that time as well.

Thank you, Sydney

Sydney Thiel

Project Manager | Capital Projects Office Central Kitsap School District | 9210 Silverdale Way NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 sydneyt@ckschools.org 360-662-1705 direct 206-960-2417 mobile

From: Karen Soule [mailto:soulekaren@yahoo.com]

Sent: Monday, October 9, 2017 8:33 AM

To: Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < SydneyT@ckschools.org>

Subject: Re: Tree Assessment

Sydney - I left you a vm on Friday. A tree from the CK campus fell on my fence and yard on Friday during the wind storm. My understanding was dangerous trees were to be removed? Please reach out to me this week so we can get this remedied and my fence fixed.

Thank you Karen Soule

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 6, 2017, at 2:00 PM, Thiel Sydney - Capital Projects < SydneyT@ckschools.org> wrote:

Greetings Karen,

We have received the results and recommendations from A.B.C. Consulting Arborist's assessment of the trees along the southern border of your property. I have attached the findings for your reference.

To summarize, your property is noted as "property C" on the attached report. The arborist has recommended the removal of **5-6 trees** on your property for reasons that are further explained in the document. Please review these recommendations.

If you wish to proceed with removal of the noted trees, please confirm via email on or before **October 13th**. After that date, CKSD will organize a logger to remove the trees in the subsequent weeks at the School District's expense. Please note that this offer pertains to the above-ground portion of trees only. Stumps will remain in the ground. Please also note that this tree removal work will conclude the

District's courtesy regarding this matter; future tree assessment or removal, if desired, will be the responsibility of the property owner.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you, Sydney

Sydney Thiel

Project Manager | Capital Projects Office Central Kitsap School District | 9210 Silverdale Way NW, Silverdale, WA 98383 sydneyt@ckschools.org 360-662-1705 direct 206-960-2417 mobile